

**From:** [Hill, Shannon](#)  
**To:** [Downtown West Project](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Google project update  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 26, 2020 10:21:36 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

---

**From:** Arroyo, Juliet  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:39 PM  
**To:** Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>; Peak, Dana <[Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov)>; Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google project update

Thanks for this summary of the meeting. Yes for CEQA we need to know how the two historic buildings they are reusing will be treated and set as a part of the project, does the work meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, does it compromise integrity and eligibility, that can be in the CEQA document. Thanks JULIET

---

**From:** Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:31 PM  
**To:** Arroyo, Juliet <[Juliet.Arroyo@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Juliet.Arroyo@sanjoseca.gov)>; Peak, Dana <[Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov)>; Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Google project update

Hi Juliet and David,

No problem, this is par for the course with this project. We usually don't have a good idea of what the applicant plans to discuss until the meeting starts. They initially stated they wanted to discuss "the historic chapter," and based on my follow up questions and clarification that there is no chapter dedicated to the treatment of historic resources, I assumed they wanted to discuss your comments on the Buildings chapter. No other details were provided.

The updates from SiteLab were regarding the removal of the Caltrain parcels from the project boundary (see attached preliminary figures of the project boundary change), changes to the treatment of the Foundry Building and the Kearney Pattern Works building, and clarification on how compliance with the SOI standards will be ensured:

- Due to the removal of the Caltrain parcels depicted in the attached figures, Woody at SiteLab stated there is no longer a massing relationship between parcels D1 and D2. However, the line of site is still being considered. Note that I snipped the following image from Figure 2-3 of ADEIR3 (Conceptual Land Use Plan).



- They will be providing dimensional clarity in the next draft.
- The plan is to relocate the Foundry building slightly south of its current location, in order to allow the extension of Post Street which runs adjacent to the northern side of the building.
- Planning to relocate the Kearney Pattern Works building, and we should provide feedback on whether or not this raises integrity issues. Becky Urbano at ESA has not evaluated this change yet.
- They will add clarity on the project's relationship to HRI-eligible properties, namely the Lakehouse District.
- Regarding Juliet's comment about meeting the SOI standards, they plan to have those requirements in the MMRP, not the DWDSG. However, the MMRP and other relevant sections of City documents are to be provided as appendices for use by the development review team, so they have one consolidated document for reference.

Please let me know ASAP if you have any concerns or questions after reviewing this summary.

Thanks!

Shannon Hill, Planner

Planning, Building & Code Enforcement | Environmental Review Section

City of San José | 200 East Santa Clara Street

[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)

---

**From:** Arroyo, Juliet

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:40 PM

**To:** Hill, Shannon <[Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov)>; Peak, Dana <[Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Dana.Peak@sanjoseca.gov)>; Keyon, David <[david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov)>

**Subject:** Google project update

Sorry, I missed the last part of the meeting, but it looked liked it was their update on the project description regarding historic resources. THANKS JULIET

