

From: [Jean Dresden](#)
To: [Nicolle Burnham](#)
Cc: [Hughey, Rosalynn](#); [Klein, Nanci](#); [Zenk, Jessica](#); [Downtown West Project](#); [Sanderfer, Larissa](#)
Subject: Re: Revisit Google's Development Agreement through the lens of security
Date: Saturday, February 27, 2021 6:56:49 PM
Attachments: [Screen Shot 2021-02-27 at 3.53.13 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2021-02-27 at 6.50.44 PM.png](#)

Nicolle (and all)

Although Google is planning retail and commercial in some locations, aren't we expecting a great deal of an aggrieved active shooter and protestors to identify which operations are Google and which are not? Perhaps, they can figure out that Starbucks is not Google, but would they understand that an architectural firm is not?

Given that retail is so weak, it might be unreasonable to believe that the retail space will be filled until the end of the lifetime of the buildings. It suggests that Google would have to subsidize the rent to get retailers to fill that ground level. I hope that rent subsidy is in the DA. When visiting, I learned the City of Melbourne created an ordinance so that building owners were required to subsidize retail on the ground floor in the famous Laneways. In many cases, the retail is "micro" and used to help low income individuals to start in business.

The proposed new Google building in Sunnyvale "the Caribbean" is designed like a bunker on one side and the other sides are wrapped in metal cladding. Perhaps San Jose's buildings will have similar security features in their design.

Google is becoming a lightning rod for protest which has been acknowledged by Google staff in discussion with Mountain View planning staff. When Diridon receives more passengers per day that the airport the combination of Google plus Diridon seems like a great location for protest.

—Jean

Google's Carribean project in Sunnyvale





On Feb 24, 2021, at 5:25 PM, Burnham, Nicolle <nicolle.burnham@sanjoseca.gov> wrote:

Jean-

Thank you for bringing this forward and elevating the issue. As you can imagine this change in Mountain View has prompted a number of questions from San Jose staff. We have asked Google for additional information and clarification on why this closure of spaces would not occur in San Jose and they will provide details. One thing we do know right now is that the Mountain View campus has staff offices at the ground floor, making employees vulnerable in the event of an active shooter scenario. In San Jose the ground floor space will be commercial and retail in most locations with offices on the floors above. As a result, the employees would not be as vulnerable in San Jose than as in Mountain View.

More to come on this topic, for certain. It is important to all of us.

-Nicolle

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Dresden [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 3:10 PM

To: Hughey, Rosalynn <Rosalynn.Hughey@sanjoseca.gov>; Klein, Nanci

<Nanci.Klein@sanjoseca.gov>; Burnham, Nicolle

<nicolle.burnham@sanjoseca.gov>; Zenk, Jessica <Jessica.Zenk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Revisit Google's Development Agreement through the lens of security

[External Email]

Attached is a note about Google's change to their Mountain View Development Agreement as part of a corporate decision to increase security at all campuses. Please review the draft development agreement and consider what would happen if Google implemented the same at Diridon

Thanks
Jean Dresden

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.