

From: [Severino, Lori](#)
To: [Rood, Timothy](#); [Hughey, Rosalynn](#); [Manford, Robert](#); [Han, James](#); [Tu, John](#); [Ruano, Jose](#); [Clements, Kristen](#); [VanderVeen, Rachel](#); [Morales-Ferrand, Jacky](#); [Eidlin, Eric](#); [Zenk, Jessica](#); [Burnham, Nicolle](#); [Sanderfer, Larissa](#); [Walesh, Kim](#); [Ekern, Bill](#); [Wessling, Cheryl](#); [Keyon, David](#); [Handler, Elisabeth](#); [Phan, Johnny](#); [Benabente, Julie](#); [Ogilvie, Michael](#); [Klein, Nanci](#); [Do, Ryan](#); [Zsutty, Yves](#); [Mendez, Zacharias](#); [Adams-Hapner, Kerry](#); [Hill, Shannon](#); [O'Connor, Kevin](#); [Banwait, Manjit](#); [Lapustea, Florin](#); [Tom, Vivian](#); [Breslin, Emily](#); [Tam, Wilson](#); [Peak, Dana](#); [Chima, Vicrim](#); [Dave Javid](#); [dianab](#); ["Suhaila Sikand"](#); ["Matthew Raimi"](#)
Cc: [Downtown West Project](#)
Subject: Downtown West online feedback forms
Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 5:07:34 PM
Attachments: [Web-Comments-Fall-2020-Downtown West_new.xlsx](#)

We received a few more responses to the DTW feedback form since 12/14. See attached.

Thanks!

Lori

From: Severino, Lori

Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 4:42 PM

To: Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>; Hughey, Rosalynn <Rosalynn.Hughey@sanjoseca.gov>; Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Han, James <James.Han@sanjoseca.gov>; Tu, John <john.tu@sanjoseca.gov>; Jose Ruano (Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov) <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>; Kristen Clements (Kristen.Clements@sanjoseca.gov) <Kristen.Clements@sanjoseca.gov>; VanderVeen, Rachel <Rachel.VanderVeen@sanjoseca.gov>; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; Eidlin, Eric <eric.eidlin@sanjoseca.gov>; Jessica Zenk (Jessica.Zenk@sanjoseca.gov) <Jessica.Zenk@sanjoseca.gov>; Burnham, Nicolle <nicolle.burnham@sanjoseca.gov>; Sanderfer, Larissa <Larissa.Sanderfer@sanjoseca.gov>; Walesh, Kim <Kim.Walesh@sanjoseca.gov>; Ekern, Bill <Bill.Ekern@sanjoseca.gov>; Wessling, Cheryl <Cheryl.Wessling@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Handler, Elisabeth <elisabeth.handler@sanjoseca.gov>; Phan, Johnny <Johnny.Phan@sanjoseca.gov>; Benabente, Julie <Julie.Benabente@sanjoseca.gov>; Ogilvie, Michael <michael.ogilvie@sanjoseca.gov>; Klein, Nanci <Nanci.Klein@sanjoseca.gov>; Do, Ryan <ryan.do@sanjoseca.gov>; Zsutty, Yves <Yves.Zsutty@sanjoseca.gov>; Mendez, Zacharias <Zacharias.Mendez@sanjoseca.gov>; Adams-Hapner, Kerry <Kerry.Adams-Hapner@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>; O'Connor, Kevin <Kevin.Oconnor@sanjoseca.gov>; Banwait, Manjit <Manjit.Banwait@sanjoseca.gov>; Lapustea, Florin <Florin.Lapustea@sanjoseca.gov>; Tom, Vivian <Vivian.Tom@sanjoseca.gov>; Breslin, Emily <Emily.Breslin@sanjoseca.gov>; Wilson Tam (wilson.tam@sanjoseca.gov) <wilson.tam@sanjoseca.gov>; Peak, Dana <Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov>; Chima, Vicrim <Vicrim.Chima@sanjoseca.gov>; Dave Javid <dave@plantoplace.com>; Diana Benitez <diana@raimiassociates.com>; Suhaila Sikand <suhaila@plantoplace.com>; Matthew Raimi <matt@raimiassociates.com>

Cc: Downtown West Project <downtownwest@esassoc.com>

Subject: Prep for Thurs Internal Coordination meeting on Downtown West

Hi team,

The purpose of the Internal Coordination meeting this Thursday at 1:00 will be to **reflect on the**

public input received on the Downtown West project this fall and identify key themes, determine any issues that may need additional work, and discuss any additional comments to provide to the applicant (either this week or another time) resulting from the community feedback. In addition to informing all of your work, the product from this meeting will help us prepare report-back documents for the public. I know you all have been considering the input along the way, so this is an opportunity to share with each other, brainstorm together, and document key take-aways in a systematic way.

The proposed meeting format is to go category by category, filling out the table below. I plan to ask the PMs to start the conversation for each category (John, Larissa, Eric), then open it up for the group's discussion. Please think about and share the most common questions/points of confusion, concerns, popular features, and suggestions for improvement that we heard this fall (below are summaries to help you refresh).

Discussion table for each category

Community Input Theme – Fall 2020	Needs further work/ exploration? (y/n)	Add to applicant comment letter? (y/n)	Add a response to the FAQs? (y/n)	Other notes
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

Tentative agenda:

1. Introductions, meeting purpose, confirm discussion format (5 min)
2. Discussions on these categories:
 - a. Land use, design, historic, planning process (20 min)
 - b. Parks, open space, creek, community spaces (25 min)
 - c. Mobility, parking, transit (20 min)
 - d. Infrastructure, utilities, other environmental issues not already discussed (10 min)
 - e. *(I propose holding these topics for discussion in January: Affordable Housing, Jobs/Education, DA/Community Benefits, Small Business, Art)*
3. Summary (5 min)
 - a. Next steps

Here are summaries of the feedback from some of the events and public meetings held this fall (I'll send more as they are ready). **Please review these summaries in advance, if you can.**

- [Nov 9th SAAG meeting summary](#)
- [Oct 19th Downtown West Community Meeting – list of questions and comments](#)
- [Oct 21st Vietnamese Community Workshop \(Catalyze SV and VAR\) meeting summary with 9 themes](#)
- [Downtown West Online Feedback Form responses \(as of 12/14/20\)](#)
- [Downtown West DEIR public comments](#)

- [Nov 4th Historic Landmarks Commission \(Downtown West Draft EIR\) meeting minutes](#)
- [Nov 18th Parks and Recreation Commission meeting minutes](#)
- [Sept 25th AACSA Diridon Presentation and Q&A – poll results](#)

Let me know if you have any questions or suggestions.

Thanks!

Lori

Lori Severino

Diridon Program Manager

(408) 535-3537

www.diridonsj.org

Submitted On	Name	Email	What questions do you have	What resonates with you the most	What needs improvement	Additional Comments	Zip Code	Age	Gender	RaceEthni city	Preferred Language
12/15/2020 19:19:38			I don't see any space or mention of the SJC-Diridon people mover studied in last year's RFI and mentioned in the Airport Master Plan? Won't it be much harder to integrate later?	I LOVE the pedestrian priority for the new streets.	connection to SJC and integration of the new HS rail station design.		95130	54	male	White or Caucasian	english
12/21/2020 16:12:51			Why is this taking so long? The plans look amazing.	Revitalization of the city.	The slow churning bureaucracy.		95126				
12/25/2020 15:25:56			Who has the most to gain from this project financially? Will it reduce our taxes? Will it benefit the environment? If so, how? What laws were broken or side stepped, such a CEQA or others? What political favors were granted and to who? What financial favors or benefits were granted and to who? I have trust issues with all agencies, government, corporations and media. There is no accountability or responsibility or truth. What is the "real" truth about this project and not the marketing hype and social media push, specially within and for the community?	Printing	Transparency, accountability, responsibility and truth in the entire plan and its ramifications and cost to the community. Quality of life for existing homeowners and residents is not considered at all in this plan. It's all about greed and financial gain for the few at the expense of the many long time residents who already live here. We are already feeling squeezed out at every income and lifestyle level, so there is not upside for current residents in this plan. This plan is NOT what we signed up for when we moved here. Where is the benefit for us? Certainly NOT Sam Liccardo becoming the next what ever state elected or appointed political office, that would be for him and not for us who live here.	I'm not in favor of the project in any way. Health and safety of San Jose residents is not a consideration in this project. Property values of current residents is not a consideration. Pandemics were not a consideration in this project. Where is the nearest healthcare facility and will it be overwhelmed in a catastrophe or pandemic, how many ICU beds will it have? How will it function for city residents in an emergency. Did SJC Office of Emergency Service have input? How will they interface with the project when it is complete? How will EMS or OES respond to the rest of the city? Over population is the biggest contributor to climate change, environmental and habitat destruction and there is no consideration for that in this plan, just more destruction of the environment. The purpose of the R1 zone is to stabilize and protect the residential characteristics of district and to promote and encourage a suitable environment for family. The R1 zone is intended to be used only for single-family homes and service appurtenant thereto. I'm in favor of protecting and preserving R1 Single Family Home Zoning in San Jose and California. This plan is not protecting me and my family or our home here in San Jose. It is taking from us, not benefiting us in anyway. So, we are paying for it and don't want it!					
01/06/2021 4:15:51			Nope	Finally there is a company that can make San Jose Great Again! Please, don't drive another business away, something California seems to be good (and proud...) at.	1. Bicycle trails. Is that being included? Love green energy!	Can't wait~	95117			Prefer not to say	english
01/06/2021 18:55:17			Will there be any changes to the streets and what affect would occur to the creeks? Any new trails along the creeks? Will any of the housing be considered affordable housing? Would any of the housing be set aside for seniors?	Nothing	The size is disconcerting. As many companies leave California for Texas, I worry that Google builds this and then leaves or leaves after approval and nothing gets done.		95008	46	Female	White or Caucasian	English