

**From:** [Hart, Jared](#)  
**To:** [Manford, Robert](#); [Brilliot, Michael](#)  
**Cc:** [Keyon, David](#); [Rood, Timothy](#); [Hill, Shannon](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Growth allocation numbers  
**Date:** Monday, April 27, 2020 1:17:58 PM

---

That's correct.

---

**From:** Manford, Robert <[Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 27, 2020 12:55 PM  
**To:** Hart, Jared <[Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov)>; Brilliot, Michael <[Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Cc:** Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>; Rood, Timothy <[timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov)>; Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Growth allocation numbers

Great. So the numbers actually match the hybridized/worst case that we assume for the DSAP, correct?

---

**From:** Hart, Jared <[Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 27, 2020 12:17 PM  
**To:** Manford, Robert <[Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov)>; Brilliot, Michael <[Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Cc:** Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>; Rood, Timothy <[timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov)>; Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Growth allocation numbers

Hi Robert –

Just to clarify, the question is what percentage of the new growth being moved to Downtown goes to DSAP, correct? Because we concluded that we would analyze the maximum scenario from the SOM study of the Diridon Station Area (the hybridized option) on top of (additive) the existing Downtown capacity, all new growth being shifted into Downtown goes to DSAP to align with the study. We do not have a preferred percentage at this point; but the DSAP Amendment process should help inform that, and I generally think it would make sense to spread out a portion of the growth we are moving into Downtown to other areas outside of DSAP. But for purposes of the CEQA analysis, my understanding is that we need all the new growth to go to DSAP to line up with the SOM study. Just let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Thanks,

Jared

---

**From:** Manford, Robert <[Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 27, 2020 11:00 AM

**To:** Hart, Jared <[Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Jared.Hart@sanjoseca.gov)>; Brilliot, Michael <[Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Michael.Brilliot@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Cc:** Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>; Rood, Timothy <[timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov)>; Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Growth allocation numbers

Hi Jared:

At today's meeting with the Google Team, a clarifying question was asked by the consultant regarding the revised growth allocation numbers. Just as I previously asked, can you clarify what percentage of the total growth allocation assigned to downtown goes to DSAP? Should the consultants assume the highest residential and non-residential (the hybridized options from the SOM study), or Citywide has a preferred percentage for Planning purposes? You/and or Michael's input in this regard will be very helpful.

Thanks