

**From:** [Brilliot, Michael](#)  
**To:** [Ruano, Jose](#); [Rood, Timothy](#)  
**Cc:** [Hart, Jared](#); [Han, James](#); [Tu, John](#); [Hill, Shannon](#)  
**Subject:** Re: GP Designations for DTW  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 25, 2020 4:49:26 PM

---

Hi Jose,

I agree with your and Jared's approach below.

Not related to this approach I noticed a couple of errors in the attached proposed land use map and the map sent to Shannon. Some parcels in the southern cat ears are shown with a proposed Downtown land use designation. These parcels, however, are outside of the Downtown Growth Area and therefore can not have a Downtown land use designation. The land use designation of these properties should be changed to Transit Residential, which incidentally is consistent with DSAP now. One of the properties is an existing affordable housing project and the other, in the McEvoy areas, is proposed for a residential project, which is moving forward under the existing Transit Residential land use designation.

Thanks,

And nice work,

brio

---

**From:** Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 25, 2020 1:39 PM  
**To:** Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>; Brilliot, Michael <Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Cc:** Hart, Jared <Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov>; Han, James <James.Han@sanjoseca.gov>; Tu, John <john.tu@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Subject:** GP Designations for DTW

Hi Tim and Michael,

I have attached a map of the GP designations we discussed for the DTW proposal. DTW has provided a draft proposed GP designation map that is slightly different than what we had discussed. In the attached map I highlight the areas that differ from staff's initial thinking on GP designations.

Jared and I reviewed the attached map and came to the following decisions (from north to south):

- DTW proposal has a Hotel use for a portion of the block. We proposed having Commercial Downtown for that portion and Downtown for the rest of the block. DTW is proposing Downtown for the entire block. Designating the whole block Downtown will give some flexibility on the location of the hotel and residential uses on that block.

**Decision:** We will designate the whole block Downtown.

- DTW proposal has a commercial use on this block, therefore we proposed Commercial Downtown. DTW is proposing Downtown (DTW does not have a residential option on this site, DTW's logic behind designating Downtown was due to its small size (not accommodating a typical office building, will serve more as an office amenity). Considering we are proposing Commercial Downtown for the rest of the block outside of the DTW boundary, we suggest keeping as Commercial Downtown, consistent with their proposed land use plan.

**Decision:** We will designate this site Commercial Downtown and have DTW revise their GP designation map.

- DTW had an office/residential use on this site, therefore we proposed Downtown. DTW's plans, however, have changed to no longer have a residential option on this site.

**Decision:** We will designate this site Commercial Downtown, consistent with DTW current thinking.

Please let me know if you have any comments, questions, or concerns.

Thank you,

Jose