

From: Barry Flynn
To: O'Connor, Kevin
Cc: Doug Boccignone; Mike Keller; Benabente, Julie
Subject: RE: Brief Updates
Date: Tuesday, February 18, 2020 6:26:25 AM

[External Email]

Hi Kevin:

We are hoping to discuss the following with you at your earliest convenience on, or preferably before, our next scheduled check-in call with you. We have developed the following possible scope additions that could be used to make changes to Service Order 6 or create a new service order. We are hoping our discussion with you will allow us to develop a revised or new service order scope that follows your desire/s for future Flynn RCI work:

- I. Continue discussions with SJ Staff and Google
- II. Continue to refine options for an SIS application
 - a. Review status of CAISO studies that define the capability of the PG&E system serving the SVP/San Jose 115kV system with a focus on the capability to add load to new stations in the vicinity of Station A/Station B/FMC. Sharing the details of such review with SJ Staff and Google Staff and consultants would require San Jose and Google to sign the CAISO Transmission Planning Process and WECC non-disclosure agreements as described in the links below:
 - i. <http://www.caiso.com/Documents/RegionalTransmissionNonDisclosureAgreementSubmissionInstructions.pdf>
 - ii. http://www.caiso.com/Documents/RegionalTransmissionNon_DisclosureAgreement.pdf
 - b. Investigate local resource options that would connect to the new SJ distribution system. The goals of the local resources could be to:
 - i. Remove any momentary interruptions
 - ii. Provide various levels of resilience for expected load:
 1. Google Village
 2. Plus, DSA load
 3. Plus, other SJ load beyond DSA
 - c. Investigate feasibility to use local resources to minimize load needed to be served via 115kV connection
 - d. Investigate feasibility to serve at least some of the overall San Jose Clean Energy CCA local resource needs (including resource adequacy)
 - e. If necessary, investigate options to mitigate any delays in obtaining the 115kV transmission connection
 - f. Work with SJ Staff and Google to investigate availability of land to meet above varying requirements.
- III. Develop elements of a feasibility study for the new San Jose public power service to recover all costs related to distribution service assuming various capital costs for achieving 115kV transmission service from PG&E/CAISO
 - a. Estimate rates needed for San Jose to recover all costs
 - b. Compare the above costs with projections of PG&E retail distribution rates
- IV. Work with San Jose Staff to outline various organizational options to build the required staff to reliably and safely operate the new envisioned San Jose distribution system
 - a. Describe staffing of other public power organizations with needs approximating those envisioned for San Jose
 - b. Investigate compatibility with sharing resources with those required by the existing San Jose/Santa Clara sewage treatment plant electric infrastructure operations and maintenance

Barry Flynn
888-634-7516

From: O'Connor, Kevin <Kevin.Oconnor@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Barry Flynn <brflynn@flynnrci.com>
Cc: Doug Boccignone <dougbocc@flynnrci.com>; Mike Keller <mikekeller@flynnrci.com>; Benabente, Julie <Julie.Benabente@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Brief Updates

Barry,

Here are a couple of brief updates on some of the items we discussed yesterday.

1. Per Google, I am expecting that the engineer from their consultant, ARUP will be attending our meeting tomorrow.
2. The table below is a list of near-term topics that I recently provided to Google for future discussion at a weekly meeting that has now been scheduled between the City and Google. I lined them up based on the sensitivity of timing as I see it. We tentatively agreed, but recognize that some weekly planning of the meetings will be needed, including coordination with Flynn when your attendance is important. Cindy is unavailable this week, so planning for next week's meeting will be difficult. Here is the tentative schedule, but again, until we have a confirmed topic and agenda, the meeting are tentative. Any feedback you have is appreciated.
 - 2/19, 4-5 pm – no topic/agenda yet. Could be first collective discussion on the MOU or a good opportunity to solidify our agendas and process going forward. Can Flynn participate in a MOU discussion if that ends up being the topic?
 - 2/26, 3-5 pm – legal feasibility discussion. Working with Google and City's outside counsel to schedule and prepare. Can Flynn participate if the meeting is confirmed?
 - 3/6 and each week going forward for the next 2-3 months at 3-5 pm. No topics/agendas determined for specific meetings.Also, I recognize that the third item on the list below regarding the interconnection applications might be complicated after our discussion yesterday about the NDAs with PG&E. More discussion and understanding on my end is needed.
3. City Council approval for the City to submit the interconnection application is scheduled for 2/25. The memo will post this Friday. You can access it from city's website then or I will send you a link next Tuesday when I return from the long weekend. We stated in the memo that the application will be submitted in March/April timeframe, so we have additional time to prepare.
4. Barry mentioned the possibility of amending the current SO #6 to address the change in schedule for the interconnection application. I also recognize that there may be more meeting commitments for you than we anticipated. Let's plan to discuss at a future check-in meeting on how to address.
5. On that note, can we plan our next check-in meeting for the morning of 3/24. It's a Monday and I'm available until 11 am. Let me know if a conference call earlier that morning works.

Thanks for all your work and I'll see you tomorrow.

Kevin O'Connor
Energy Resilience Coordinator
City of San Jose Community Energy Department
Email: kevin.oconnor@sanjoseca.gov
Ph: (408) 535-8538

Future Meeting Topics	Current Time Sensitivity	Status	Next Action before Meeting
Review/discuss analysis of infrastructure alternatives studied by CSJ	Very High (Feb 12?) EIR is critical path item; 2 nd ADEIR review done 2/25	Flynn prepared to present analysis to Google's design team (ARUP?); can be scheduled separately if easier	Schedule for next opportunity (Cindy/Kevin to coordinate design consultants' availability)
Review/discuss legal analysis of interconnection options	High (late Feb-early March) Critical for March/April CSJ interconnection application	CSJ to receive outside counsel study in February; Google status ???	CSJ to finalize its study; Google? (Cindy/Kevin to coordinate legal counsels' availability).
Review of Google's interconnection application and coordination of CSJ application information	High (early March) Important for March CSJ interconnection application and to ensure consistent info to PG&E	CSJ compiling data	Determine method for CSJ to understand application data submitted by Google to PG&E; CSJ to prepare draft application for review.
MOU Discussion and development	Moderate-high (March) First "agreed-upon" working document prior to CSJ submittal of interconnection application	Outline/Structure discussed and approved	Google to initiate document and prepare draft content for further development
Review and alignment of development and interconnection process schedules	Moderate-high (March) Important for managing work and remaining on track; key piece of MOU	CSJ and Google schedules exist somewhat separately	Someone to draft "master" schedule for review at meeting
Update on PG&E studies and determine approach going forward	Moderate (April)	?	Coordination with PG&E?

Kevin O'Connor
Energy Resilience Coordinator
City of San Jose Community Energy Department
Email: kevin.oconnor@sanjoseca.gov
Ph: (408) 535-8538

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.