

From: [Hill, Shannon](#)
To: [Downtown West Project](#)
Subject: FW: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:08:14 PM

From: Rood, Timothy
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:07 PM
To: Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>; Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

OK, so sounds like we have no further edits. Thank you all.

From: Hill, Shannon
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:04 PM
To: Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Thanks Robert. Downtown Strategy does include Aesthetics, so I'll leave as is.

Shade/shadow is addressed in land use for Google.

Thanks,
Shannon

From: Manford, Robert
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:51 AM
To: Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

I believe the most important issue under Aesthetics is Shade//Shadow, which is often addressed in CSJ under Land Use.

Google project does not include Aesthetics, because it is not statutorily required to by its nature. If the DTS EIR included Aesthetics, then please leave as is, if not, then you can delete.

From: Hill, Shannon
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:49 AM
To: Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Sounds good to me. FYI, I checked Circlepoint's scope, and it does not include Aesthetics. David, let me know if I should delete that from the DSAPA summary.

Thanks,
Shannon

From: Manford, Robert
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:37 AM
To: Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

I think its fine. Let's not spend too much time on this. Go ahead and forward, if Tim/Jose have no comments or edits.

From: Hill, Shannon

Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:35 AM

To: Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Thanks David! Looks good. My only question is, are aesthetics impacts required to be analyzed for the DSAPA considering SB 743?

I reviewed and made changes to the Google overview as well:

The project review process includes preparation of a project-level Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to analyze potential impacts on the environment resulting from development proposed by the applicant, which includes commercial, residential, and active uses. The analysis in the EIR will include mitigation measures to avoid and/or reduce identified impacts, as applicable, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. The environmental impact areas being analyzed include air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology/soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology/water quality, land use/planning, noise, population/housing, public services and recreation, transportation, and utilities. The City is planning to release the Draft EIR in spring 2020 for a 45 day public review period. Comments received and responses to those comments will be compiled into a Final EIR, which will also be circulated for public review. Public circulation of the Draft and Final EIR and response to comments will be completed in compliance with the CEQA Guidelines.

Robert and David, once you confirm these summaries, I can forward them to Lori for inclusion in the outreach materials.

Thanks!

Shannon Hill, Planner

Planning, Building & Code Enforcement | Environmental Review Section

City of San José | 200 East Santa Clara Street

Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov | (408) 535 - 7872

From: Keyon, David

Sent: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:37 AM

To: Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Hi Robert,

Please see my edits to the DSAP CEQA overview below:

One objective of the Diridon Station Area Plan (DSAP) Amendment is to align the DSAP with the Downtown Strategy 2040 adopted by City Council in December 2018. As such, the City started the environmental review process for the DSAP Amendment and is preparing a thorough Initial Study within the context of the Final Environmental Impact Report adopted for the Downtown Strategy 2040. The Initial Study will evaluate the proposed changes to the DSAP and Downtown Strategy 2040, including the addition of residential and commercial development capacity and modifications to the DSAP boundary. The environmental impact areas being analyzed include aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology/soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology/water quality, land use/planning, noise, population/housing, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, and utilities. The Initial Study will evaluate the cumulative impacts of the total buildout of the DSAP area, including the Google Project, and other reasonably foreseeable future projects in the area. Based on the results of the analysis, either a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report, or an Addendum to the Downtown Strategy 2040 EIR would be prepared. The resultant CEQA document will be made available for public review as required by the CEQA statutes and/or City policy.

I simplified the list of resource areas to remove mineral and agriculture, as these are not major issues and would help reduce the size of the description. I also added a sentence that the IS will focus on changes to the DSAP/DTS 2040.

Thanks,

David Keyon

City of San Jose PBCE
Principal Planner Environmental Review
(408) 535-7898

From: Manford, Robert
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:21 PM
To: Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

It's otherwise okay. David and Shannon, can you review both write-ups and finalize ?

From: Rood, Timothy
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:19 PM
To: Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Sorry, that was my bad fast typing. It should be "preparation". I don't know who prepared the description, probably Lori?

From: Manford, Robert
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:18 PM
To: Rood, Timothy <timothy.rood@sanjoseca.gov>; Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Hi Tim:

Who authored the google EIR write-up? I think the word parathion is "out of context" or misplaced therein.

https://www.google.com/search?source=hp&ei=DKRhXyboAZSw0PEP2tGmAl&q=parathion+meaning&oq=parathion+meaning&gs_l=psy-ab.3..0i70i249j0i22i30l8.1005.6489..6717...0.0.0.121.1262.16j1.....0....1.gws-wiz.....0i131j0i10j0i333j0i22i10i30.MvRi2lHtiHk&ved=0ahUKEfwj2nqKL1oToAhUUGDQIHdooCCMQ4dUDCA&uact=5#spf=1583457299183

From: Rood, Timothy
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2020 4:33 PM
To: Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>; Hill, Shannon <Shannon.Hill@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Manford, Robert <Robert.Manford@sanjoseca.gov>; Ruano, Jose <Jose.Ruano@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: DSAP CEQA description for SAAG Project Status Updates

Hi – Lori Severino is putting together a Project Status Update handout that will be emailed out to the SAAG on March 11 in preparation for the 3/18 SAAG meeting. For the DSAP update, she's asked us to provide a paragraph on the anticipated CEQA process for the DSAP amendments. We know the SAAG is interested in the type of CEQA document and members asked about it during the fall small group sessions.

Is there anything we are prepared to release publicly regarding the type of CEQA document anticipated?

For comparison, here's what the Google Project Environmental Review Section says:

"The project review process includes parathion of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The EIR will analyze the potential effects on the environment resulting from the project. EIRs cover topics such as biological resources, historic resources, greenhouse gas emissions, population + housing, and infrastructure + public services. Staff is planning to release the Draft EIR in spring 2020. it will be available for public review and comment for 45 days. Comments received and responses to those comments will be compiled into a Final EIR, which will also be circulated for public review. The Draft EIR will include additional information about the project beyond the application."

Thanks,
Tim



Timothy Rood AICP, Division Manager

Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

City of San José | 200 E. Santa Clara St. 3rd Floor | San José, CA 95113

408.535.8122 www.sanjoseca.gov/planning