

The following transcript does not represent the official record of this meeting. The transcript is provided by the firm that provides closed captioning services to the City of San José. Because this service is created in real-time as the meeting progresses, it may contain errors and gaps.

City of San José
Station Area Advisory Group
June 17, 2020

>> I think we can go ahead and get started.

>> I just want to start I guess for those who you would I'm kim walesh, deputy city manager and I can amount development med director for the city. Just as I can. I just want to start by saying how great it is to see everyone. Even like this. And to be back together. And it's really my pleasure to welcome the sag members and the members of the community to this meeting. I just feel like giving everything that we've experienced the last four months is important that acknowledge since we last met in January. I know I've been an emergency operation center and is want to .

>> I'm so sorry kim one thing I forgot to do since we are scrambling ides want to let the interpreters introduced himself. Just a note again hi I'm dave plan place thank you so much for joining us. Before he gets over the meeting here I'm been asked wanted to merits introduce themselves they are vietnamese and spanish interpreters for this meeting. Enable introduce themselves and let folks know who might have needed that support how to access that information. One I will start with you. If you can introduce yourself. [Different language]

>> thank you so much and tomorrow would you like to do the same for the spanish speaking folks .

>> are you with us? I believe she's actually doing it through the spanish interpretation channel which is great. So with that sorry again kim .

>> no problem I appreciate that interpretation so this for this evening. I just felt like rather than just diving in like we normally did actually want to acknowledge the kind of changed context of the last several months. Since we last met in January I know I've been part of the city's emergency operations and it's really important to acknowledge that the covid pandemic has shined a bright light on the inequities in our community. With our low income residents and our

communities of color. Being impacted disproportionately by covid by unemployment and by financial stress. And I also want to acknowledge the relief the horrific murder of george floyd. And other unarmed black people by police. In the deep pain and anger and questioning that that is caused . And I know that it's also heightened awareness of systemic racism about communities of color are viewed and marginalized and how they been oppressed on many levels. I know I will be bringing this heightened awareness and commitment to change into my work as I'm sure many of you will also. I also want to acknowledge that with the covid 19 lots of things changed suddenly at city hall. I think you can imagine in March with very little notice we had to fully activate the city's emergency response operation. Close public facilities figure out how to keep the central services running unfortunately that meant that we had to cancel public meetings including the sag meeting was planned for April. I just want you to know that our staff team pivoted. I hate that word overused change course very rapidly and really want to share the important information they had been developing about development capacity parks about transportation so they recorded the information and videos and posted that online. I completed an online survey and posted that online. Our intent was to keep the information flowing and to continue to solicit input even though he couldn't be in person. I want to acknowledge that we received feedback from some of you that it was not comfortable to receive such important information pressured by video and we couldn't really discuss it or ask and answer questions. So this evening you're going to review the highlights of that content and we will have plenty of time for questions and discussion. I just want to recognize that meeting virtually is not ideal. But I ask for your patience and we welcome suggestions for improvement. We are really committed to making this as effective as possible. Because it's how we are going to need to do public meetings at least for the foreseeable future to thank you for your patience. And sense of adventure as we are now operating in this new environment. Last but not least two things I want to share happy news for those of you who know lori severino. Is everybody on the sag our civic engagement program manager she welcome a new son landon curtis severino into the world in April. So's shadow to lori. If by any chance she is watching. While lori is out on the maternity leave. I really want to thank tim rood and josc lauren I from planning along with our consultants from plan to place and rainy for stepping in to manage the in civic engagement work. And you will hear more about plan specific engagement the second half of the year. Last but not least I want to give a shout out to norma camacho yc is here with us this evening. I want to thank her for her service with the water district and for taking the work of this group and her membership in it so seriously from day one. From the bottom of our hearts you've been an inspiration to me to nancy I know too many others as a public servant as a woman

public servant and we are deeply appreciative of the market left in San José we send you off at the warmest of wishes. Norma we can all clap virtually.

>> thank you very much. I appreciate that.

>> it says a lot about you that you are here tonight. So thank you. So with that what I would like to do is I just want to make sure for everybody who is here that we know who the city team is. Was working on this project and who is here tonight. So we have the slides here and I don't know if you can see most of them. If you can raise your hand we have nancy klein from the office of economic development that we have a full planning team roselyn qe was the director. Robert manford the deputy director tim rood was the planning division manager john to who is the planner for hosea 10 whose planner to and james connor whose planner to josc and james have been integral to this work. Nicole burnham deputy director for parks is here from dot we have jessica zhang the deputy director. Registered redo the station planning manager and her team from housing jack jackie morales fernand the director kristen clemens. The housing division manager. And of course you know dave our friendly facilitator and also to diana benitez who is here with matt ramey representing ramey and associates. Come everybody to the meeting and thank you everybody for making this possible today.

>> thank you so much kim and I see that kathy is already practicing her razor hand which is awesome. I was just about to give a quick tutorial on that. Kathy do you have a quick question before we get started. You should be able to unmute yourself.

>> are we going to be taking role?

>> you know that is a fantastic question I was thinking about doing that but considering there's 38 folks that are on the group I thought that would take up a huge chunk of time. so I have my staff in identifying who is here with us. Noting that. Unless you all really would like to grow we can do that as well. Whatever I'm open to suggestions. I was hoping just to save the time if we can. Would you like to take role kathy?

>> I like to know what members are participating .

>> let's do that then. Let's see if I don't have the full list in front of me but we can go down the list of who we see here in the participant window I think that might be the easiest unless you have a list you can pull up quickly? Just run on the list for me.

>> I do. So can you just go down the list. And you all should have the ability to unmute yourselves so you can let us know you're here. And who is and who isn't. So you are on. Cecelia martin? Charlie foss?

>> there was a hand for charlie foss .

>> dan malt ?

>> I'm here .

>> david meyer?

>> I'm here .

>> edward swan ?

>> here .

>> greg peralta?

>> here jason baker ? Here jeffrey buchanan .

>> here .

>> jim guitar .

>> here .

>> jody starmer .

>> I believe we have summary sitting in for jody. You can keep going.

>> jonathan martinez?

>> kathy sutherland .

>> here .

>> kelly doyle.

>> here .

>> kevin chrisman .

>> from gardner we should be saying who we are with biggest highlight to know some of the phases names but I would like to refamiliarize myself with you are with.

>> I don't have the list in front of me right now perhaps if you say when you are here who you're with. If that is okay. Going down the list again .

>> laura winter?

>> here .

>> monica nguyen .

>> maria fernandes .

>> here .

>> marla weinstein .

>> melissa sue rizzo.

>> here was vta.

>> melissa rogerio .

>> .[Listing names]

>> okay that was great it was great hear voices and see your faces. And thank you for the reminder kevin I deftly before each of you speak like we would typically do with the meeting if you let us know your name and your affiliation that would be great. We also got to practice if you are all up for it jack to change her name with your affiliation after your name. It's really easy to do on the top right corner of your screen. If you hover over your own video and go to three dots you can rename yourself that way as well. But thank you for that reminder. And again great to see you all. So we have a very packed agenda here tonight. We are really excited to share some updates with you have a great collaborative discussion with you about we are we are in the process and we are headed. As we typically do we will start out with meeting minutes and get your approval there. We'll go through this saga agreement and go how we will facilitate the meeting now there we are in this virtual reality. We will get some updates as you all saw on the videos that we posted to the website. Update you on wear we are in the process now and we are we are headed. Based on the change that we are all facing these days covid 19. Have a discussion around that and really want to spend some time going over the information that we share in his survey results. That was aired without we tabulated all the results we would like to present that back to you and give you a chance to give us some additional feedback there. We will save a good chunk of time as well for public comment. I see nearly 80 members of the public that have logon with us here today. I greatly appreciate your taking the time to be on with us. I see a couple hands raised if there's any burning questions we could take that now. Marlon did you have a question? You should be able to take yourself off mute.

>> I apologize I had some technical difficulties but I'm here representing .

>> welcome. Kevin I see your hand raised as well without a previous phrase?

>> I was raising my hand to make a motion to approve the minutes. For the January meeting.

>> you are on it kevin as usual. Do we have a second?

>> second. Who was that the second? Edward thank you so much. Excellent thank you all. So efficient so on we go. So these are the saga agreements. And if I'm looking away from the camera something I'm losing attention I have a couple screens up to try to keep track of you all. That's why am looking away. But I'm now looking at the sag. And we've done a great job of being respectful of each other understanding does mouthwash perspectives really creating that save space that we can all communicate with each other efficiently putting ourselves in each other's shoes again just recognizing that we are coming at this from multiple perspectives we are all looking towards the same general goal. And ultimately like I'd like to say fun. So great to see you all. I think we've created a solid bond here and we hope to continue that forward through this process even though we haven't seen each other. In terms how we are going to facilitate the discussion we are going to get some really practice that. Typically I would mute all the mics but it seems like you've all been doing a pretty good job of muting yourselves. And typically will do the discussion will be get to those points will go through the henry's function like you been doing. But her courtroom precipitant window. Either a more area we can put private drop downs to touch that henry's function or actually be able to do I hand down there that would pop band next to your name letting me know that you would like to make a comment. Are you have a question and then I will ask you to unmute yourself and do that at that point. If you're called on you as well you can do the same function through the store nine feature. In terms of the public engagement as well people will have a good chunk of time for public comment as I mentioned earlier and we'll talk a little bit more about the kind of timing for that and the rules that apply there. They're very similar to how the council meetings are run. We also want to note that we've excepted electronic comments both emailed to us or through the project website that will be part of the public record and all of this meeting is being recorded which we forgot to mention earlier in this meeting and the summary will be posted to the project website as well. So keep an eye out for that. And then in terms of the public comment they run exactly the same way. We use the henry's feature that will alert me that you have a comment or question during that time and I will go and numb you to allow you the allotted time to provide comment. And it looks like it should be fairly simple that way but again if you get called on you can do the dial*nine and I will pop up that same hand to let me know. And then lastly for those that are watching this through public channel we just wanted the flash the meeting idea information on the screen realizing though unfortunately I will get the right idea information on their this is what we had previously. Actually can I ask jennifer can you

unmute yourself and let folks know the new webinar id. Just for a minute so they know what log into.

>> the new webinar ideas oops sorry about that one second .

>> we can come back to this as well jennifer if it's not handy.

>> I have it right here so it's nine one zero six 28 77 two two two.

>> great thank you so much so if you go to zoom.us and enter that number you will be able to also participate during the public comment section. And you can still use this one 800 number to access that as well. So with that I'm going to hand it over to timbered and then nancy client with city staff to take us through the first part of the presentation. Two tim you are on.

>> thank you so much dave and thank you sag members x-ray to see well. Albeit virtually. So we can go ahead to the next slide. So I want to first of all to give you an update on the anticipated timeline for the upcoming work products for both google's downtown west project and its public review and their dear don stationary plan update. So my teams are actually working on both of those projects. And the next sort of big milestone we are getting is August 25 which is the target date for the downtown west draft environmental impact report. As well as documented called the downtown west design standards and guidelines. In this essentially is a rulebook that google is proposing to guide the design of its development. As the project is so large and will probably be built out over many decades the usual process wear all the buildings are completely designed and go through the approval process is complete building designs is proposed to be a different process wherein the council would approve the design standards in the guidelines document as well as the associated submittal documents development agreement . But the dwd is a really key piece of the story of what the downtown west development will look and feel and act like. It also relates to the environmental impact report in that some of the design standards could help to mitigate environmental impact. So the teams thought it was very important for those to be issued together for public review. And we been working very hard staff with google and everyone's consultants to review a couple of different drafts of the environmental impact report as well as a draft of the design standards and guidelines. To the public version of all those anticipated to be released on August 20 sixth. We are planning initial planning commission study session on September 9 this will cover both the

downtown west project and the d sap. Since we will have a couple of new commissioners coming online it will be a good opportunity to begin the process of orienting them. Then your next meeting we are currently looking at dates in September. We wanted to give this sag and the community a little time to review these fairly lengthy and technical documents to draft environmental impact report and the design standards and guidelines. So we are planning a septembers sag meeting and I should note that all of the sag meetings we are anticipating are not shown in the slides. So don't think that September is gonna be the only one. I will note the other ones as we get to them. In the timeline. We also had a counsel study session scheduled on September 24 so that was originally scheduled in April. And so that will cover both downtown west and a dear don stationary plan. The security planning department will be hosting community meetings in September and October. On the d sap as well as both community meetings and stakeholder meetings which we've already done a couple of. Downtown west will also have at least one if not two city hosted community meetings also in September and October. And the end of the 45 day permit. On the draft eir for the downtown west project within fall in October. October 9. And that is also when we are now looking to issue a draft of the updated dear don stationary a plan. So in terms of sag meetings throughout the rest of this year as I mentioned we are anticipating one September we are also anticipating one more and 2020 towards the end of the year. Probably after the draft . Maybe looking at November or early December. And then we also will have another sag meeting early 21 one. So if you go to the please so looking into the first part of 2021 in February we are anticipating that the city will finalize the vir resolution. In staff report. We have plenty commission hearing on the downtown west project in the d sap. In March. And possible also a city council meeting. In March. In the second final option in April. It's taken a lot of work to kind of we trigger our work process to be working remotely and of course the community engagement is the hardest part to do remotely. So we're doing everything we can to try to have it as many meetings as we can with this many folks as we can and to be also be ready in the event that the county public health guide changes and we are able to conduct in person meetings. Go to the next one please.

>> harvey I see your hand is raised is it okay if I just go through a couple more slides and they will have a discussion?

>> I was about to say it's a question where is the d sap eir being considered?

>> so the d sap will have an environmental valuation it won't necessarily be an eir. It might be an addendum to the downtown strategy eir. So the technical analysis is proceeding on that. And the environmental documents in whatever form it takes will be made available for public review.

>> even though that's technically not required for an addendum that's always been the city's practice.

>> so I won't go through everything on the slides I wanted to start by saying the work that staff and the consultants have been doing since last meeting is really designed to build on and take in account all the community engagement that's been going on. For this area. Over the many many years. Including the meetings we had in person last fall. The small group community meetings with this sag. In all the previous engagements that went into this strong neighborhood initiative plans to 20 4d sap. The downtown design guidelines the client to this area which the council adopted in 2019. And of course the two years of sag process in the dear don community engagement report. These are all great statements of community values and priorities. We are bringing into the work as we proceed to get more into the details. And so this is just kind of the flavor of the input that we got throughout all of those processes and what we did with them. So you can see on the right we got comments like this is an opportunity to increase heights in the plan to maximize housing is the best use. To be looked at analyzed the potential development capacity increases in the area. Taken into account the council direction on airport heights. As well as city policy for jobs housing balance. Then we also got questions about what is the city's plan for the rest area. Beyond the google project. How much capacity how many residential units. What will the traffic look like. So that is work that we did in putting together the estimated development buildout capacity high-end estimate that we are using in the modeling and analysis. As the d sap work proceeds. And then some a of the comments in red on the right we got comments like activate 24/7 have eyes on the street. Reckon as the balance of uses. So so the land use concept approach that we put out for discussion actually is more mixed-use than the 2014 d sap. It puts homes and offices closer together and more areas than in 2014 deceptive. Which allowed for potential increase in walking or biking or other low-impact ways to travel to a more sustainable and have lower carbon emissions. We also have heard a lot about building heights. Including references stated for shorter heights deer creek and ask existing neighborhoods for compatibility. So we look at that. We know not everyone is satisfied with the initial proposal number continuing to work on that. We also

looked at and opportunities to expand the boundary. So we got comments from some folks wanted to expand the d sap battery all the way down highway 87 to 80. Other folks so an opportunity and which got a letter about this a day or two ago from the report 's park conservancy sorry about my dog. An opportunity to improve the guadalupe parks trail connections. So we made initial proposals. We're certainly going to be meeting and talking and thinking more about all of the input that we are getting as we continue to work toward getting a draft together that we can put out for community. So getting back to engagement. We are extending the contract with our seven wonderful community partner agencies. They been helping to get the word out about our virtual engagement opportunities already. And they've originally slated to be hosting almost in person community events in March and April. To kinda kick off our spring round of engagements. And of course unfortunately do to the public health orders we haven't been able to to those in person meetings. We are working with all of those organizations to extend their contracts to January to allow for community partner hosted events as we are able to conduct those. And of course we are also working with them to get information out about the online activities that are available to help try to extend our reach into underrepresented populations and communities. So going forward are deceptive project manager and other staff involved in d sap something dot parks are planning to be available at least one hour a week between them to answer questions on the dear don stationary plan so will get those scheduled and be notified will probably be different times different weeks to try to make more opportunities for people to check in. And that will be kind of a informal kind of chat room virtual office hours. And then as far as community meetings so again as far we now know we are planning to hold meetings online. Because that is what is available to us. And the eoc as old branch that's been working to build the city's capacity to conduct these meetings. As you May have seen from the technical difficulties with seemingly everything they could go wrong and zoom went wrong in the half-hour before this meeting. So we really want to thank our planning support team who's been working hard to get this meeting going on a new lane and get everybody and who needs to be in. And also the interpreters for making that possible. Well there's a lot of folks working behind the scenes on these virtual meetings. So we are planning to host community meetings sunday sap and downtown west. In September and October. And then also wanted to mention that google is planning to post six recorded videos about their project and they have posted the first one. So those are available on the project website which is g. CI / SanJosé and there's a lot of content on there but if you scroll down to explore updates you'll find the latest update posted in June which is a link to a youtube video that tells how they use the content of the community engagement that they heard in their

design for the project. And so they will be plenty five more of those coming through the rest of the summer. And then again google hosted community meetings online or possible in person also anticipated in September and October. And that was my last flight. So I think now we're going to turn it over to nancy to discuss the development.

>> thank you tim.

>> thank you dave thank you tim I joined say how wonderful it is to be with you this evening. It feels like a little bit of opening up for us to all be able to go forward and work on something other than strictly covid activities. So thank you and it's wonderful to be together. I want to give you a brief update on the development of the development agreement. Over the past six months as tim just shared with you staff is been working on a number of critical documents in addition to the eir the dfg the design standards and guidelines that tim mentioned. Subsequent transportation every structure . All all of those documents working through the development program so that is what we been trying to do as a team. Understand what intended and what impact what in terms of the overall design circulation. All of that to determine how we value the project overall. First you really have to have a grasp of the scituate situation or what it is or what it could be. And then that could lead us along the path to deliver strategies for how the benefits might be delivered to the community. So as that all takes shape we are looking together to analyze the value of the city's legislative action. And if you don't mind the please .

>> it's a reminder since December 4 2019 in the memorandum of understanding. It was clear that there will be a development agreement and community benefits established by sharing a portion of the value created through the city's legislative actions. And that the mou also calls for the city to be aware of project feasibility. We haven't been together for quite some time so I just wanted to go back to that most fundamental document. And if you can go onto the next slide. And here is a list of most of the legislative acts we are thinking through. So an increase in development capacity and certainly embedded and that is the one engine inoperable or higher heights that we worked on together general plan and zoning changes. The takes place. Street vacations that May arise. Parking reduction which can accomplish many goals. But a certain amount of parking is needed and thinking through how much that is. As mentioned the design standards and guidelines which have become very important. Entities sap the dear don stationary a plan amendment itself as you know working through what is the environmental approach and what is the d sap itself include. And then the development of the development

agreement. Please. So I wanted to just refresh the community benefit priorities from city council remain affordable housing the displacement prevention and community stabilization including the preservation and protection of homes and jobs and education. We know that this sag has additional priorities we aren't ignoring those just referencing the three priorities issues or areas from city council. David ? What we are looking at fulfilling project requirements here we are really thinking about how to do what the project is going to do. And we asked some questions which many of you have participated in the survey. Responded to these questions. Like an affordable housing. The next and composition of the affordable housing. The number of parking spaces and which configuration and over what timing then in infrastructure. What infrastructure is desired and what is required and sometimes those are a little bit different in order to support the overall development capacity. Understanding these things takes a good bit of work and the way these actually evolve lead to what is the value of the legislative acts. . I wanted to bring the slide back because I think it's important we had brought this to your attention before public benefits we believe come from a number of different stages phases and processes. When the project fulfills its baseline requirements. Say for complete streets certainly that will contain at least a good chunk of public benefits. It will benefit the area not only for transportation and circulation but be done in such a way that is more environmentally friendly than we've done streets in the past. There will also be negotiated community benefits. Those things are in those areas . As mentioned councils and potentially additional areas of community benefits as specified by the stationary advisory group in the community. And then there will be google project features. Which are things above and beyond even the baseline or community benefits that google chooses to do because they have a vision of what they want to create in the overall project. And those things will certainly have a public benefit or could very well have a public benefit. But wouldn't necessarily be counted against what quote unquote technical community benefits. . Some of this is just a little bit of her recursive information in tim's schedule discussion. But in September with September 21 the office of economic development will include highlights our insights into the development agreement as it unfolds by that time. And that we will absolutely presents the development agreement to this sag in early 2021. We will also be sharing with you now the updates have future meetings . We don't know exactly the pace that we will be working on but certainly as you see it the last bullet council will be reviewing the approval in March or April. So we will need to be probably in February and March for the full review at sag. So with that that concludes my presentation and again thank you very very much.

>> thank you so much nancy. So as I mentioned earlier at the end of each one of the segments of presentations we are going to stop and hopefully hear from you all. Any questions or comments that you might have? I'm going to go ahead and stop sharing the screen so hopefully you'll all have similar real estate. On your screen to be able to see each other. So with that just a reminder edward I see your hand raised should be able to take yourself off mute now if you like to make a comment back thank you dave and obviously thank you everybody for the presentation. The vast scope to cover in under ideal situations and this is obviously not an ideal situation. I want to turn back partially to what herbie had said. The d sap is far too fast be treated as an addendum to the eir. Dear rena was a false taking an independent food from their main oversight and the arena authority for after the fact. But the sap is done properly is a once and generalization undertaken needs to be taken more seriously than addendum. The sap subcommittee needs to be in place during the creation of the document. With the sap working group in place to address the contingencies of unforeseen consequences as they arise afterwards. In a related note based on the council meeting yesterday updates to the historic resources have been inventory need to be in place before the downtown west and d sap documents are finalized. Tdc needs to direct the historic preservation officer along with required staff and resources to focus hir updates on the dear don stationary. As we saw yesterday at city council meeting waiting until there's a specific ending development under consideration makes it all too easy to marginalize the structures historical instruction important and March between the better progress to authorize the demolition of documented historical resources to meet multiple requirements for city landmark status. While this is legally allowed it's far from best practices and seemed custom-designed as enron or around the consideration.

>> thank you for that edward. Tim or tim any thoughts response this time?

>> hello this is robert the director of planning. And where's your question is twofold. So I like to answer the historic reservation component of it. As part of both the google project and the d sap surveys are being conducted and those surveys the results of the surveys will be included in the cities historical resources. There is no circumvention of that. With regards to the d sap . Which so far studies are being conducted. That could result in either an eir or an addendum to the downtown strategy please note that if d sap boundaries are located within the downtown strategy area for which an eir was prepared just over a year ago about a year and 1/2. And that is a planning document. The d sap is also a planning document. So the fact that it's

located within the boundaries of the downtown strategy and the fact that we have a fresh well-prepared plan we don't want. We already know there's a big project there. Google projects. Which is undergoing. Its own review. We also know that there are a lot of projects that are lined up within the downtown area. Each development project will be diluted within the concept which is a planning document. So the appropriate documents will be prepared. We don't know the specifics of each project and if we are going to try to clear every project without a plan it's going to be meaningless. Because the projects will come in and each one is unique. So if we have a plan and document. Will be able to evaluate his project and that is the proper planning that we are backing up. Thank you.

>> procedural question dave. As a minor follow-up allowed?

>> sure this is really a discussion.

>> the minor follow-up is I've been the chair of the historic landmarks commission for last five years. And we just saw a project that was not the historic landmarks commission was not updated before they came for in both city council and the applicant used that fact as a reason to not landmark it. The historic landmarks commission and some say it's 10 to 15 years old. But some buildings by sequence weren't even eligible was last updated. Would be eligible now. If we wait until development is closer. In the council members and is the staff members who make clear at the meeting yesterday we don't want to interfere with the specific project. So we are not changing the status. They need to be looked at separately so therefore before development of those individual buildings come through.

>> thank you for that edward we will definitely concur. I just real quick I see members of the public also raising their hand. We appreciate that there will be opportunity towards the end of meeting for public comment. Feel free to let me know that you do a public comment and we will keep track of that. For now we are going to keep going through this discussion and jeffrey I see you at your handout. So I appreciate you unloading yourself.

>> jeffrey I appreciate the presentation and the update on what we are with the development agreement. I think we last spoke in January we are looking at a late April council study session. I think we had quite some discussion about providing information I think was an info memo that would come out proceeding that study session on the initial finding from the historic landmarks commission in a as to answering some of those questions about the financial value of those legislative decisions.

Going into some of the negotiations community benefits . I'm just wondering if you can share any update on where hr in a analysis is and when we might be able to see that formation whether it's an info memo or otherwise.

>> I believe I was the one who made that commitment to council member peralez. And I think what we said is that in the study session we would be sharing any insight that we had related to the value caption or an economics of the project. So we would be doing that in the context of that September study session.

>> thank you kim. I will also request that everybody knows everyone including members of the public when cities staff let's also speak up and let everybody know who we are just to make it easy for everybody to track. Thank you so much for that cane. So that we will let move to kevin. You're up if you can go ahead and take yourself on mute.

>> okay getting better at this. That's the biggest problem. It seems is an meeting. Kevin chrisman partner neighborhood. Need to address two things . As you know since our last sag meeting the city decided that in a study session that it was going to be okay to put the rail through our neighborhoods instead of going around it. Which to me was quite dismaying in view this neighborhood is been about 80 percent latino for a long time now. And has been subjected to the railroad 280 development of 87. And all these other things that effective quality of life. In spite of all this the city still decided to go ahead and put the railroad through our neighborhood once again. And I think that from a social justice aspect maybe I'm the wrong guy to be saying this being a white person. But it's really a travesty we are going to have a railroad going through our neighborhood. And it should have gone around the neighborhood. Especially after all the years of abuse that this neighborhood is taken. In regard to that. So off of that. I heard that there's a possibility of we haven't got to yet but on the parks issue. That the there would be a possibility of a community center going in in the d sap area. When we have a perfectly good community center here in gardner. Which is been unutilized it was with measure t funds. And is been closed since the economic downturn around 2008. It's been in reuse and I'd like to see before we have any more community centers be built that gardeners center is open full-time. In connection with that with all the housing that's going to be going in over in the descent. We need to figure out a better way of getting children safely across 280 two the gardner academy. And I know that last week there was about a four hour discussion about how to get children safely cross 880 from the chart caught development over

by 87. And I think with the project the size of this. Kind of a landmark iconic design and everything that there's always residual problems that crop up when we don't include the surrounding neighborhoods. So I think we need to get a lot more serious about the over crossing on bird and 280 to make it safer for the children to cross the school.

>> kevin sorry I don't mean to cut you off but we have a full kind of discussion around each one of these components coming up. As part of the presentation I would love to give a little background for folks. Including the survey results before we dive into that. I'm happy to let the team May be if they have the initial response to do that now. And I really appreciate this topic and we want to give her plenty of time this was meant to be just initial any comments or questions based on the process was presented. So if we can keep it focused. That would be great with that I don't mean to cut you off but if the city staff team is any response to that or wants to add to that at this point we can do that or we can hold that . We're going to go through each one of those components you mentioned in great detail. During the next segment of the presentation and will have time to each.

>> I put it in now I asked the question now because when you brought up the community benefits that needs to be and that package up front. Very big and large.

>> that is understood. City team any response to that request before we move forward thank you for that kevin.

>> this is jessica SENTb& deputy director for dot. We certainly heard kevin thank you for for that. And we've independent heard the concerns about crossing 280 that bird over the years. And I think it's one of those key reasons it was one of the initial ideas that we have for the critical component for the update to the d sap to include a saver pedestrian bicyclist crossing over 280 at bird. Just as dave said we will deftly get into that was very popular in the survey also. Swear deftly hearing that we heard in blocks and the other neighborhoods. So thank you so much.

>> thank you so much jess. With that I see that david has his hand up. David you are next if you can take yourself off mute.

>> like you very much david meyer for silicon valley at home. I joined and thanked him for his overview of how this schedule is being redone. The best undertaking to make it work. One thing I'm just curious about on the schedule is because the d sap is being pushed out several months. I was curious if that delay is going to have an impact on any plans that have already been submitted or in the initial review.

>> sure the plans under review are being reviewed under current policies and while we had interest from applicants and trying to kind of preclear project assuming that say the limits in the d sap change. We are that is something that we are going to have to work closely with applicants on. Because we bedeviled the remove you if they go by what is currently on the books. We can sort of preapproved I don't believe we can preapproved project contingent on the council making a legislative act. In the future. Roselyn you look what he might have something bad?

>> roselyn huey director of planning building and code enforcement. You answered perfectly nothing to add. Tim is absolutely correct any development proposals that we currently have on hand are reviewing . We will have to comply with the current policies and plan in place. However obviously applicants you know they can decide to wait until after the d sap amendment is approved. Anticipated in the spring.

>> thank you tim roselyn thank you very much for the question. Harvey is he your hand raise next?

>> thank you. I want to second harvey .

>> harvey b know you are.

>> harvey donal north willow glen association. Also on the general plan update task force. I'm concerned that you are going to try to bring a significant larger member of housing units into this area without a full eir. You have raised diet limits considerably in this area and I think I change the character of the plan that you approved last year. Whatever it was called. I forgot the name. I think that we need more than just an addendum. We need a process that has full public outreach. And by the way on the public outreach I'm not going to be critical of the groups that you have shown in your presentation . There are good groups but they are not

immediately present around the deceptive area. There are seven or eight neighborhoods associations that have joined together to form the tanker because we feel that we have not been heard. I think that we need to more robust community process that would be required under a draft eir. That is one thing.

>> I appreciate that harvey.

>> the other thing that I'm concerned about and I think that we also need a working group that was suggested of community leaders in the immediate area. To help this process. Both up to the point when the deceptive approved and also afterwards in the implementation phase. We've done that with the reno process in the past I think we do that with this as well. It just something I'm throwing out there. First of think about. We have the good neighbor committee for years and I think this would be a springboard for that. I do have other things to comment on. I would like to talk about parks and that presentation.

>> if you would mind to hold that we can talk about that later request I am want to do that I joined make sure we do have an opportunity .

>> for short.

>> we created a list you a list of issues that we have run the parks and trails.

>> will have plenty of time for all we will have a segment after each of those major components . So thank you for that. Tim or tina did you want respond to harvey's questions ?

>> I would say what robert said earlier and what I said earlier is the form of the environmental document doesn't determine what the outreach process would be. The city is committed to making the environmental document available for public review whether it's required or not. And the average process for the plan also doesn't depend on what type of environmental document it is. So we are planning dot in parks we've all had meeting with dan and we are committed to having more meetings with dan. We also can be meeting with other stakeholder risk is one of a process that is open and inclusive to everyone. And takes into account the different perspectives that people have about this group.

>> great thank you so much thank you harvey. Kathy I see your hand raise next?

>> kathy sumlin is the double crap neighbor and dissociation. I would like to go back to the ar and the change was made in our neighborhood when downtown expanded west to the caltrain station. At that time when the downtown boundaries expanded only iowa's enforce and there was nothing that was going to be developed within the boundaries above eight stories. So when downtown expanded the thought was we can easily take eight stories we are not going to get engaged at this point in time. But in the middle and right before the downtown expansion was approved. Council decided to get rid of obi. Which changed the game for thomas park. Now there's a map out that has heights up to 290 feet. Within these boundaries. The share property lines with single-family homes. We need a full eir. Just doing an addendum and the light work that it takes to do an addendum without community input from the residents who are going to be affected is not there. Doe I elimination in the middle of approving the downtown family expansion was not fair. Delmas park would have been much more engaged if we had known that only I was going to go away. So I would like to suggest that as I've been told the d sap update is going to be done within the city. Within house. The city needs to look at working with the neighborhood partners who are going to be affected by the deceptive update. And that doing something in-house is not a way to create a good solid document that everybody can support. Doing an analysis without the neighborhood voice after all the time and effort we've all put into our neighborhoods to make them great. And to understand development so we can welcome development ends up being a slap in the face. So from my neighborhood and from our partners within the dying. We want neighborhood to be engaged in the d sap update and the staff find ways to communicate with us. Present things to us. And then let us react. Rather than having something posted online that is on a video platform.

>> I appreciate that. I very much duly noted. As we saw from tim's presentation earlier we will continue to talk about that as we go. There are many engagement opportunities plan moving forward .

>> on the talk about engagement opportunities for the public. Until not specifically including a neighborhood voice when it comes time to start designing this.

>> and I think that that is one of the stakeholder groups for sure. That the city is intending to engage. As to mention. I don't know city staff was that to that but we definitely hear well you

are coming from kathy and the city is very much open to and his plan to have those conversations with your group. We also understand that the community engagement opportunity and one opened up to all others well.

>> we don't want to be in outreach. We want to be apart. That is very different.

>> right right in conversation with city staff that is fully understood. So we appreciate your comment nikki. It looks eccentric your hand is raise next.

>> center weber plan 51. Being right up against the border that the sap plan. I too agree with that. I think that with additional outreach please include us. I think and then an addendum to be overlooked. And I see it in the department of transportation video. Just that primary pathway leading from east-west the kind of ends right at us. So I think being that we are ground zero right there up against that boundary I think we need more information. So I am on board with that. Thank you.

>> thank you so much sandra. Definitely duly noted. Kevin it looks like you raised your hand again did you have another, tad?

>> I just want to chime in that I think we need a working group because the decisions that are made from this the residents of these neighborhoods have to live with the results of what is done forever. Because once you have a building of whatever heights you creates a shadow or a mini claimant that we have to live with forever. And we need to be in on the beginning of this decision process to make sure that the neighborhoods just aren't steamrolled.

>> thank you great conversation . City staff is fully aware and prepared and will be reaching out to you all knowing that you all would be impacted very much so by this planning effort. To make sure that that your partners and your voices heard. So we appreciate all that input. Harvey your back. If you know mine harvey it we have a from laura yet. We will allow lori to come in and then will come back to. Lori?

>> thank you there was more community input .

>> sorry lori can you please let folks know who you are and what association with.

>> for winter representing the essay neighborhood. It was more community input for the arena 30 years ago and there's been for this latest iteration of the d sap. And there has to be a working group and a committee comprised of members of the community going forward. To discuss this. This is the biggest project san josc has ever undertaken. And just doing a slapdash approval of the existing d sap is really disrespectful to the neighborhoods. We have worked really well the city on many projects before most of us on the dearden area. The group have 20 3rd to 30+ years engagement with the city. They know who we are. They know we work well well well with others. This is something that is really an imperative going forward. Thank you .

>> thank you for the lord. Thank you for that comment. Harvey? You are up again .

>> so p7's attentional memory. I remember back in the early 00's maybe 2004 or whenever when the city would propose a general plan amendment to extend the downtown to it actually ended 87. And they moved it to the railing. The dearden station in the upa airline in a call to kathy who was chair of the park. Are you aware that they're going to put you downtown? I thought you had agreements with cindy chavez that that would not happen.

>> harvey I don't mean to cut you off I love your stories I want to make sure we have enough time to get through the rest. > there was a promise made back then to the delmas park neighborhood that I became a part of through the adjacent knack so I became very aware of it and particularly on being the general plan . There was a promise made so I think that we should carry forward that promise.

>> very much understood we appreciate that. I think I mean city staff a lot of nodding heads. I think everyone is hearing you all loud and clear. I will follow-up after this meeting with more discussion on that topic. So thank you so much. I'm sorry for cutting you off.

>> no no no no I agree. > thank you so much. With that we are going to go ahead and move on to the next segment of the presentation I'm going to go ahead and share my screen here again. So you all can see it. And with that we are going to now transition as I mentioned earlier very importantly we want to make sure that we present back to you all the great results that we got from the survey that was taken as well as given those that didn't have a chance to see the

videos in the background information for those key takeaways that led into the survey and the results themselves. So with that I'm going to hand it over to matt ramey from our consulting team. So matt you run.

>> hi everyone nice to see you all again. It is definitely odd to have this format we spent so much time together in person. I guess it's better than the alternative. So I'm going to take some time going through the results of the survey. And just hit some of the highlights as you all know the survey was online for approximately a month and was based on some videos. If we go to the next slide please. I think we just want to acknowledge again that the engagement process that we had identified for the spring was modified because of covid. We are all set to have the meeting engagement we had all the materials prepared and then covid had. So we had to scramble and city staff did a great job of pulling things together of what we ended up with because there's so much information what we ended up doing as you know was a series of topical presentations online and those also had comments associated with it and then an online survey. And then the point of doing this work was to up get an update of everything that was going on in the downtown and tear down areas. Also to address specific critical questions across multiple topic areas. So the online survey had 606 responses 583 in english 10 in spanish. In 13 of the solid members provided comments through the survey. All materials will provided in english and spanish. There will multiple rounds of outreach to try to get as many people as we could to participate in the survey. It was indeed a challenging time. Given what was going on. overall with covid. But we did do emails to community members through the email database and we asked you all to reach out. We made calls and emails to the sag. We also extended the survey because we want to make sure that we can get more responses. Go to the next lot. The survey really asked specific questions about specific topics. It was just a broad survey because of the county engagement we have been doing because of all the conversation had in all of these topics in all of these overlapping plans that have been going on for a while. And so justice should serve show the relationship here one of the major activities was amending the d sap and there request for this around the building heights in the amount of housing and amount of commercial. The downtown transportation plan is going on and currently in process and so the room there are questions about the ideas that came forth there will be proposed in the transportation plan and whether there are exciting or concerning. There are topics questions related to community services and facilities. It also parks and recreation. There was background on parks and recreation presentation with questions really related to the variety of programs and services that can be offered in the concept of having a community

center in the dearden station area. In the social impacts the google project as well. The final category is about affordable housing job-training and community benefits. The cities currently undertaking affordable housing emblem implementation plan in the google project is also clearly taking about a lot of these topics as well. So this information from the surveys feeding into those two plans as well. So there is a lot of overlapping information that's going on here. In terms of what it's going to feed into. This is a quick breakdown of the race ethnicity of the survey respondents. The blue indicates the people responded to the survey and the orange here is the city of san josc . I want to point out here that we did not for all of the results we did not include sag members and we only focused on members of the public who responded to the survey. But we will identify in certain places the consistency between the sag and the public. And so as we can see here there was a greater percentage of white respondents compared to the city and fewer hispanic and latino and asian there is clearly a number of people the client. It's not completely representative but we did get a good number and a good diversity of comments of others. Please whistled geographically aware the comments came from. Essentially the majority of the responses came from three zip codes 95126 95125 95 22. The most was 95126. And then you see distribution throughout the city as well. And there are even people from the entire bay area. But 1/3 came from these three zip codes. Please a little bit more information about who responded in the demographics. For age was actually relatively evenly spread. Which is deftly more unique for a survey than we are used to. 46 percent of the people occasionally use dearden station in half of the people responded or mail and almost at a 2 to 1 ratio to females. Okay so now I'm going to go through the different topical areas and the way we are going to work this is because there is so much information here is that we are going to give a little bit of background on the topic. When otto essentially repeat the information that was in the videos. But give a high level overview of sort of the why write an overview of the survey results and then open it up to discussion and questions from the sag members will do that for each topic . We will need to move all the way through these topics because there are five different points and stop and have conversations. So starting with the dearden station area plan we have gone into this already. We really have heard the concerns from the a lot of the neighborhood groups about the plan and the planning process. But as you all know the environment has changed since the plan was adopted in 2014. There is no ballpark . There was the council decision for allowing height limits recently. We have the bay area house housing crisis going on. The lack of expensive jobs. We have this proposal for google's downtown project. And there's also all of the studies going on and around the downtown and all this information needs to be included into the updated d sap. The proposed

changes as well mention actually a lot in the comments and questions force sag members while the overall vision of creating has remained the same. There's certainly some changes that are being proposed including expanding plan boundary modification of the allowed land uses a greater mixing of those uses. An increase in the allowable building heights due to the change in obi. And then the expansion of the development capacity. To increase the amount of development that is allowed in the area. So the questions that are asked for this topic worry essentially threefold. So it was really essentially thinking about building height the building height map and the building has the word presented. The amount of housing in the amount of commercial development and the question was asked about whether these amount of development in the building heights was about right far too high or far too low. Across the board as you can see here the building heights in housing and commercial the outright answer received the highest amount. So it seems that from the survey respondents at least we are honing in on a direction and some acceptance however there was some comment sort of within that. . With building I 25 percent of the people said the ruling has was too high by 31 percent said they would too low. That's combining the two numbers as well. With housing fewer only 20 percent said it was too high and 39 percent said it was too low so it housing it sounded like the survey results will indicating that it was either outright or about 80 percent said it was outright there wasn't enough housing. It was slightly opposite with commercial five percent said about right then 26 percent said it was actually too much commercial development. Being there should be more residential development. Going on. The site members they came up with her about the same responses here in reverse the preference so we're going to pause here. And take some questions again. I apologize in the amount of mission we go through everything and a lot of detail. But we wanted to get some additional reaction to what's already been stated for this. So dave do you want to take us through.

>> so just like we been doing and you all have proven to be experts at venues and raise function if you have any questions comments concerns I know a lot of you already had a chance especially with the d sap itself. To provide comments is not mentioned we are going next movement to transportation parks and affordable housing. As well. So if you have any comments relate to those we can address those then. With that I see kathy you have your hand raised so feel free on take yourself off mute.

>> thank you kathy with velma's part. I also wanted to let those sag members note that the dang which of the dearden area neighborhood group sent in several pages with comments

regarding all three of the presentations. And one of the things that we also sent in was a map that was based upon the preliminary heights the planning department was sending in. Laura said that yesterday and I don't know if she get it up there but one of the things that we colored in was the single family homes area. In the areas within the d sap. That had single-family homes very very minor areas. But the proposed height adjacent to those single-family homes in many places is over 250 feet. So when the planning department looks at developable height we would like them to consider the adjacent buildings. And not just look at how tall you can go. How high is appropriate in a single family neighborhood. How high is it appropriate to develop. They're not that many of them. It's just a small area so we would like the planning department to look at that and fight height away from single-family residence. In addition to that the other thing that we have asked for is a d sap working group that would be in place or at least for five years. So the development is going to be flooding into this neighborhood and into this area that can be looked at holistically not just through the planning lens but also through the neighborhood lens. So Ms. Park is never said no to a development. In fact we recently supported increasing developable height and large can five stories to I think when we talk to them they wanted to go 20 stories. And we sent in a letter supporting that. So we are not anti-development. We do think that density needs to be placed in the appropriate location. And that the appropriation location is determined by what is adjacent to the site. So the dang once again would like to ask again for a d step working group. I would also like to ask for neighborhood input as the d sap gets amended. And though process not as outreach.

>> thank you. I'm going to mute a couple months to make sure that does not. Kathy has one ensure that we did actually share the book of the public record would be sag it's with the project website it's included with the summary website. Thank you for the information any follow-up comments from staff on those requests .

>> hi dave again roslyn he really director of the planning building and code enforcement. I really do appreciate all the comments that we are hearing. On how the residence want to work in partnership with the city. And that is the very essence of planning. In our department is committed to do that. We understand that the neighbors are the ones who are mostly directly impacted and you are the ones going to be living with whatever happens throughout the area. For many many years to come. And we are going to be excited to work with you kathy I reached out to you and bob statler puppet dang so we look forward to having many more conversations with you we want to work in partnership with you so I just wanted to share that

with the group. We hear you loud and clear. And again we see this as our roles as planters. And I think particularly in the times that we find ourselves in currently right now I think now more than ever we understand that our work really we need to be leading with people and not necessarily about projects and buildings and how things look . But our work really is about the people in those places. We look forward to working with you.

>> thank you so much roslyn. Kevin I see your hand raised? Go ahead and take yourself off mute.

>> yes one of the interesting things.

>> please remind us who you are .

>> kevin chrisman gardner neighborhood association. One of the subliminal things that obviously come out of the survey was that there are three zip codes that are very passionate about the results here and guess what it's the neighbors that are directly affected from it. That are part of it. That is telling you something that maybe you weren't looking for. But that shows the importance of having a d sap working group the other thing is I would like to see a breakdown of those the building heights the residential and commercial breakdowns of the different zip codes and of the sag members. That participated he said it was similar but I think with your technology you can probably quickly to a breakdown and give us not only for 95126 95125 and 95112 but the sag members as well. I like to see if there is any correlation there or if there's something that we are missing.

>> thank you for that kevin I'm sure we can follow up with that information. That shouldn't be an issue at all. We can follow up at this meeting thank you for that kevin. Harvey .

>> thank you I want to second what kevin .

>> sir harvey name and organization.

>> you don't have to apologize I should know this.

>> anyone say anything harvey. Wax harvey darnall north willow brook neighborhood association. I'm concerned I want to second what kevin is said and also what kathy has said. As well. I want to make sure that if you can parse out the different statistics by the different zip codes it would be helpful see which neighborhoods and close the words of the project. As to whether they like the building heights etc. I personally think is kathy is set building heights are fine provided you got sufficient interspace with existing small numbers of single-family residence in the area. And there's also an historic district as well. The lake house district. I want to make sure the buildings aren't built on top of it and eclipsing it. The other thing that I'm more concerned about is looking at your breakdown by race and ethnic the. Having experienced the last three weeks in this country I am looking at hispanics which with 30 percent two percent only wear a percent of the respondents black which are three percent of san josc or one percent asian a percent versus 36 percent. So we are not hitting the minorities. We are our outreach to our minorities was insufficient. For the survey. And I feel like it's been insufficient for this latest round of online sag process. I didn't see anything that really was in spanish .

>> everything was in spanish.

>> hispanic community in the project area.

>> harvey that is a great point and I don't know if we noted that earlier but we are expanding our extending the survey for that very point but as we do feel there is more of an opportunity to continue to reach out to some of those that are unrepresented in the survey results. And we continue to work with our community partners to see what we can do in the situation that we are in. Knowing that not everyone has access online resources to find creative ways to reach out to folks to help get the input incorporated into the final results as well. We really appreciate that comment.

>> dave cannot jump in quickly harvey and everyone else you will agree that the numbers are not for the minority was not as high as will wanted to be. And through the process we actually taking stock as we are doing the survey to see responses come in and identifying. So that's what makes them the survey May engage the community partners again to try to get even more and so we want to keep the survey open even longer to simply get more participation as well. So we completely agree with you.

>> kevin and I would share of the gardner . Kathy was chair of the dumbest part . I think you could for example reach out to the gardner academy which is predominantly hispanic and get a lot of the parents. That's the way often we're having problems getting participation from that segment of the community that's how we did it. That is one way. Wax I appreciate that and all of those ideas I mean you are we want to mention you are are the extension to our community as well. Having 30 members on this amazing advisory group gives us the benefit of having you as our contacts to the greater community. So I do appreciate that a dear harvey any idea you all have as well beyond the community partners you all are equally connected. So please do let us know any other ideas the draft. Email to us follow-up with us and we will follow-up with you. And continue the conversation. thank you harvey that was an excellent point thank you so much.

>> made I ask a question of who did use to do average?

>> who do we used to do outreach?

>> did you hire any companies to do that? The average did these communities that really have very little participation in the city hall most of the time.

>> I will just jump in and answer. Tim talked about the seven community partners that we have that are under contract with the city. So those groups are engaged beginning I believe in January or December January or February. She specifically helped to engage men. With the diversity of members of the city . The challenge we had in those groups worse was redoing smaller meetings focus group meetings , workshops within the community. And that was the intent. So they will brought on their all wrapped up and ready to go. And then covid hit and we continued working with them to try and get additional participation. But it really became difficult as the priorities in these communities shifted. Wax you told me about that off-line there is a particular way to do that. And I think we will talk about it off-line.

>> that sounds great. This as you can see here.

>> okay thank you reginald as I mentioned earlier ways to reach out to us and let us know how best to reach those we have been able to thus far. Looks like representing reverend ray .

>> I represent myself let me make some notes. In light of the covid environment a lot of language that I'm listening to. It's kind of funny. Excuse me one second . In light of the data and collection of data. Using language such as the underrepresented minority the reality is the majority that you're speaking of it they are just on representative and survey information. So there needs to be alignment with one's understanding particularly to the impact community the demographics of the impacted community. The second piece in relationship to the individuals that you have contracted to collect information that is connected to the individuals closes the pain those are mostly impacted. I think you failed in identifying the ability of direct service organizations to be a grassroots facilitator and connector of information. Related to different organizations that have different techniques and different tools. Even during situations like over 19 that given the versatility to engage in certain community. Just not from a small group organizing. In this environment you must show a veracity of flexibility. And how you choose to engage. And you cannot be satisfied with not contacting or connecting relationship to the low numbers that you have. Your lexicon relationship to the individuals that are minorities. I need you to refocus that. When you hear me speak you May representing over 100 feet clergy and others who are prepared stand up specific to how housing and those that are most impacted. We are also getting up from a moral perspective and a moral compass. And that's the challenge here. That when you see and identify underrepresented groups regardless of the circumstances of the situation you need to be more creative and more imaginative and how you go about reaching them. And be careful speaking for those who are impacted. Because as I look at the panel here I don't think that many of us are impacted regardless of the impact it May have in your community. Over time you will make the adjustment. For those relational to being displaced those who are missing from this panel those organizations that cannot speak we need to be mindful of that. And of course take it on and imaginative creative ways to make sure that their voices never absent these type of discussions. Thank you.

>> very much appreciate that parent right. And again on any ideas that you have that you could help us in that creativity would be greatly appreciated. To make sure they have all have access to the process. With that edward I see that you have your hand up next.

>> very would put reverend ray i will pivot a little bit so he said the March more eloquently that I could even do so. I know it was an anonymous survey but honestly I am most upset and a little appalled that only 13 of the sag members responded to the survey. The survey wasn't

enough to get close to 100 percent outreach and close to 100 percent response from the sag members how good was it truly a going to the outer layers of the in getting additional people. So that I think that 13/38 is unfortunately a very very telling proportion that we can even get 50 percent response from that of the site members. So whatever we can do to you obviously can't force responses but to encourage sag members to respond to comprehensively and thoroughly. I think it's important if we are to honestly hold ourselves up as representatives of the community in this process.

>> I very much agree with that edward thank you for the note. I realize and again I think with the situation wherein the people maybe had higher priorities. We do try our best and tried to call every single sag member as a follow-up. I think there still is an opportunity. We're going to extend the time out and that we have all this great conversation hopefully that will entice you to please to login and take the survey so we can hear from all of you as well. I appreciate that very much. Kevin I see your hand raise next? Want to take yourself amused.

>> I would like to commend reverend ray from what he was talking about in this part of the problem that we are being exposed to within the last month on a lot of social issues is that it May be that we are reaching out to people and away the white folk like to communicate but we are missing the boat because I know here over and gardner if you're 80 percent latino we have such a poor showing on that survey from that. That means we are not reaching the neighborhood over here at all. I don't know whether it's the digital divide sort of thing or what . Maybe you need to get another consultant on board who like reginald was talking about and reverend ray was talking about know how to engage with this communities so we can get their input.

>> I appreciate that kevin and again all these are all ideas we are considering moving forward to increase our creativity and capacity to continue to give all-in-one access to the process. So appreciate those thoughts and continue to bring any those creative thoughts to us and we will continue to look into that as well. To make sure we can do better as we move forward. So with that we are going to go ahead now and move to the next section of the presentation . I'm going to go and share my screen again. Matt you are up.

>> okay thank you for the comments. The next section we are going to talk about is the transportation improvements in the teardown station area. Of which there are many. And

there's clearly a lot going on. But I think we covered a lot of these topics over the last few years but there are these multiple transportation plan projects and proposals that are going on. The disc is happening google downtown the west proposal the downtown transportation plan the sampling wide biplane all of these are plans that are integrating with one another and really need to integrate and come together in a lot of ways in the deer nonstationary. So the public draft of the d sap transportation tractor will be realized with the last of the d sap in the fall. A part of what is happening here and what is going to happen is pulling a lot of these ideas from all these other plans together. So clearly we don't know everything at this point. But clearly the city is working to a lot of the details and incorporate those into the plan. Please so a lot of the comments that we received in the open-ended portion of the survey also paralleled comments that we received throughout the process overall. So some of the priorities for transportation network integration work spanning trends transit access pedestrian and bicycle safety and access. Analyzing and understanding the circulation transportation circulation impacts on the area looking at parking. And then again it's really a lot of comments about understanding how land use and transportation decisions in the area are going to not only address mobility for people visiting but the people who live in the area and immediately adjacent to the area. Actually we look at the open-ended comments they really weren't revolved around three components that cover most comments in the survey. The first was the need for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure throughout the d sap. And in the open-ended comments there are over hundred comments related to related to that topic. The next was for more secret frequent transit in the last one was to there is a focus on public transit and there was a focus on bike bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure we need to pay attention to keeping access to and through the area. By car. And so there are also a lot of comments around transportation safety particularly this was mentioned earlier on 280 and bird. . There are two questions really asked in the section so the initial idea for the deer nonstationary on the downtown transportation plan represented and then questions are asked about whether they're exciting to people whether they work concerning. And the for the exciting improvements of the light rail system and enhancing my core doors are really the top ones followed closely by the improvements of the byrd avenue 280 interchange. And we know that came up earlier as a comment. And that was also reflected here in the survey. As well. 34 percent like I said it was exciting the concept of closing the 87 offramp to santa clara street and improve the 87 interchange. Then the concerning the ones the remotes concerning for the community interestingly it was closing the offramp even though it got high number of and then a lot of people actually said none of the above. What we see with the nearly 600 people who responded to the survey as a lot of the

ideas that are coming for the good ideas ideas that should move forward with some concern over some of those specifics. The sag the 13 sag members had essentially the same top three concepts of what was exciting. So of the 13 38 responded next we go back to the questions in the comments about transportation.

>> thank you matt. Same routine here and I see you have your hand raised.

>> nate we can hear you looks like you are on mute. No unfortunately we can't hear you . Maybe we will give you a chance to see if you can fix that does anyone else have any comments or suggestions? Edward?

>> I think the topic of closing the 87 offramp was publicly raise more broadly and taken to the voters. I would think it would lose badly. I go by thereby bike and pedestrian quite often and a lot of people I talk to when I mentioned that item they kind of look and say well why would we do that? It would be harder for me to get downtown. It's not that big of a deal. I'm very much surprised that they came back on that and I think of it did go to the voters the response would be a resounding negative.

>> thank you for that, ever. Duly noted. Anyone on staff want to .

>> this is just gone deputy director for the department transportation. We are taking all of this feedback tonight as well as in any neighborhood meeting or smaller group meetings that folks want to have with us. And we're just trying to take it all in. I think that kim and dave and others have already spoken to the shortcomings of some of the brief presentations and what that format was able to do and not able to do. And so I think that's another really good point edward is that there wasn't enough context about why we would consider such an idea. I think for those who are listening to evan watch the video you are trying to think about the balance of people entering and exiting into the area. And kind of how they will flow the best. At present the fewest conflicts. Now you've heard loud and clear and I know that tim goddard is on here. And I want to recognize the sharks for giving us a lot of very constructive input quite honestly. About how important that exited for legibility. Which is a really important factor also. For the area. And so we are kind of taken it all in. But appreciate the comment and please keep them coming.

>> great thank you jessica. Bill I see your hand raised .

>> hi everyone I guess I got a question .

>> bill if you can remind folks who you are in the present.

>> bill downtown residents association. I guess I'm a little bit surprised at the data that show that people are very excited about the light rail upgrades. But I don't know what those could possibly be. So maybe just a quick comment there. We just agreed to spend half \$1 billion for a two mile extension to eastridge. I can imagine we can afford to do much more in the second thing is kind of related to the 87 interchange. I just painstakingly went through an air for traffic flow around the corner of almaden boulevard and santa clara and one building alone is going to add a 500 cars per day to that intersection. Right there in 87. So these two things number one light rail doesn't sound like there's much that could happen and I'm just extremely concerned about traffic flow in general. Especially with so little planning or modeling it's been done to this point. Thank you.

>> thank you bill and first sag members if you can turn on your videos before you start talking to so folks can see you. Just getting any response or suggestion.

>> it's a good opportunity to talk about those question about what are the light rail improvements. And I know melissa from pta is here also. And we are trying to understand what would make a meaningful difference in the light rail travel time. Through the downtown particularly between the deer down station the core of downtown and then kind of in either direction to campbell to north San José. And beyond that to mountain view. Two are deafening in that. Of exploration. The fundamental challenge that we are trying to address is that it is today faster to bike from dear don station to the downtown light rail dentist to take light rail dear don station to the downtown transit mall light rail station. So we are exploring with pta what he could look like and what kind of cost it would entail to take light rail on a different path that is less circuitous either at great or underground . It's very early explanation but that is the gold there is little cost with the speed of light rail we are certainly open to considering. But the aspiration is to have it be faster to take transit into two than it is today for sure and then it is to get around by other modes especially something like light rail that is very operated. So thank you for the question bill for the thoughts on the 87 offramp as well.

>> thank you jessica . Nate I see your hand backup should we give it another go?

>> thanks dave. Sorry about that the last thing I want to do is wait and with evening. So my name is named lebron I worked the SanJosé downtown association and I have kind of a two-part comment the first is for what it's worth I actually like the videos of having time to sit with them and watch them a couple times and consider them in the response in the writing. However some of the information contained in the videos in particular the stuff about the 87 closure I kind of have this really negative reaction among our members and the people that we circulated them to and so I want to take this opportunity and I know it's been touched upon only have that we just feel like she got a little bit ahead and we know that you are doing concerts conference of circulation study now. But we really feel it might be better and we would prefer for what it's worth as a stakeholder represents a lot of businesses and people who use the downtown area on a daily basis. To complete that study and use the data and the findings and then make proposals based on that. I feel we got a little bit affect the health of our self. I think you guys get what I'm saying. We had initial interview on the circulation study not sure what happened to that. The whole world change clothes we are doing that. Remain ready willing and able to complete that process with you guys. But we just have to say in the strongest terms that everyone we talked to hated that idea. So I know that is not super constructive potential to express it.

>> thank you nate point taken.

>> thank you nate thank you for that. Normal welcome you should be able to take yourself off me.

>> thank you know mark camacho with valley water. One thing I wanted to know as an organization with about 800 employees in covid 19 hit it May be less than 10 people that would teleworking. And now we have 400 working strong teleworking and teleworking is going to become a much more standard part of her operation. So when you develop these transportation plans are you going to look at that fundamental change in how people are doing business now and how are you going to interject that because we don't know when the end of covid 19 is going to be. And even if it doesn't and we doesn't know if people are gonna want to go back to how we used to do business before. I know we as an organization are going to be

doing that. We going to be looking into the business a different way. Wondering how you going to take that into account into this planning process.

>> what a great question I think if we knew the answer will be add up the rest of the world. But I think it's one of the things that we are trying to consider as potential scenarios essentially. The planning work the modeling work the appropriate polluter worth that will continue to be done based on some fairly conservative its assumptions because we don't know the duration or the extent of the changes. So all of the traffic data etc. Will be pretty aggressive in terms of how many people are still out on the street. Using the roadways walking using transit etc. But I think we all need to think and take this as the potential profound change that it is. Especially around telecommuting and what that could mean for cleaner air carbon emissions etc. Kind of work trying to think about it scenario plans at this point. Because of the instant the both of his current disease but also with the longer trends towards occupying space. Employees. That's the best thing we can think about doing that. But I would welcome any further thought on how to perform that great minds help us move forward.

>> thank you norma thank you jessica. Reginald is he raising her hand reginald before you get started I love the room that you're sitting in with those instruments. I think I have the same exact from said in my studio. If the chimps and not for sure.

>> we talked about that. I think a lot of these companies a couple of our clients are rethinking space for their employees. And I'm wondering do we have a contingency for google and are all the prospective projects to shift from office space to housing space. We know some of the thinking is going on with many of our clients and some of these bigger projects. So do we have a case contingency for that?

>> I can take stand with that. we are looking in that the sap point can we looking to introduce greater flexibility. So that there would be the opportunity for more sites to go to either housing or office. So that is when we are trying to build applicability.

>> dave you are muted.

>> is asking you to new yourself on yourself and I was a new .

>> santo waiver plan 51 in real estate as well as with my husband's job. In the beginning of course we did think that commercial space would kind of decrease in the need but now they are talking about going back to work it's actually increasing. Because of the amount of space needed between people. So just keep that in to consideration when inking it might not indeed yes some people May be working from home but others commercial space May become even more valuable.

>> that is a great point. Thank you for that note sundry. Okay so if there are any more questions comments on this topic you're going to go ahead and now move forward to the next segment and there we go screen shared macro back on.

>> thank you dave I do want to say I really appreciate all the comments in this whole question of how do we think about the future when we are in the situation we're in now. It's all critical and so the comments coming up are great because as a planner and thinks about this every day unconsciously getting new ideas. So thank you all and hopefully we can get that conversation growing.

>> sorry for the interruption sorry harvey do a final home employment for.

>> the dang members had a meeting with dot and I pointed out to them one of my concerns is that in the central area particularly in the google area as we change the streets I want everyone on the sob to understand that one of my concerns beyond just the dang members I've discovered that when the sharks are in session or having any kind of events at the arena there is no ability for me to come down autumn and go to the whole foods on santa clara. I want to make sure that as we begin to add 15 to 20,000 new residents 15 to 20,000 new jobs. And hopefully some of them will be in public transport but a awful lot of the moving cars. We need enough throughput in that central area to make sure that we can get from for example south and willow glen to the new area as well.

>> thank you harvey and thank you for that note. One thing I forgot to mention is really quick obviously we are together we will flip chart and we see is actually taking those notes we are on our computers. Rest assure that is deftly happening . Concurrently all our team is taking all this information putting in on a google sheave that will be shared with city staff. I know you don't

see is actively doing it but that is happening. Kevin it looks like you have your hand raised. His back on the transportation topic as well? Go ahead and take yourself on the.

>> yes it is. I just wanted to kevin chrisman gardner neighborhood association. I do want to make sure that you insert here the comments that I made earlier about the bird overpass up to 80 nsa process ability for all of her children. So almost comments that I made maybe a little bit too soon put it in here with transportation because it is very relevant.

>> sounds great will do. Thank you sandra I see her hand raised .

>> summer waiver plan 51. Begin piggybacking the what harvey said this has to do with transportation of course. I watched again that video was really well done. And then towards the end I got to okay there reiterated that the san fernando pathway that east-west would run right by our building if it was directly cut through that we would just love to see more details . What is that look like with the biking in the flow of that. So it tends to and at that boundary if you could just continuing on a little bit our way just so we can see more details.

>> thank you sandra if nobody minds I also would offer that laura winter is also brought up questions about the details really matter especially for people live right there and the opportunity for those who haven't been following us closely to kind of raise contracts raise the station as a bike had connection. Opportunity along san fernando under that race station and racetracks. Good questions from sondra just now how to make sure that that is integrated with kale part. Rather than bifurcating the part as it could do poorly designed. And so that is really great feedback to just maybe should be on the record hereto. And now we are really considering.

>> great thank you edward I see your hand raised?

>> this town I remember say two other briefings one in the transportation presentation that imagery etc. The disk was with the image we have seen previously. And when it seems like some of these when they met with dot we asked about some way to enhance the ability to enhance the people instructing to get across santa clara and the response was basically that any kind of raised platform on anything other than at surface for all that additional track and all the pedestrians would continue to interact with this is what it's going to be. And the related

thing as you can see behind me the desk and the downtime was project their interpretation of what would become dear don station are mutually exclusive. This has the national register for storage places in the city landmark of dear don station disappearing and the google downtown was project has a primary open plaza right for the station. So how do although forms of transportation interact clearly it's of two different minds. So somehow they need to come together other we will have to plan through mutually exclusive.

>> thank you edward. Text .

>> and report at that point in the meeting we had with dan planning is very good one. I think that the the station needs to work today. All the way through to hopefully one there is an expanded station to conserve more people. The detailed design of the future station is very far from complete . We have kind of these fundamental decisions about elevating the track about having concourse station entrances on the north side and on the south side. And those are very important but they are far from all the details. In one of the things that we shared with the dang is that in order to make this station work overtime. We are exploring with the disk partners the more precise location and options for the southern concourse because perhaps things that make it work overtime is being kind of between the current and san fernando street and asking us early right at that something of the urban design team for disk is working at. One of the thing that led to believe that we probably need to move it or we repurpose it. Comes from the additional train tracks that will be needed to occur at the station. So the work on how to hopefully reintegrate the historical depot into either the future station at the disk process continues hopefully in the near future so we can give you more satisfactory answers about all that.

>> week .

>> will take another, for lora before he went for public comment. Thank you all for your patience. Lori europe.

>> thanks dave I want to thank just for comments and let everybody know that we very good conversations with dot we are all very concerned about how the extension of san fernando street on the west side of the station is going to work. There's a lot of really detailed that really

need to be worked out we are very excited to work with dot and talk about what it means to our neighborhood and get something that looks good for everybody.

>> thank you lord. With that we are going to transition into the presentation and matt europe.

>> thank you. So now we're going to move into the community services and facilities and parks recreation and open spaces. A lot of title here for this topic. So the 2014 d sap did identify the diversity of community services and facilities and the broker open spaces. With the distributed open spaces and interconnected with walkways. There is some information that is actually changed since the d sap was adopted in 2014. The descent had more of a concept of sort of larger suburban parks and those are now the shift is moving toward urban parks especially with the amount of development that is happening and the potential for development in a much more urban area. I know there was also a conversation about an area wear creek should be highlighted and that is not feasible. At this time. The d sap amendments have projected the goal of 62 acres. Of land or the equivalent recreational amenities. And that is going to be both recreational improvements to the existing facilities. The key priorities identified during the process and in the plan are to identify trail alignment and open spaces to complete los gatos creek trail creating a network of small interconnected open spaces. There also conversations about the community facility and services. That we focusing on centralized community standards or committee center of the future with multiple services in different spaces. There is an emphasis on providing programs and services that focus on child training and team continued education system opportunities. And the suntrust community center will help to bridge the gap for people to move into higher wage jobs to continue their education and to make sure that they have greater access to opportunities. The focus of the survey was was really in the community services and facilities and often questions around that. So the question was asked about considering the growth in the downtown which programs are people most interested in seeing in the dear don station area. The results that you can see here the afterschool programs and community meeting space for the highest fitness center jim hi tech and digital rigor literacy programs through school which was the bottom of the results. I think one of the other really interesting results came not from the checking of the boxes here but the additional comments that came from the open ended questions. And I think one of the really important points that came through was that the open spaces and the d sap need to be safe and designed to be safe and also program so that there are people using those spaces for large portion of the day. Which also enhances safety. And when I think about 1/6 of the people

responded to the survey commented. Made some comment about safety and open spaces. So is really important point became three-year. I'm not surprising but important nonetheless. There also comments about improving connecting the waterway to pay river trail. Having flexible community meeting spaces for community groups for nonprofits and other so the people can really see the community center is a place for lots of different types of activities tappan knowing that the community meeting spaces in this area are very limited in our team knows that firsthand as we try to find meetings for the work our workshop another meeting the dear don station . We would have loved a great community meeting space it holds 200 meeting space in the area. Please dave.

>> can you mention the sag pieces well just the top three.

>> the top three from this sag was the community meeting space employment services and the sag center in the preschool space. And to thank you for reminding me of this. The results from the sag came back a little different. Then the other 600 or so community members. Relative to this. So again just important for me to make other topics pre-much in line with this was a little bit different. There was a question about people's reaction to the community center in the dear don station. A lot of people said that they thought was a good idea but they're not sure they would use it followed by it sounds like something that people liked and that they would use. Only 15 percent said that they'd like the idea at all. So it seems that there is support for a community center in this area and I certainly think it's worth digging into the comment previously about the existing community center in the neighborhood. In the status of that. Concurrent with a potential new center in the dear don station area. To bring up that point. Now we can move to questions and comments on the topic and again apologies there's so much information here I'm trying to just hit some of the highlights.

>> thank you matt. We've already heard some great comments from you all on open space this is an opportunity dublin a little deeper harvey see your hand raised to europe.

>> thank you. Harvey darnell northville neighborhood association and general plan task member and update. The question I have is we looked at this plan first of all your survey didn't talk about open space. And I want to know why you didn't even list that is one of the questions that you are trying to parse . The committee support ? I think it's a fundamental since it's parks recreation neighborhood services parks is the first thing in pr and s. You can talk about that.

And you can ask about that community wants. I'm concerned if we spend an awful lot of our park fees on a community center that we are going to be in the same position that we were when we spent \$6 million of measure p funds built a center in gardner neighborhood and then when the city ran out of money we didn't have to own operation and management fees to keep the center going with reuse it as we called it . It doesn't sound to me like a good solution. I'm more concerned about in the presentation that we saw a couple of months ago that I've said this to pr and asked directly. So I apologize I hope I don't offend you. But I really want to reiterate this to the whole group. That what you did was say we need somewhere between 60 and 70 acres of parkland and clearly we can't do that in this area. While I want to know what is the minimum that you are willing as a city to do ? We need open space. We found out in this covid thing going on I saw a next-door high-rise residence complaining about the fact that they had no place to take their dogs for a walk to defecate. There was no parks there open and putting in large numbers of high-rise and high density housing we're going to need to produce more than acreage that is being proposed.

>> harvey you want to let folks respond to your comments? Are you have another thought.

>> I have a couple more thoughts. So the question is are we abandoning the three.5 acres per thousand residents . And then the other thing is I saw in the google plans a lot of open space being in the corridor are just outside of the corridor. And that doesn't make for good urban uses because in the repairing core door uses are limited. Yes I have one more thing. In the midtown specific plan adopted in 1992 we work promised the community the midtown area was promised that when the fire training center was abandoned for far use that would be turned into a large park. I see no attempt to find any kind of land anywhere in this area . In the second problem we made is if we build them high and building dense it will leave a lot more open space between buildings that we can utilize for park space.

>> I appreciated harvey we are here tapestry discussion one ally you also have the opportunity provide input if we please just for the sake of time in everyone's time and interested in staying focused here with our discussion that would be great . But I do appreciate that harvey nicole did you want to respond to any of that.

>> I would love to and I will also say we are running a little bit short on time people certainly are welcome to reach out to me and a number of people have. I know what I'm happy to talk

through these independently futile cadets questions nine. On the deputy director for the department of parks and recreation and neighborhood services and a overseer capital programs. So I wrote all my notes harvey so I'm gonna try to get through them one by one. Your point about open space in the survey is a good one. It's an interesting one. I think our our perspective we assume that is somewhat of a given. There's going to be open space here. And it will be parks. They will be urban in form given the nature of the existing development of the proposed development. So was the slight parks that we didn't ask about it just seemed like the community center was a concept in which we really wanted feedback and needed a deep level of engagement on. As we knew it was unusual and we want to hear from the community. The presentation and I will make sure that this is really clear the presentation doesn't talk about using park fees. To pay for the community center. The presentation was intended to just ask the question. About community centers. We have a service bubble of 500 square feet of service level 4000 residence. And a growing city so we need about 500,000 square feet of community center space now and we are about at that. It bounces up and down as we convert properties to different uses. We also recognize as a growing city there will be future need. And we do serve a need in this community. Our recreation centers are really important in providing equitable services. Making sure people have access to a variety of services. Whether it's childcare or digital literacy afterschool programs teen programs and thankfully I don't know what exactly the programming would be in a facility downtown because we would tailor the facility to the demographics of the community. Serving. So one of the things we find in our existing spaces as we design them with the netflix ability. So new spaces we would look for more flexibility in design so we can modify their uses overtime convert them. But in terms of existing community center space we have gardner which is neighborhood center that really does a nice job of serving that gardner neighborhood if neighborhood was intended to serve. We have roosevelt as an aid or help center on 21st street and bascom avenue and southwest expressway area. So there's really nothing in this downtown area and in north into the rose garden area. So we really wanted to explore this with the community. Could we really see this as a need. Hence going to be a growing need. So that was the reason but again let me reiterate we did not see in that presentation we're talking about using park fees to pay for community center. We are silent on the topic of how it would get paid more because I think there's a lot of potential options there. I want to make sure that is one me once that was definitely lost in the online presentation. And we do recognize that we have challenges with staffing. You question that harvey we understand that we recognize that. I'm not going to tell you that it's a riddle we've solved. It's something that we as a department talk a lot about. We

have some plans in the works and some ideas. Going forward. But we need to find long-term solutions for that. We recognize it as a problem that is knocking at south through the specific project. But we also don't believe that we should plan for that. In the future. The three or 35 acres or three and have acres per thousand residents that is not a goal that we've abandoned. That's our general plan calls for 3 and a half acres per thousand one of the interesting nuances when you start look at park mapping in the city. His way that acreage is located. Becomes critically important. In this specific area even without proposed parks and that the sap we actually have a fairly well served park system. The arena green discovery meadow what a loop a real river trail guadalupe river park system actually has a lot of parkland and not enough density. So we deftly want to add parkland as part of the dear don area plan but if we only want to look at a metric of 3 and a half acres per thousand residents we only meet that on in this area. To them we start digging a little deeper into things like 10 minute walk criteria. And where's that open space located. Where's that located in context of existing population? Will it be located in context of proposed population. Not only the proposed population through the dear don west project but also the proposed population might be limited by barriers like the train tracks. So enjoy looking back on the criteria puts a little bit of the lands we are working through that right now and looking at that. And what to tell. I hear you about open space in the corridor. And I hear you about the midtown specific plan. But we recognize that and familiar with the plan I understand that it calls for that. The city no longer owns that property so it's an issue we are working to navigate. But the idea that we might find five acres of one contiguous fire vapor parcel in the general area May be challenging. And so we are really looking for alternatives and evaluating that.

>> or even two-acre would be appreciated.

>> and that probably is viable. And there are sites like that that we are looking at. So .

>> I appreciate that nicole I 21 engine we can only follow-up with that we cannot we can have a marathon of the zoo meeting we have 20 minutes on the schedule we are happy to say good one ensure the public is also about to speak. I want to give other folks in space as well. Michelle melissa maria ernest if you have any comments please feel free to throw those in the mix as well. Will make sure that all perspectives are represented here. Would that kevin kathy edward I see your hands raised. You are up first. Kevin if we can keep some focus comments here.

>> I will do my best kevin christman's gardner neighborhood association. Nailed it. On the survey it showed the people 40 percent of the people wanted an art center. Let's point out that we do have an art room over gardner and we even have a ceramics room. That is not in use anymore because we can't get to it. The reuse is not worked out very well. We went has worked out very well as we do have a pool. That will we would be more than happy to share with the surrounding neighborhoods and they have excellent reuse group running that. I don't know nicole if you mated a freudian slip or not but I was taking some notes and I've always called in a community center and you called in a recreation a and really doesn't belong to the community is never open. It's almost like an activity center for the city of SanJosé because it doesn't work very well for us. I don't get the whole point of having a roof for 200 people over there when you could get probably google to open up one of their rooms for meeting or if you want to be really glib about it what's sap doing over there. They could certainly house a fairly good size meeting even in one of their spaces other than the arena.

>> should we give her a chance to respond to that?

>> yes .

>> nicole I think you are on mute.

>> those are all great points and things we thought about. And we are going to talk about and explore. Certainly no solutions that this point. And I can commit google space to things that are in google. So it's an interesting point.

>> thank you kathy I see your hand please .

>> thank you kathy from delmas park. I was also wondering about google as a community space. I know that I've been to events and adobe. Adobe does open up their site for community events. Which is always lovely. In addition to that fell I so I mapped that shows park space over an acre at the north end kind of like buying target. And I also saw with the residential is located at the south end. And my question is why with the park space and this was google's be situated adjacent to a commercial building instead of what you needed which is where people live?

>> the mapping you've seen part of the google application it is they do have a proposal for more than one park north of sap. But the area there is mixed-use is not near the target first baltimore on the closer to julian street not that far north.

>> it was by the train tracks.

>> yes but that area is called mixed-use in a variety of uses. But I also think there is need for park space around office buildings as well. The opportunity to get out in offices during the day and use park space isn't necessarily a negative thing. So the balance of arcs spread throughout the development. I think it's an important idea. An important consideration.

>> that's also aware the trail super light for the guadalupe bay?

>> well the proposed parks because google all on to the west of sap. Where's guadalupe bay is east of sap. So the white area trail is actually .

>> my thought was your going to be rebuild roads. So .

>> maybe I can talk to about that off-line could we do that?

>> sure thank you .

>> that sounds great. We're going to take one more comment and then move onto the last segment. When I see your hand raised.

>> through the sole process we talked about the need to kind of got in the box and think collaboratively. The saturday water company building right there in santa clara that was originally part of the hashtag teradyne project which as we know was kind of a canary in the coal mine for google google is more than once pointed out that they want to create some kind of a community space there. So let's stay creative lady in whatever committee center we are talking about let's make that a public-private partnership there rather than dedicating funds and resources that might be put in something else and then on a related note and everybody in the city knows the issue isn't capital expenditures it's getting the city to commit to long-term

funding for maintenance and operations. And that is the things that are far too often fall through the crack. In the five years later it says we had this. But we don't anymore. So kind of being aware of those things so we're not just thinking short-term and traditional methodology. This is two-page of a project to not get more creative with no solutions.

>> appreciate that edward thank you. With that we are going to move on to the final piece here and I'm gonna turn it back over to matt and them will have a final discussion and thank you all members of the public for hanging out with us. I see hands raised. We're going to move the public comment right after that. I give y'all a chance to be heard. Matt?

>> thank you all again. This is our final section on affordable housing and community benefits. As you will note the saga spent quite a bit of time over the last two years talking about these topics and so this is a continuation of that discussion. The concept of meeting affordable housing having making sure that the google project in any project that comes in produces tangible community benefits came through loud and clear from the sag process as well as q2 community engagement process. To protect residents from displacement overcrowding in becoming homeless. And then of course the sort of larger issues of job training and education opportunity which can have impacts as well. And so there is interest in establishing a community stabilization fund sell produce displacement throughout SanJosé. And as nancy talked about earlier the city anticipates and this is a really important point that most of the community that if it's three the development agreement will be for these three listed. There already is a really strong need for these and is a strong preference for them. And this is really in the works already. To some of these questions that we are going to get to next we are trying to hone in not on whether these are important topics we all know that they are. But to really have a little bit more nuance about what it means and how we can really maximize those benefits. So want to be clear as we're jumping into that that is not reopening the really the majority of the community benefits money is going to these areas. So the first question asks about if there's a limited amount of subsidy available for affordable housing what is more important. It is more important to provide fewer units but for extremely low and very low income households. This would happen because of the amount of subsidy required is higher and increases as the income level goes down. . For the target unit or is it better to provide a diversity of affordability site and potentially get more units as a result . If the amount of funds is similar and identical as a question about how you allocate that towards different affordability levels. The answers are really shown here to get five steps overall about where people felt on

this and there was a preference for the public and meeting towards having more affordable units in various income levels rather than necessarily focusing on low income households. The sag was neutral. It was a little bit more toward having fewer units and extremely low household income. The next was a question of whether people preferred having all of the affordable housing obligations occur in the geodon station area whether they could be units outside of the dearden station area and one of the reasons for that was a land cost is cheaper outside of the dearden station. You might be able to get more units and you'd also be able to spread the affordable housing throughout the city a little bit more. Who's really a two to one that said that developers should be able to build their outside of the dearden station area . The survey without specific about where are or how far or anything so it's beginning to point in a direction .

>> next please the next question is about displacement prevention and this question asks respondents to rank their preferences for using funds for community stabilization funds for different types of strategies. And so the five areas preserving existing affordable housing building new affordable housing extending homeless prevention services investing in community-based ownership project or expanding shelters and services for residents experiencing hopelessness. Looking at the results they are actually really pretty close all across the board. With a few little bit higher. But there's really a lot of sort of parallel here. And so the sag comments war to preserve existing affordable housing build new affordable housing and invest in community-based ownership. Only slightly different from what the public had suggested. The next question was about job training and education. And people are asked to rank the following ideas in the order that is most important for increasing job training and educational opportunities. Unless previous one wore real differences here the potential strategies with the top three being wanting for early childhood programs funding for k-12 programs to enhance korea and in scholarships to attend college . Lower down on the list was job training and to create employment services. Sag identify the top three priorities is job-training funding or job experience programs and funding for child hood. Here there was little bit of a difference from the members of said sag and the members of the public. But not a huge difference. Next. The last was if if there is funding left over after all of the three primary community benefits have been identified with the most important areas . So there's a recognition here that the funds are limited in second that those three or top priorities. Hopefully there still is money to do other things. And so the order in the preference for the nonprofits with the top followed by small business support . The following that is increased access to cities recreational programs and activity. And then there's maintenance of existing parks and open

space. Finally alibi support for local artists. So this is not that these are not important. But we saw with the art space with community center we saw was rank really high in the survey. I think with the question if there's funds left over from the other three primary community benefits what should they be used for. So that was the ranking we had here. Okay that was the end of this section again arjuna reiterate that it really is about honing in on some of the outstanding questions.

>> great thank you matt we're gonna move right into the discussion and jeffrey s your hand raised.

>> jeffrey buchanan with the partial partnerships usa. Obviously it's unfortunate that we didn't see as wider participation in the survey but I appreciate the approach in the survey. Certainly when we done our own survey work we saw strong support for anti-displacement and investment in affordable housing the kind of quality job issues and so appreciate the staff focus in the section on those issues. Would be interested in terms of the community stabilization fund I know it's a topic there's been some discussion of but it would be interested to hear a little bit more staff on on their initial thoughts. I do like the idea of trying to think about rather than rush to figure out every project between now and March to be able to have more time to be able to more intentional about things. I think the fund is interesting. But it's also raises questions about how for what and how decisions get made. Interested to see how if anything can be shared and what the initial thinking is run the fund. I appreciate the work by staff on this. > great thank you so much jeffrey. Kristen are city staff team want to respond to that .

>> hi kristin clemens housing department. I was going to say that there's been discussion about community uses kind of similar to what was named there is priorities. For affordable housing . Some development some potentially for preservation some potentially for protection activities. I think the actual uses and's still has to be determined. I know that kim and nancy and jackie on housing had been most involved in those conversations. If anybody wanted to join in on that.

>> kim would you like after that.

>> just from listening deeply to the community that we came up with those five areas to test. And so we're kind of trying to see if those are the five areas wear if you have a community

stabilization fund that you might sort of scope it. Where is there might be a narrower set. The land here in the table at this time is the project is going to happen over a long period of time. And the needs to invest the resources could change from year to year . So like you said jeffrey rather than sort of being really specific upfront maybe there is a mechanism that we create in the general scope and then some sense of how would the decision-making happen. From year to year. So that's the concept at this point. That's how it's done and some other communities. As well.

>> thanks. I see tail me . I want to come to you rational afterward. So kiyomi you're up if you want to take yourself off mute.

>> hi with the law foundation. Just following up on jeffrey's question. I know we're still in the exploratory space around the community stabilization fund. What's the best way to follow that process and for the community leaders to provide input just so that . Is it just a solid process how do we come to check in on that? And then secondarily are you thinking that this potential fund is going to or houses potential fund going to be working with some of the other housing policies and programs that you have going on right now.

>> thank you would like think the first-ever that.

>> on the first question be happy to meet with you or any organizations or individuals that have further thoughts and ideas on this. We've had a lot of conversations and is always happy to have more. So we can certainly meet and talk outside of this meeting. The second question , talk to jackie.

>> this jackie morel is the housing director. And we have multiple documents that are coming out. We have the displacement plan that's going to be coming before the council in August and we're going to be listening for what of the policy pieces and issues that arise to the top . We are working on affordable housing plan for this area. And that would be another place that we could take public input. And again just meeting with people who would want to continue to express concerns and interests. In this area.

>> thank you jackie good reginald denton david.

>> sorry I just want to make sure that we are conscious of and kim's introduction to open to us tonight was cognitive of some of the racist or racial divide between how we see these processes in our institutions. In many of the people that will take the survey are people like you. Guys that how our homeowners that are not pressed that are not in need of some the services. It went up talking a lot about the parks and open spaces but many of the people don't respond to these types of surveys are working very hard and they don't take or make the shift to doing specifically proactive because they are trying to exist. And so their voices many times are not heard or not able to weigh in. I think that is why some of our data can easily be skewed . I think there needs to be a deliberate breach and kim's statement about things will probably shift over time but there are some initial things that we probably especially around housing in early childhood education all those issues that came up in this community stabilization fund. There needs to be some more outreach so people can really this. That'll think we got the right answers quite yet. We might be close . This might be the exact right answers. But I think enough people responded first to know to have a good sense of how they feel especially about these life situations.

>> that's a great point reginald .

>> I wanted to respond to that because that was one of the reasons that I said that the housing department would take in the consideration the multiple initiatives that we've been engaged in. And so we have been doing extensive community outreach for anti-displacement project where we had community groups facilitate meetings that we are invited to and we did not actually have our standard meetings because frankly you are right nobody was coming to them. Because they didn't want to it was no way that was effective and outreaching to especially renter communities who didn't have the time or work connected to the city in these ways. So we did do very extensive outreach and frankly that work was based on resident perspective. We started our work we are very fortunate to have a grant with policy link and we have partnerships with outside community groups and all of that work was centered around community that was started. We're doing some more extensive outreach on our fair housing side I actually agree with you we have to find better ways to gauge people who don't normally participate in their voices will not be hurt. We will continue to do that work as we look at housing options.

>> I will talk to you guys about that like I said.

>> thank you jackie . Thank you reginald. We're going to move to david next .

>> david meyer for silicon valley . One small question than a couple quick questions my quick follow-up for jackie if there's any thinking about when the timing of this housing strategy if there is a time targeted for that? And then just real quickly couple of things one ice want to say we really appreciate one of the things that come out the latest staff working analysis is that we really think tim and his team really thinking big on housing on in general. That is one of the key ways for key factors of how we are going to get affordable housing in the area and we should get the 25 percent just the requirement. If we have more units we trying to get in there. The goal is set higher for how much affordable housing we are going to create. So really appreciate the city for thinking big bear. The other thing I do want to say is that I appreciate that some of the questions related to how do you prioritize funding for different types of affordable housing it's a tough question the city was posing in the surveys and I think it's great that there will posing these questions because they are really important. Boomers have unlimited resources. And I'm hoping that as we can go through and hear more about kind of the city's approach . I know the cities construct no affordable housing strategy still looking at all the different tools they have to create different levels of affordable housing whether it's extreme low, low income housing or weathered looking and inclusionary housing for low income people. And so I guess I would just say that we know that these trade-offs and questions about resources are real. We appreciate the cities looking at them. We hope that we will be looking at all the different ways that we can now really make this into binary decisions between more units at higher affordability levels or less units at lower affordability levels. I know we will be looking at those things. We appreciate that and I think that it's really key as we think about the stationary itself. That obviously what we want to be able to create affordable housing outside the stationary that's great we need more for the housing outside the stationary. Word investing a lot of resources creating rate area around the station. When we're talking about russians of equity and with access to those resources is obviously gonna be important that we make sure that there is affordable housing for sexually centered in the stationary and giving people access to those great resources that are going to be building.

>> so the question is that we anticipate the affordable housing question coming out is September to begin some community outreach on the plan.

>> thank you jackie and thank you david click we have one more comment from harvey and that I noticed that we are now up to and I greatly appreciate all your patience. We deftly want to leave room for public comment coming up next. So harvey if you want to unmute yourself .

>> harvey darnell north willow glen they want association. Throughout the site process I asked will times google how many support workers are you going to have. I know you going to employ up to 20,000 knowledge workers that will have high salaries. But how many support workers will you need and wear will they be housed ? We are we going to have the ally of vli and alia housing and how can we integrate that in a way with market rate housing so that we don't end up with communities such as when I was in school in st. Louis. We created .

>> let's keep this focus. Anybody want to respond to that .

>> let me finish. In chicago we created cabrini green which was torn down. So I'll make sure we don't do that. Then I have one other thing that I forgot on parks quick I don't want a response. At one point something out. The park presentation did not make good connections between the los gatos creek trail and the guadalupe a river trail. And I want to make sure that I've abandoned daylighting los gatos creek norm assured me also subissues why could not be done. Live in the cover but felt something parallel that is offstreet. Right now what is being proposed is not safe.

>> thank you harvey and thank you for that. Thank you all so much members of sag for your time and input be greatly appreciated. And now are importantly we're going to move to the public comment section wear as I mentioned earlier we're going to do typically how it's done at a city council meeting I do have a little time or and I warn you I accidentally pulled a one-man timer not knowing how the focus will be in attendance and wanting to come in. I see 10 folks that would like to share their input and I'm gonna do that timer twice to give each two minutes. To provide your comments. Again thank you all for sticking with us. So as I noted earlier the best way to do that looks like you all figured that out is to use the raise hand function to see your hand ranged and I will go adding call your name and unmute you and start the timer and again we will give you each two minutes and one less than again for those that are calling in or watching this video from afar this is your chance to also call in and provide your comments in the meeting id which is shared and have ridden down jennifer nevermind I have inherently many ideas 910628 7722. For those that might want to dial in that way. I apologize not have

time if I mispronounced name in any way I minister with catherine hedges and when she let me know you can hear us I'm going to start your timer. Catherine you can unmute .

>> I'm really concerned because one of my friends who was at the supervisors meeting yesterday said that the project has been changed to cut the housing project out on the south end of the project. And why are we cutting housing from the project ? This is terrible. We then replace it with more office space. Why? Is terrible. And apparently all the housing that's left is going to be the market rate housing. How can you do that? Do you just decide that since nobody is paying attention to the process during the pandemic and the process that you're going to cut out the affordable housing this is terrible. I yield my time goodbye.

>> thank you catherine for joining us. Next we have tom Mccarter should now be able to unmute .

>> hi my name is tom Mccarter and with tmb southbay. I'm also a former member of the north SanJosé task force. When I was on the task force they showed us a video back then of first street looking like castro street in mountain view and asking us would you like to have this? And everybody said yes. Then we got at first effort instead I am a little curious about what you're really committed to. You say 25 percent affordable housing with the question how these two choices . It sounds like not really when we are on the task force we discovered that developers could pay an extra fee and does not even bother with affordable housing. I want to know what he really committed to ? How come you can't just say to google 25 percent for you don't get to build it ? And complete thank you.

>> thank you so much time? We've made a mark as ?

>> hi thank you for the opportunity to comment. I have a few comments and suggestions and questions. First in regards the document correct me if I'm wrong staff suggested that this would require an and then them eir and therefore the future projects within the area would require a supplemental analysis. However since he said this is part of the downtown strategy er which was completed in 2014 with those future projects in this area would be carrying often the downtown strategy. And fishing the reason I'm asking is because the 2014 downtown strategy eir does not consider the california 2017 scoping plan of which as you know we are trying to achieve our reduction goals for 2030 and 20 2025 and 2050. In addition to type of document is

very very important component not only because of the public process but also because of the legal requirements. Because whether you do it addendum eir supplement them eir draft eir public's right to the legal process is very distinct. And the public compromises . We don't have the same rights depending on the type of document the city accomplishes or completes. So the other comment or suggestion has to do with open space and equity. As you know with covid there is the significant closure of city parks. And so because of overcrowding are the reasons I think very significant to consider in the event or the environmental analysis to draft the should have considered that. In addition my last suggestion with regards to outreach. Two minorities. And as a first generation mexican-american my knowledge in urban planning I think when you hire planners who are bilingual bicultural you can have a very significant increase in participation because we are bilingual and we understand our communities.

>> thank you so much next we have tessa?

>> I'm go to quote my husband but I really like to take four minutes. I know that's a lot. Say you really care about our opinion. We don't. So I'm going to take two minutes of my husband. I will started with what he said. I will continue it with what greta sundberg is saying. But what my husband said it's either demolition or is uncontrolled demolition. Then I would talk to about what greta sundberg says. I'm going to read that than with the other two minutes of for myself. And he is the climate activist greta sundberg. See you can better understand understand what she is saying. She is a climate activist dickstein. She addressed the us on new york on sunday. He is a full transcript of her speech beginning with the response to a question about the message she has for the world leaders. My messages and will be willing watching you this all wrong. Until wrong for so many reasons. And I will say that in my speech. I should be appear. I should be back in school on the other side of the ocean. You will come to us young people for hope how dare you. You've stolen my dreams and my childhood with the empty words and yet I'm one of the lucky ones.

>> tessa I don't want to cut you off but we will tessa I will test that we can have you .

>> you are not dealing climate change you are not dealing with that. And that is the problem. And you're sitting around telling about economic growth and how do you close the highway what you mean? Of course with the closed highway. We have a lot of things to do. A lot of

things to take care of one of things we are not changing as you are doing like the city of san josc you put us at the end of the meeting.

>> tessa I'm sorry as I mentioned earlier we cannot have anybody is for the kids watching this at home and others and we don't want to be offending anymore so we appreciate the passion we appreciate your input there will be multiple opportunities for you to provide input. Thank you for being a part of this meeting. Next we're going to move to lawrence?

>> so I'm interested in in the and know that you said that the los gatos creek was not visible at this time. I'm kind of wondering what will will be feasible. Don't wait another hundred years. What is causing it to be unfeasible is the hydrology issues is that the there's too many fiber-optic cables in the place are you requiring really generous trail currencies. Maybe we can find some engineering solutions to it. I'm glad that you have the los gatos creek as an off-road corridor and there but I think it's really jogging that it comes go shining across and then make left turns and returns and as many f grade crossings. Unit design it so it will be easy for the trail users to follow it. You don't need another maze like we have with the river trail. And also echo harvey's comments that you need to have a good connection with most cottage creek trail with the quarterly pretrial and also some open space and you engineering a green I'm just wondering how much will still be available to the public after the icon is still there. Or how much of the land is going to be given over to the icon. How much left over for the public. Thank you. That was my questions.

>> thank you so much larry. Next we have bill rankin. Billy should be able to unmute yourself.

>> hi I'm bill rankin on President Of shaver trails and President Of the north willow glen neighborhood association and also never have done. I've come to understand a new concept for the design of the southern approach to dear don station for the los gatos street trail is been introduced. The original idea was the trail heading north from cross under the new railroad bridge on the west side of the creek. To continue toward the station. However that railroad bridge ended up being built lower to the river than expected. I would've left the trail underwater for several weeks during the normal ebb and flow of the river during the rainy season. It is been suggested to cross the los gatos creek and then follow along the east side until it reaches montgomery. However in my opinion this point mood is moot but eventual raising of the railroad tracks to an elevated station platform the discuss gulf war. That railroad bridge will need

to be removed. I believe the city should take a two-pronged approach to the design of Los Gatos Creek Trail approaching Dear Don from the south. In the short term until the tracks are raised I believe the city should do everything in its power to make a safe connection from with the Guadalupe River and the trail system. Whether that is on street bike lanes or even sidewalks for short distances. There is already a fairly safe connection for pedestrians and bicycles to Dear Don station from Oz arrived by taking Dupont Street crossing Park and taking Laurel Grill Lane to the backside of Dear Don. In the long term the railroad prayed sure that was built too low for the trail will be removed. I think the city should continue to plan on the trail being on the west side of the creek. I also believe that as the trail approaches Montgomery the trail should go under Park Avenue because when the station is raised Park Avenue will be working at grade again. This will allow for a very safe pedestrian and bicycle connection to Dear Don. Also would like to see the connection made for the Los Gatos Street Trail between Meridian and Lincoln. Thank you very much.

>> Mayor Liccardo: thank you Bill for your time. Next we have Roland. You should be able to commute off now Roland.

>> can you hear me.

>> yes.

>> I'm actually extremely glad to be right behind Bill because I've got some great news for you. So we put the shelter in place to good use. And we started working full-time in the station. In the first thing we obviously have to do was we lifted. And then we started resolving all the issues in the north with Santa Clara and obviously the connection. I'm glad to tell you all of that was resolved we did that in an ample timeframe. Then we turned our attention to the south. In the southern half of the station is now just as important as the northern half. Park is just as important as Santa Clara. And then historical building is beautiful by ground nothing is going to happen to that it's going to be exactly the way it is. Something in the background is buying it but that's an old story. We then started going south and guess what we did the great separation for and then put those giving us fertile Los Gatos Street Trail is very useful. The one thing we disagree with Bill on is that we believe that the cars will come or continue to read the press the park. Now it's being open and used by the tracks should that should be open space so people will be walking across Park without having any interference with traffic. About 30

seconds left to limit truck appear on we will have a fully working station in the south we go up north and go and blow everything up. And we are about to share our good work with our friend jessica at dot and google and show these elements together we are going be able to show you digitalization exit walking to the station and how the elements tied together. Thank you.

>> thank you roland I appreciate your time. Next we have sandy perry. Sandy you should be able to unmute yourself.

>> yes thank you my name is cindy perry comes from affordable housing network. And I apologize because I missed the first part of the meeting and I missed whatever kim had to say but I did want to comment on the fact that we have been through in the last week or two a little revolution in San José. With the black and brown lives matter movement. In the last week or so there must have been about a thousand people who testified that city council meetings 95 percent of them calling for defunding the police and I think when we look at this dear don project we have to look at it in terms of its equity impact with teva plan to deal with the equity issue in relation to it because we're talking about we are bringing in a company with serious racial problem. We bring in the company that hires only two percent african-american and less than four percent latino workers. That's an extremely low and problematic number. And what we have to do is guarantee that this project is not going to make equity worse not going to have a nap adverse impact on equity but have a positive in order to do that we have to have an equity framing through this whole thing. And I didn't hear again I missed kim's comments but I didn't hear it in the general discussion was taking place in the meeting in the past have talked about housing and homelessness. Her people talk about safety and the service. And again we can deal with homeless people in terms of calling the police. That is not an effective strategy. Finally that is what I would call . We have an equity plan that goes through this entire project. Thank you.

>> I appreciate that sandy and just remind everyone this is being recorded and will be posted to the project website so you can capture everything that we said throughout the entire meeting there. With that we are going to move to alex sure . Alex should be able to take yourself off mute.

>> I was able to unmute. Thank you. So my name is alex sure I live in the neighborhood is just west of dear don. I've been in three homes over seven years now in the neighborhood. And

now I actually lived right next door to dear don station neck near the changes we speak. So wanted to share a little bit about that but this process is not about capturing my perspective as a white guy who solidly middle-class voices like mine and perhaps some of the folks on here tonight are pretty well represented in the process. So I think and I think dave from inviting ideas from all of you tonight on reaching unheard on represented stakeholders and I want to thank the city for saying that smarter with nonprofits to engage these underrepresented audiences that we talked about tonight. Of the seven groups displayed earlier in the presentation tonight we have a church a community-based organization in the mayfair neighborhood one focused on serving latina latino businesses. The other the african-american community and a mucus music focus group. And is the executive director of catalyze. We are also one of those seven groups. Say while we are broad-based organization we are not diverse enough to capture the views of underrepresented the city of SanJosé has been targeting. So we are designing workshops with other community-based organizations that are focused on two populations usually not part of the process. The vietnamese community and the arts and creative community. Which of survey results presented tonight shows as an important focus for the respondents. So the goal is to create an avenue for engagement for those not part of this process right now. And we welcome the sags ideas on how to engage especially during this covid area era. Thank you so much.

>> thank you. Alex thank you so much. I believe we have blair beekman next . You should be able to take yourself off mute.

>> thank you. Can you hear me? Thank you thank you for the words from cindy perry it was nice to hear tonight. With the mpc involved I hope the SanJosé and area chr can become a process for thought and different options can now be open and shared. These different options can include the chs are with and some interesting ebay mass transit and public transit connections been between. This can also have less SanJosé peninsula housing displacement issues as well. And good practices creek over 19 I didn't quite hear jackie's speech tonight but the pt and city of SanJosé May be asking around what can be extremely low very low and mixed income housing. Again so and the important issue with the digital inclusion of this common SanJosé based on the massive push broadband envision their technology by the federal government in the days after covid 19 they could be clear it should be clear that could open public policies need a better seat at the table. Now to address the importance of digital inclusion. Years of equity and et al. Operation the digital divide in SanJosé. It simply must be

respected and talked about how minimal use practices can accomplish just about as much as the work of oversaturation and over deployment of broadband and local neighborhoods at this time. I'm sorry if my words may be uncomfortable I'm actually trying to build bridges. I'm I'm ready to admit I hope we are ready to admit that could open public policy can have a helpful important part in this still very experiment led years of digital inclusion and deposit ideas of positive long-term community health and sustainability. Please remember it's good open guidelines include legal precedents that good policies at the local level across the country at this time that is important factor to help open up many important positive democratic practices and the ideas of long-term sustainability. To conclude I hope it's become clear that the edges of equity can offer positive focus of the many ideas of good community future and a good roadmap and the decisions to be made and now covid 19 city budget for the next three years. Filing with the many good human rights and civil rights guidelines practices and ideals the local level they can continually ask for the betterment.

>> blair when asked you to wrap up. Continually ask of the national and international level we can ask groups like the UN and the WHO for the better practices as well. Thank you.

>> thank you blair. Next we have Jeremy Taylor Jeremy you should be able to take yourself off mute.

>> I want to make two points I continue to hear a lot of people talk about how we are making this harder on low income people. I live in Gardner I live 50 feet from the tracks less than half a mile for in Google would be. The people in my neighborhood are really not renters anymore. At least that the low income these people have been here for a generation or two. We have three generations living in some houses and the reality is they are selling their homes there making the decision to do it in their moving away. We are having new homes built. We should be thinking about higher rents affecting these people live near all of Dearborn. These people are moving on. The one thing I like to see done better is talking more about the building heights seems like we are considering going up an additional 200 feet and it's really going to affect the skyline in my neighborhood. Poor us but at the same time it would be nice to hear more Trent Spencer and Annie and. Just tell us what is going on. Thank you.

>> thank you so much Jeremy our final speaker is Jane Dresden and you should now be able to take yourself off mute.

>> thank you my name is jean dresden on with san josc parks advocates. In a few points tonight the survey was very misleading to the community by focusing exclusively on the community center. And it did not include a discussion of parks and open space. An interface between city plans and goals and the potential downtown west plans that have been submitted. We still do not have a clear understanding of whether or not new residents will have adequate public space. We learned from covid that small parks are inadequate and we need to have that in mind . Staff indicated that parks will be arvin form but we don't know what that means. Recently performed international studies of parks used during covid it showed the people have completely changed how they use parks. And this May change design. We are concerned that there seems to be duplicative efforts with the gardner community center nearby that has wonderful facilities but is not staffed and is not serving the nearby neighborhoods. The d staff neighborhood is the enrollment area for the elementary school that is adjacent to gardner community center. So we think it's duplicative. And with google's express office of community space the city should be exploring joint partnerships that May meet most of those needs. There are so many issues that are yet to be decided upon and it does not seem in a substantive way for dialogue in these issues. And we agree that there was not adequate outreach to diverse populations . The surveys use of broadband made that happen. We think that the formation of an ongoing working group is important and they should have the charge of maintaining the vision of the livable community with open space affordable housing and community benefits that balance the chains associated with high density. Thank you.

>> thank you jean. Okay thank you all again for spending your evening with us members of the public I know that was a long wait. I just wanted to reiterate very quickly what the next steps are in the process in terms of community engagement. We are hoping to meet with you on September and will be in touch with that exact date we are anticipating that you will go virtual again giving the state wherein but we are hoping to be able to see you face-to-face if depending on on how things change here moving forward. Other community opportunities is to mention earlier we are hoping to hold virtual office hours as well as meetings with the community so not just with the community and we are to a loud and clear you want to be able to have more focused meetings with stakeholders and those impacted most in the surrounding communities and that is deftly something that is planned as well. As well as those recordings that google left posted to their website and meetings that there are planning to hold in September and October. With that I want to again thank you so much it was a marathon of the

meeting really important for us to be able to connect again here. We really appreciate your time I will turn it over to kim if you have any final comments.

>> I just really want to emphasize that one of the reasons to have sag and the reason was constructed as it was was because each of you have access to important networks gonna follow-up some of you spoke this afternoon but I want you to know that the city staff is really committed to community engagement like real community engagement and we are willing to go anyplace anywhere to listen. So if you have ideas about how you can help us engage with important communities who you feel are not being heard at this point in the voices are critical we really want to partner with you s sag members on that. Thanks everybody for really good meeting and really active participation.

>> thank you all have a great night.