From: <u>Keyon, David</u>

To: <u>Downtown West Project</u>

Subject: Fw: Substation Service Option Diagrams

Date: Friday, August 21, 2020 11:30:19 AM

Attachments: Substations CEC.pdf

David Keyon

Principal Planner, Environmental Review City of San Jose (408) 535-7898 david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov

From: Karl Heisler < KHeisler@esassoc.com>

Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:28 PM

To: Hill, Shannon < Shannon. Hill@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Elliott Schwimmer < ESchwimmer@esassoc.com>; Hillary Gitelman < HGitelman@esassoc.com>;

Linda S. Peters <|speters@esassoc.com>; Meryka Dirks <mdirks@esassoc.com>; Pete Choi

<PChoi@esassoc.com>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: RE: Substation Service Option Diagrams

[External Email]

Shannon: To answer your question about PG&E substations, only San Jose A is within the project area and that's why it is the only one that shows up in the project description utility map. San Jose B is at Coleman Avenue and El Patio is way over in Campbell, near SR 17/Hamilton Avenue (see attached map from the California Energy Commission). We will clarify these locations in the text, and will also show the proposed on-site substation.

Thank you.

Karl F. Heisler

ESA | Environmental Science Associates

550 Kearny Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94108-2512 phn 415.896.5900 | fax 415.896.0332

From: Hill, Shannon < Shannon. Hill@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:40 PM

To: Elliott Schwimmer <ESchwimmer@esassoc.com>; Hillary Gitelman

<HGitelman@esassoc.com>; Karl Heisler <KHeisler@esassoc.com>; Linda S. Peters

<lspeters@esassoc.com>; Meryka Dirks <MDirks@esassoc.com>; Pete Choi

<PChoi@esassoc.com>

Cc: Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Substation Service Option Diagrams

Hi All,

Please refer to the email thread below and the attached diagrams.

Per Robert's email below, please include information about these options in the project description and energy section.

Thanks!

Shannon Hill, Planner

Planning, Building & Code Enforcement | Environmental Review Section City of San José | 200 East Santa Clara Street Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov | (408) 535 - 7872

From: Manford, Robert

Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 1:54 PM

To: Keyon, David <<u>david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov</u>>; Hill, Shannon

<<u>Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov</u>>

Cc: 'Bhavesh Parikh' < bhaveshp@google.com>

Subject: FW: service option diagrams

David/Shannon:

Per yesterday's discussion about the possible on-site station on parcel F5, please forward the attached to ESA, so that they can include this in the project description and also the energy section.

From: Mitchell, Lori

Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 1:33 PM

To: Paul Dunne <pauldunne@google.com>; Iana Nedialkova <nedialkova@google.com>; breyer@google.com; bhaveshp@google.com; Cindy Muller <cindymuller@google.com>;

Manford, Robert < Robert < Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Ortbal, Jim < <u>Jim.Ortbal@sanjoseca.gov</u>>; Ekern, Bill < <u>Bill.Ekern@sanjoseca.gov</u>>;

Benabente, Julie < <u>Julie.Benabente@sanjoseca.gov</u>>; O'Connor, Kevin

< <u>Kevin.Oconnor@sanjoseca.gov</u>> **Subject:** service option diagrams

Attached are the service options diagrams from Flynn we discussed yesterday.

As Doug notes there appears to be very little difference between the physical footprint of Alt 0, 2 and 2A.

Lori

From: Doug Boccignone [mailto:dougbocc@flynnrci.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 11:09 AM

To: Mitchell, Lori < Lori. Mitchell@sanjoseca.gov >

Cc: O'Connor, Kevin < <u>Kevin.Oconnor@sanjoseca.gov</u>>; Barry Flynn < <u>brflynn@flynnrci.com</u>>; Mike Keller < <u>mikekeller@flynnrci.com</u>>

Subject: Google service option diagrams

Lori,

As discussed yesterday, the attached PDFs include a high level comparison of the service alternative site plans for Alt 0, 2 and 2A (03052020 Service Alternative Site Plans.pdf) and the related single line diagrams (03052020 Service Alternative Single Lines). We have not had time to add legends to the slides to more clearly identify the segments of the existing facilities that will be removed (these are shown in green). The diagrams show that there appears to be very little difference between the physical footprint of Alt 0, 2 and 2A, and very little difference in the electrical connections between Station A and the combined customer substation/switching station vs. the separate customer substation/PG&E switching station (though the combined customer substation/switching station is a simpler/less costly configuration). I wonder if it would be possible for the DEIR description of the electrical interconnection facilities to be general enough so that it would encompass all three Alternatives.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Doug

Doug Boccignone Principal Flynn Resource Consultants Inc. 5440 Edgeview Drive Discovery Bay, CA 94505 888-634-7509 (phone and fax) dougbocc@flynnrci.com

This electronic message contains information from Flynn Resource Consultants Inc., which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be sent to the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying or distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by email at Security@FlynnRCL.com.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

