

From: [Mendez, Zacharias](#)
To: [Burnham, Nicolle](#); [Zsutty, Yves](#)
Subject: Re: Open Space Comment Agenda
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:16:48 AM
Attachments: [2020_0602 Google Comment Discussion Agenda_DRAFT_V2.docx](#)

It would probably help to attach the appropriate agenda. I apologize for that. The updated agenda is now attached.

ZM.

ZACHARIAS MENDEZ | Parks Planner
Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services
City Hall | Tel: 408.793.4171
200 East Santa Clara Street, San José, CA 95113
Building Community Through Fun
sanjoseca.gov/prns | www.vivcallesj.org

From: Mendez, Zacharias
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:09 AM
To: Burnham, Nicolle <nicolle.burnham@sanjoseca.gov>; Zsutty, Yves <Yves.Zsutty@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Open Space Comment Agenda

Good Morning Nicolle,

I was thinking about the flow of the meeting more after our conversation yesterday. Attached is a recommended agenda to guide our conversation and allow page turn of the document as well. I think it will allow you to frame the conversation and raise the highest level points, give Yves a chance to review the trail alignment, and I can dive into the comments and make sure our and other departments comments are address.

The content did not change much, just focused it a bit more. Let me know what you think about this agenda.

Thanks,
Zak

ZACHARIAS MENDEZ | Parks Planner
Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services
City Hall | Tel: 408.793.4171
200 East Santa Clara Street, San José, CA 95113
Building Community Through Fun
sanjoseca.gov/prns | www.vivcallesj.org

DWDSG OPEN SPACE COMMENT REVIEW

DRAFT AGENDA VERSION 2

1. Introduction and Overview – Nicolle (10-20 Min)
 - a. DWDSG Chapter Review
 - i. Reserve right to review complete document before providing final comments on the DWDSG
 - ii. Clearer understanding of who these spaces are intended for is needed.
 - b. Design Review Process and Regulatory Approval
 - i. What is the proposed review process. What do you expect the process to be?
 - ii. If DWDSG is going to act as the master plan:
 1. We are comfortable with what we see, we need assurance that what ends up being built is not far off from what we are looking at.
 2. More detail on each site is required if this is the case.
 - a. Including what might change.
 3. What is the mechanism for this document to evolve over time?
 - c. Regulatory Agencies
 - i. How does Google expect to engage with regulatory agencies? Which agencies are expected to be engaged?
 - ii. Valley Water coordination and maintaining positive relationships.
2. Trail Alignment – Yves (15 Min)
 - a. PRNS walkthrough of trail alignment
 - i. User experience
 - ii. Connectivity and access
 - iii. Relationship to open space
 - iv. Relationship to street network
 - v. Trail continuity and future alignment development
3. Document Page Turn – Zak (Remaining Time)
 - a. Accessory Use Buildings (Kiosks)
 - i. Sizes, lighting, signage
 - ii. Uses of kiosks must be consistent with the values and goals of the Department
 1. Educational and recreational purposes
 2. Nature and ecology
 3. Park operations
 4. Commercial purposes that support activation of open space
 - iii. Preference for local vendors
 1. PRNS partner organizations
 2. City partner organizations/programs
 3. Local small businesses
 - b. Public Life/Place Identity
 - i. Open space activation

1. Approval process for events? Limitations and requirements?
- ii. Public Art
 1. Public art has a defined process
 2. Limitations on artistic design of open spaces
- c. Viable Park Space
 - i. Los Gatos Creek Park
- d. Performance Targets (Open Space and Accessory Use Buildings)
 - i. Tied to theme and programming
 - ii. Appropriate to space
 - iii. Operation Plan/Business Plan for commercial vendors
- e. DISC
 - i. Impacts to open space as understood by Google