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## Executive Summary

Bike Plan 2020 defines the City of San José's vision to make bicycling an integral part of daily life in San José. The plan recommends policies, projects, and programs to realize this vision and create a San José community where bicycling is convenient, safe, and commonplace. To achieve this vision in the next eleven years, Bike Plan 2020 identifies five broad Goals as well as several Strategies and specific Actions.

## Vision $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ Goals $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ Strategies $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ Actions $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ Performance Measures

Bike Plan 2020 defines a 450 mile network of bikeways. While this network includes both on-street and off-street bikeways, its primary focus is the on-street network and connections to the off-street network. The off-street (trail) network is summarized here and defined in greater detail by San José's Trails Master Plan.

## Vision

- Become a city where bicycling is safe, convenient, and commonplace


## Goals

- Bikeway Network - Complete 500 miles of the Bikeway Network by 2020
- Mode Share - Achieve 5\% of all trips taken by Bike by 2020
- Safety - Reduce bike collision rate by $50 \%$ by 2020
- Parking - Add 5000 bike parking spaces by 2020
- Validation - Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status by 2020


## Strategies

Each chapter begins by identifying the Goals it addresses. Strategies are then proposed to accomplish these Goals.

## Actions

Individual Actions are recommended to implement Strategies.

## Performance Measures

- Bikeway Network - Complete 25 miles of new bikeways each year
- Mode Share - Increase bike mode share by 1\% from baseline every two years
- Safety - Reduce bike collision rate by $5 \%$ from baseline each year
- Parking - Install 500 new bike parking spaces each year
- Validation - Achieve Silver-level Bicycle Friendly Community status by 2013 and Gold-level by 2020.


## City Service Areas \& Green Vision

Bike Plan 2020 aligns with the following Transportation CSA Outcomes

- Provide viable transportation choices to promote a strong economy
- Provide a transportation system that enhances community livability
- Create a positive, reliable, and efficient experience for travelers
- Provide safe and secure transportation systems

Bike Plan 2020 aligns with the following Green Vision Goals

- Create 100 miles of interconnected trails
- Reduce per capita energy use by $50 \%$
- Ensure that $100 \%$ of public fleet vehicles run on alternative fuels
- Adopt a Green Plan with measurable standards for sustainable development

Bike Facility Types
Cities generally follow state definitions when describing bike facilities. Understanding and correctly using these terms is essential when communicating about bike planning.

Bikeway is the generic term that covers all types of facilities for bicycles. There are three basic types of bikeways, known under state standards as Class I, II, and III bike facilities.

Trail or Path (Class I Bikeway) is a facility totally separate from the roadway with dedicated space for bikes, where cars are prohibited. They are often multi-use facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians, such as Los Gatos Creek Trail.

Bike Lane (Class II Bikeway) is an on-street facility with dedicated space for bicyclists, usually near the rightside of the street. Bike Lanes are designated by roadway striping and signage.

Bike Route (Class III Bikeway) is an on-street facility that shares space with cars. It's usually the right shoulder of the far-right travel lane, with occasional signs.

For pictures of each bikeway type, see Chapter 2 sidebar, page 2-1.

## Plan Outline

I ntroduction \& Policies (Chapter 1) Achieving the vision of Bike Plan 2020 first requires understanding the context and history of San José bike planning. Chapter 1 summarizes previous bike planning efforts, discusses the policy context, and defines the process behind development of Bike Plan 2020.

Bikeway Network (Chapter 2) Creating a bicycle-friendly city begins with a bikeway network where it's convenient and safe to ride. Bike Plan 2020 proposes a 500 mile network, providing a bikeway within a half mile of every resident. The network includes a backbone of Primary crosstown bikeways connected by a web of Secondary facilities. The bikeway network also includes a system of signs guiding users along Primary bikeways and to common destinations. The network incorporates facilities to safely traverse major obstacles - such as freeway interchanges, bridges, and underpasses - that have traditionally been barriers to bicycling. The network incorporates bicycle-friendly signal detection and pavement markings. Finally, the bikeway network includes a regular maintenance program to address obstacles such as potholes and broken glass.

Bike Parking \& Support Facilities (Chapter 3) As with car trips, every bike trip ends with the need for parking facilities. Bike Plan 2020 recommends 5,000 new bike parking spaces throughout the city. These include a full spectrum of facilities from simple bike racks outside neighborhood shops to large bike parking facilities at major transit and employment centers. To support regular commuting bicyclists, the plan recommends strengthen the city's existing requirements for showers in new building development. Finally, Bike Plan 2020 recommends development of a public Bike Share system that allows individuals to conveniently rent and return bicycles at common origins and destinations.

Bikes and Transit (Chapter 4) Combining bicycling and public transit significantly increases the range and convenience of bicycling, and many bicyclists regularly combine the two modes. Bike Plan 2020 recommends bikeways to transit stations, with emphasis on connecting bikeways the last mile to main transit stops. The plan also recommends increased bike parking at transit stations and on-board transit.

Education, Encouragement \& Enforcement (Chapter 5)
Educating and encouraging bicyclists and motorists to move safely and share the road is critical to creating a bike-friendly community. Bike Plan 2020 recommends a curriculum of bicycling skills classes for children and adults, a promotional program encouraging and rewarding bicycling, and an enforcement program modeled after "traffic school" systems widely used with motorists.

Best Practices (Chapter 6) This chapter highlights best practices of some of the most bike-friendly cities in the United States: Portland, Boulder, San Francisco, Seattle, and Madison. These cities have implemented innovative programs and facilities to increase bike mode share up to ten times the national rate.

Conclusion (Chapter 7) This short chapter summarizes and encapsulates the vision and goals of Bike Plan 2020.

## Goals of Bike Plan 2020

1. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by 50\%
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status


## Chapter 1 - <br> I ntroduction \& Policy Considerations

Bicycling would seem to have a lot going for it. The cost of a bike is about one twentieth that of a car. Bikes don't require any gas or insurance. San José's weather and topography - very little rain, no snow, and mostly flat roadways - seem ideal for bicycling. Nearly half of all trips are three miles or less ${ }^{1}$, a distance well-suited for bicycling. Riding a bike is a great way to exercise and avoid health issues such as obesity and Diabetes. With half of the south bay's carbon emissions coming from transportation, bicycling helps fight global warming.

With all these benefits to bicycling, why are only about 1\% of trips in San José made by bike? Ask anyone who doesn't ride a bike and you're likely to get two responses: it's not safe, and it's not convenient. Achieving the vision of Bike Plan 2020 requires addressing these two perceptions of safety and convenience. Each Goal and Strategy in this plan addresses these perceptions of safety and convenience, with the intent of making San José a city where bicycling is safe, convenient, and commonplace.

Addressing safety and convenience is sometimes defined in terms of Vulnerable Road Users. This concept highlights the increased exposure of bicycling and walking (versus driving a car) and then implements changes to reduce that increased exposure. For example, if struck by a car, a bicyclist is more likely to be injured than is a motorist. So planning to reduce this risk for bicyclists is a high priority. Vulnerable Roadway User policies establish safety as a top priority to be addressed by Engineering, Encouragement, Education, and Enforcement. For more on Vulnerable Roadway Users, see the sidebar on page 1-2.

## Previous Planning Efforts

Bike planning in San José dates to the early 1970's when the city began installing bike lanes on city streets. In 1978, after state law officially defined bike lanes, San José city council passed its first bike lane resolution grandfathering existing bike lanes under the law. Since that time, 20 city council resolutions have passed approving new bike lanes, and nearly 200 miles of bikeways have been constructed.

In 1992, Wilbur Smith Associates and Transmetrics created a Transportation Bicycle Plan for the City of San José. In 1999, Fehr \& Peers prepared a San Jose Commuter Bicycle Corridor Study. In 2000, the city added a Transportation Bicycle Network to its general plan, defining current and planned bikeways. Also in 2000, the city adopted San Jose Greenprint, a strategic plan which includes a goal of "Creat[ing] a citywide trail network that encourages alternative transportation modes..."

## Policy Context

The City of San José's General Plan identifies several policies that encourage the development of a bicycle network. ${ }^{2}$ These policies include a recommended Bikeway Network totaling approximately 500 miles of bikeways. About 250 miles of this network have been completed. Policies in the General Plan use broad language to
encourage, though not require, effective bicycle accommodation throughout the city. San José's Municipal Code includes requirements for bike parking and showers in new development. ${ }^{3}$ Chapter 3 recommends specific improvements to these provisions.

County, regional, state and federal governments each have policies that encourage or require bicycle accommodation. ${ }^{4}$

## Bike Plan 2020 Development Process and Outreach

Development of Bike Plan 2020 began in 2008 with staff collection and review of existing city bicycle planning and accommodation documents. Staff then reviewed best practices of bike-friendly cities in the U.S. and abroad. Next, a draft Bike Plan 2020 outline was prepared, including an updated Bikeway Network. The draft outline and network where shared at four public workshops. ${ }^{5}$ Through these workshops, staff received 135 public comments and suggestions which were incorporated into the analysis. The draft Bike Plan 2020 was posted to DOT's Bike/Ped Program web page for public access and review. Notice of the public workshops and web information was distributed to bike shops and bike clubs including Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition. San Jose's Bicycle \& Pedestrian Advisory Committee received a presentation on Bike Plan 2020 and provided input. Information on the draft Plan was also distributed to multiple public agencies. ${ }^{6}$

## Strategy 1.1 <br> Revise City policies to improve bicyclist accommodation

While San Jose's existing policies form a good foundation for bicycling, the following opportunities to strengthen bike policies should be implemented. Each will contribute to an improved environment for bicycling.

Action 1.1.1-Adopt and implement a Complete Streets policy. In 2007, California passed AB 1358, the Complete Streets Bill. This new law requires cities, when updating the circulation element of a general plan after 2010, to plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users including bicyclists. As part of the San Jose General Plan Update, San Jose should incorporate Complete Streets best practices.

Action 1.1.2-Continue implementation of the Transportation I mpact Policy element of the City Level of Service Policies. The Transportation Impact policy allows funds paid by new development for transportation impacts to be used for bicycle, pedestrian, and transit improvements. This policy facilitates multi-modal transportation improvements, rather than roadway expansions benefitting only the automobile. It is an important tool in building a bicycle friendly community.

Action 1.1.3-Appropriately regulate power-assist bicycles. Increasing gas prices, finite car parking facilities, and a growing awareness of climate change issues have all heightened interest in alternatives to the automobile. As this interest grows, power-assist bicycles will become an increasingly attractive part of the solution. Power-assist bicycles usually consist of a bicycle with an electric-assist

## Complete Streets

The Complete Streets national organization formed to provide transportation facilities that enable safe access and use for all users. This movement builds upon and expands the Context Sensitive Solutions concept by focusing on transportation facilities (not only buildings), and by including a broader audience (not limited to planners). Complete Streets include bikeways, where appropriate, to improve mobility. For more on Complete Streets, go to completestreets.org


A related concept, known as Most Vulnerable Users, seeks safe facilities for all transportation modes, including bicycling. This reduces the disparity between bicycle mode share and bicyclist injuries and fatalities. While bicyclists account for only $1 \%$ of trips, they represent 3\% of roadway fatalities and 4\% of roadway injuries.

Complete Streets will help address the Most Vulnerable User challenge by providing safe and convenient places to ride a bike.

## Counting bikes

One of the five Bike Plan 2020 goals calls for increasing bike mode share to $5 \%$. Mode share represents the percent of trips made by a type or "mode" of transportation. The most recent annual Census update indicates about $1.2 \%$ of commute trips are made by bike in San José. This compares to $0.5 \%$ nationally.

> United States Census 2010

| San José Bike Commuters |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Current | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| 2020 Goal | $5.0 \%$ |  |

Locally, San José conducts bicyclist counts at several locations throughout the city. These include onstreet counts conducted by the Department of Transportation and offstreet (trail) counts conducted by the Department of Parks, Recreation \& Neighborhood Services. Some locations see bike counts exceeding 1400 bikes per day.
motor. The bicycle can be pedaled or the electric-assist can be used. The City of San Jose should appropriately define and regulate powerassist bicycles. Generally, power-assist bicycles should be allowed on bicycle facilities (versus being required to use motor vehicle travel lanes) only if they have characteristics similar to a bicycle (i.e. electric/zeroemissions, low maximum speed, and small size to fit in bike facilities).

## Strategy 1.2 <br> Pursue long-term actions to exceed Bike Plan 2020 Goals

World-class bicycling cities have bike mode shares as high as $40 \%$. Because achieving such high rates of bicycling will take longer than the ten-year time horizon of Bike Plan 2020, San J osé must establish longterm goals now and begin working toward them. The following actions should be pursued now with a goal of completion in a 25-30 year time frame.

By encouraging bicycling, each of these actions builds a more livable community - one with less car traffic, less smog, and fewer roadway collisions. Bike Plan 2020 promotes active transportation that reduces reliance on cars. By doing so, the plan also addresses two of society's greatest challenges: climate change from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions and public health problems from sedentary life styles.

## Action 1.2.1 - Install bicycle facilities on most busier streets.

Most people do not feel comfortable bicycling on busy streets. Without bicycle facilities, streets with higher traffic speeds and volumes discourage bicycling. To create a truly bike-friendly community where anyone can ride, bike facilities must be provided on all but the calmest streets. Most streets with one or more of the following features should have a bicycle facility of some type: (a) more than one lane of traffic in one or both directions; (b) posted speeds higher than 25 mph ; or (c) streets with Average Daily Traffic (ADT) greater than 5,000.

Action 1.2.2-Provide two-way bike facilities on both sides of busy streets that have longer blocks. Longer blocks on busy streets create a barrier for bicyclists. Traffic law and safety require bicyclists to travel in the same direction as motorists. But it's difficult for bicyclist to cross busy streets to reach the correct side. And with long blocks, bicyclists may be required to travel a long distance in the opposite direction to reach a safe crossing. The solution is a two-way bicycle facility on both sides of the street. This should be pursued on busy arterials with longer blocks.

Action 1.2.3-Zone existing and future neighborhoods for mixed use. Creating neighborhoods that include nearby jobs, housing, shopping, and schools reduces the distance of daily trips. Shorter trips become more viable by bicycle. When a neighborhood market is six blocks (not six miles) away, the trip can easily be made by bike. When a job is 3 miles (not 15 miles) from housing, bicycling to work becomes a reasonable option. Zoning for mixed use supports bicycling.
${ }^{1} 2001$ National Personal Transportation Survey.
${ }^{2}$ See Transportation Policies 51-57, Chapter 4; Map 15 \& Appendix I, Chapter 5.
${ }^{3}$ See SJ MC 20.90.300 et seq.
${ }^{4}$ See the following documents described in Exhibit F: VTA's Countywide Bike Plan and Bicycle Technical Guidelines; MTC's Regional Bike Plan and Routine Accommodation policy; California's Deputy Directive 64; California's Complete Streets legislation AB1358; California ACR 211; and FHWA's J oint Statement, Accommodating Bicycle and Pedestrian Travel.
${ }^{5}$ See Table 2. Workshops: (1) Downtown San Jose Public Workshop, December 15, 2008; (2) East San Jose Public Workshop, J anuary 14, 2009; (3) South San Jose Public Workshop, January 20, 2009; and (5) San José City Hall Workshop August 6, 2009.
${ }^{6}$ Cities of Campbell, Cupertino, Fremont, Los Gatos, Milpitas, Monte Sereno, Morgan Hill, Santa Clara, Saratoga, Sunnyvale; County of Santa Clara;
Valley Transportation Authority; Alameda County Transportation Authority; Metropolitan Transportation Commission; Caltrain; and BART.

## Goals of Bike Plan 2020

1. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by $50 \%$
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status

## Bikeways

For definitions see Plan Outline sidebar.


## Trail or Path

(Class I Bikeway)


Bike Lane
(Class II Bikeway)


Bike Route
(Class III Bikeway)

## Chapter 2 - Bikeway Network

A comprehensive network of bikeways provides safe and convenient corridors for bicyclists to travel. While bicyclists may legally ride on any city street, many streets don't provide a friendly bicycling environment. Streets with high volumes of faster traffic can be intimidating - particularly when no roadway space or bikeways are provided for bicyclists. Disconnected and incomplete facilities can suddenly strand bicyclists before they reach their destinations. Similarly, bikeways must connect across city borders to neighboring cities. Completing a connected cohesive citywide bikeway network will create a truly bicycle friendly community.

## Strategy 2.1 <br> Expand and connect the citywide Bikeway Network

Exhibit A defines an expanded 500 mile network of on-street and off-street bikeways. Bike Plan 2020 focuses on implementing the 400 mile on-street portion of the 500 mile network so that it integrates with and connects to the 150 mile off-street network in the City of San José's Trails Master Plan.

|  | Existing | Planned | Total Bikeways |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bike Lanes \& Bike Routes <br> (On-street Bikeways) | 197 | 203 | 400 |
| Trails (Off-street Bikeways) | 53 | 47 | 100 |
| Total | 250 | 250 | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ miles |

Action 2.1.1 - Complete a network of Primary Bikeways. Just as motorists use a backbone of freeways and expressways to travel, bicyclists need a system of enhanced cross-town bikeways collectively called Primary Bikeways. Primary Bikeways serve as key crosstown facilities and support higher numbers of bicyclists of various skill levels. Primary Bikeways include Trails and Enhanced on-street treatments (see page 2-2 sidebar) such as bike boulevards, colored bike lanes, sharrows, urban trails and physically separated bike lanes. Bike boulevards use less busy, calmer streets and prioritize bike traffic using features such as signs, pavement markings, and motor vehicle traffic diversion. Where feasible, streets with lower traffic volumes, lower traffic speeds, and fewer travel lanes are preferred. Primary Bikeways also better accommodate bicyclists of different skill levels (see Action 2.1.5). Primary Bikeways are identified in Map 2.

Action 2.1.2 - Connect on-street and off-street bikeways. While many bicyclists prefer riding on trails, nearly all trips require bicycling on-street for at least part of the trip. To provide a functional bikeway network, on-street and off-street bikeways must connect. Providing on-street bikeway connections to off-street bikeways is critical. On-street connections to offstreet bikeways can include bike lanes as well as the supplemental treatments referenced in Strategy 2.6. Where the connection requires traversing a curb or sidewalk, bike-specific ramps should be provided.

Action 2.1.3-Provide bikeways at least every half mile. To make bicycling convenient, bikeways must be available throughout the city. Spacing bikeways no more than a half mile apart will insure an accessible route from any location. See Maps 1 and 2.

Action 2.1.4-Implement a sign system that provides direction and distance information to common destinations. Bicyclists need a consistent signage system guiding them to common destinations such as job centers, transit centers, and shopping. Signs inform bicyclists which way to turn and how far to travel to common destinations. Signs also help implement Action 2.1.2 (Connect on-street and off-street bikeways.) by telling bicyclist which way to turn when a trail ends at a road.

Action 2.1.5-I nclude Bikeways for bicyclists of different skills. Not all bicyclists feel comfortable riding on busy streets with higher traffic speeds and volumes. Bikeways on calmer side streets must be part of the Bikeway Network. See also Action 2.1.1

Action 2.1.6-Use innovative designs to expand and enhance the bikeway network. Where roadway constraints or other circumstances render traditional design inadequate, use innovate designs to accommodate bicyclists. Additionally, where enhancements are desired beyond traditional basic bikeways (such as on Primary Bikeways in Action 2.1.1), innovate designs are appropriate. See right column and Chapter 6 for images of innovative designs.

Action 2.1.7 - Install bike lanes at locations not identified in this plan. Opportunities may exist for bike lanes beyond those identified in this plan. Where appropriate, install properly located and designed bike lanes that will serve the needs of bicyclists.

## Strategy 2.2 <br> Eliminate barriers and remove gaps for bicyclists

To accomplish the Bike Plan 2020 Vision of becoming a city where bicycling is safe, convenient, and commonplace, barriers to bicycling and network gaps must be addressed. These barriers often exist in the first or last mile of a trip: for example, getting from home to a creek trail (first mile) and from a creek trail to work (last mile).

Action 2.2.1-Provide bike/ pedestrian grade-separated freeway crossings every two miles. Freeways create major barriers for bicyclists. They require bicyclists to take significant detours to find a crossing. Once a freeway crossing is reached, most include free-merging, high-speed on- and off-ramps that are extremely difficult to navigate by bike. These issues contribute to the two main reasons people don't bicycle - it's inconvenient and unsafe. To address these concerns, provide grade-separated, bicycle/pedestrian overcrossings of freeways every two miles.

Action 2.2.2-Improve signing and striping at Right-Turn-OnlyLanes and freeway on/ off ramps. Streets with right-turn-only-lanes and freeway on/off ramps present major challenges to bicyclists traveling straight. The weaving and merging motorist movements create conflicts and hazards for bicyclists. To help bicyclists navigate these areas, install bike lane markings and signage on streets approaching and through freeway interchanges. If space is insufficient for full bike lanes, use innovative treatments such as shared bike-straight/car-turn lanes.

Action 2.2.3-I mprove private development standards to accommodate bicycles. Trips by bike often include bicycling on private property such as shopping centers and multi-family housing developments. Where speed bumps are included, use gradually rising and falling (i.e.

Bikeway
Enhancements \& Innovations


Bike Box


Shared right-turn-only bike-thru lane


Buffered, colored bike lane


Multi-use wide sidewalk


Separated bikeway

## Bike-Friendly Storm I nlets

San Jose streets include more than 29,800 storm inlets. While newer inlets incorporate bikefriendly features that prevent a bike tire from getting caught, many older models can pose a safety risk. Additional resources should be identified to retrofit old inlets.


Old storm inlet

## Bike-Friendly Signal Detection

Bike-friendly signal detection is one of the top three bike-facility requests San Jose receives. It allows bikes to be recognized by signals and receive a green. Detection devices, pavement markings and signs are cost-effective solutions to inform bicyclists where to stop to be detected.

sinusoidal) versions, which are called speed humps. This avoids the problem of abrupt speed bumps jarring a bicyclists steering and cause him to loose control. Also, see Action 3.1.1 regarding recommended improvements to bike parking requirements.

Action 2.2.4-Update requirements for yard waste, recycling, \& refuse pickup to remove bicycling obstacles. Require yard waste, recycling, and refuse to be placed outside bike lanes and shoulders for regular pickup.

Action 2.2.5-Revise traffic calming standards to improve bicyclist accommodation. Insure that bulb outs are bike-friendly by either incorporating bike lanes or installing only on streets with posted speeds 25 mph or less (so bicyclists can merge with traffic where lane narrows)

Action 2.2.6 - Retrofit non-compliant storm grates. San José uses appropriate bike-friendly modern storm grates for new installations. However, older storm grates create obstacles for bicyclists. They often have significant gaps or rises that can catch or damage a bicycle wheel, potentially causing a crash. Address this legacy by retrofitting older storm grates with new ones meeting current safety standards.

## Strategy 2.3 <br> Provide bicycle-friendly signals and pavement markings

Bicyclists must legally follow the same rules of the road as motorists. This obligation includes the duty to heed traffic signals. Most traffic signals must detect a vehicle before they will turn green (i.e. "traffic actuated signal"). Signal detection devices easily detect cars (either by video or in-pavement metal detectors). However, because a bicycle is a much smaller object, most signal detection devices have difficulty detecting bicycles. In these instances, when no car is present, bicyclists will not receive a green. This significantly affects both the safety and convenience of bicycling in San Jose.

To address this issue statewide, California recently passed Assembly Bill 1581 (Fuller). This new law requires cities to make all new and modified signals bicycle-friendly. Bicycle-friendly signals will include both a signal device that detects bicycles and a pavement marking informing bicyclist where to stop to be detected. Caltrans is developing standards to guide cities on implementation. Education and outreach resources should be allocated to inform bicyclists how to appropriately use these devices.

Action 2.3.1 - Proactively retrofit existing signal detectors along identified Bikeways. All bikeways identified in this plan (versus streets without bikeways) serve as the principal bicycle corridors and attract the most bicyclists in San Jose. Traffic actuated signals along these corridors must receive first priority and will be upgraded proactively, rather than waiting for signal upgrades for other purposes.

Action 2.3.2 - Install Bike Friendly signal detection at New Signals. When new traffic actuated signals are installed, include bicycle friendly signal detection and pavement markings.

Action 2.3.3 - When upgrading existing signals, install Bike Friendly signal detection. When existing traffic actuated signals are upgraded, include bicycle friendly signal detection and pavement markings.

## Strategy 2.4 <br> Maintain bikeways

Bicyclists often encounter obstacles such as broken glass, potholes, faded striping, and missing signs. These may seem trivial hindrances to motorists. But to a bicyclist on a 25 pound bike surrounded by fast-moving 4000 pound cars, these obstacles act as a disincentive to bicycling.

## Action 2.4.1-Improve signing and striping maintenance and repairs.

Increase funding for city sign and striping maintenance programs. Improve dissemination of city contact information for bicyclist public requests of signing or striping repairs.

## Action 2.4.2-I mprove pothole repair and street sweeping.

Increase funding for city pothole repair and street sweeping programs. Improve dissemination of city contact information for bicyclist public requests of pothole repair and street sweeping.

## Action 2.4.3 - Accommodate Bicyclists in Construction Zones.

 Both public and private construction can impact bicyclist mobility. When a construction project closes a bike lane or narrows a wide outside travel lane, bicyclists are forced to merge with other roadway traffic. This can be particularly difficult when roadway traffic is heavy and traveling at higher speeds. To address this issue, provide a temporary bike facility with temporary signage to accommodate bicyclists through the construction zone. If a temporary bike facility is not feasible on-street, include a wide outside travel lane so that motorists and bicyclists may ride side-by-side. Where neither a temporary bike facility nor a wide outside lane is feasible and a detour is required, post temporary signs to guide bicyclists in both directions through the detour.
## Bikes in Construction Zones



Construction zones often require the narrowing or closing of bike lanes or outside lanes where bicyclists ride. To help guide bicyclists through these challenging areas, temporary signs like the example above should be used.

## Sharrows



Shared Roadway Bicycle Markings (aka Sharrows) may be used on bike routes (Class III Bikeways) to remind motorists and bicyclists that the lane is too narrow to ride side-by-side. Bicyclists should ride clear of parked car doors. Motorists should yield and leave space when passing bicyclists.

## Goals of Bike Plan 2020

1. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by $50 \%$
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status


## Bike Parking 101

Bike parking may be categorized into two types by duration of use: short-term and long-term.

Short-term bike parking provides a bike rack to lock a bike for a few minutes to a few hours. Common examples include bike racks in front of a retail store or restaurant.

Short-term bike parking emphasizes convenience over security: racks must be near destinations such as storefronts. Because these locations often are space-constrained, the smaller footprint of short-term bike parking (versus longterm) is appropriate.

# Chapter 3 - Bike Parking \& Support Facilities 

While bicycles require only about one-tenth the space of a car to park, bikes need secure bike parking facilities to prevent theft, a common occurrence. This can be as simple as an inverted-U rack or as advanced as a staffed bike station at a transit center. Bicyclists riding longer distances (or in hot weather) sometimes need a shower and changing area to clean up and change clothes. These support facilities are critical to building a bikefriendly community. San Jose laid strong groundwork a decade ago by enacting new development standards that require bike parking and showers. This chapter recommends strategies and actions to strengthen, improve, and expand these standards.

## Strategy 3.1 <br> Provide bike parking facilities and services

Secure, convenient bike parking at destinations is a pre-requisite to any bike trip. Just as motorists need a parking space at their destinations, bicyclists need a secure bike rack, locker, or other bike parking facility. In addition to bike parking, major destinations such as large transit centers should also include bike-related services such as bike repairs and supplies.

## Action 3.1.1-Update bike parking requirements for new development.

Revise current city requirements for bike parking in new developments to clarify and strengthen the quantity, type, and location requirements for bike parking. Providing appropriate end-of-trip bike parking is critical to building a functional bike network. The kind of elements that should be required include showers, bicycle lockers, and $1^{\text {st }}$ floor bicycle parking. Items such as bicycle lockers or first floor bicycle parking on residential projects encourage use of bicycle as an alternate mode.

## Action 3.1.2-Improve bike parking at transit stations.

Linking bikes and transit is an effective commute option. Because all public transit has limited on-board bike capacity, the growth of multi-modal bike-plus-transit trips relies on expanding bike parking capacity at transit stations. Depending on transit mode and station specifics, a variety of solutions should be pursued: bike racks, bike lockers, bike cages, bike stations, etc.

Action 3.1.3-Facilitate bike parking upgrades in older buildings.
The majority of existing buildings in San Jose was constructed before the current bike parking standards were adopted. As a result, bicyclists commuting to these buildings often have no secure place to park. Create and fund a program to upgrade these existing buildings with appropriate bike parking. Consider enacting a Bicycle Access ordinance such as New York City did in 2009. It requires commercial buildings to allow bicyclists entry, provided there is space set aside by their respective employers to accommodate them.

Action 3.1.4 - Provide secure bike parking at larger special events Provide secure public bike parking at special events such as public outdoor concerts, farmers markets, and film festivals. This can take the form of staffed valet bike parking or unstaffed secure areas. Special event bike
parking reduces traffic congestion and car parking demands while providing a family-oriented travel option.

## Strategy 3.2 <br> Provide shower and changing facilities

A top requests from bicyclists is for showers and changing facilities at their place of employment. This allows bicyclists to shower and change into work attire, when necessary. While some commuters biking a short distance may not require a shower or may feel comfortable bicycling in business clothes, many other bicyclists prefer to shower and change. This type of support facility is critical to making bicycling convenient and commonplace.

## Action 3.2.1 - Update shower requirements for new development.

 Strengthen and clarify current city requirements for showers and changing facilities in new developments.
## Strategy 3.3 <br> Develop a public bike program

Several international cities have successfully developed "city bike" public bike programs. These programs provide automated bike rental kiosks at various locations, allowing members of the public to easily rent a bike, ride it to a nearby destination, and park it at another kiosk. These systems reduce car-traffic congestion, auto emissions, and demand for car parking spaces. They also promote a more livable, healthy community. San Jose's Bike \& Pedestrian Program staff is part of a VTA Technical Advisory Committee developing a business plan for public-bikes at and around three Caltrain stations, including San Jose's Diridon Station.

## Action 3.3.1 - Implement a scalable public bike program.

Develop, fund and implement a scalable public-access bike program at various locations in San Jose. Focus on higher demand areas such as downtown San Jose, business districts, job centers, transit stations, convention centers, San Jose State University, and tourist destinations.


Paris' Velib public bike system

## Bike Parking 101 (continued)

When bicyclists need parking for more than just a few minutes or hours, long-term bike parking is required.


San Jose Bike Locker
Long-term bike parking provides a protected enclosure for a higher degree of security. Common examples include employee bike lockers, bike cages, bike stations at transit centers and dedicated indoor office space such as a secure storage room. Longterm bike parking often requires a larger foot print than short-term. Consequently, it's often located slightly further from destinations than short-term parking. Users will travel the extra distance for the added security.


Long Beach Bikestation

## Goals of

 Bike Plan 20201. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by 50\%
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status


Bikes on Board - Both VTA (above) and Caltrain allow bikes on board buses, light rail cars, and trains.


Electronic Bike Lockers Caltrain and BART are implementing electronic bike lockers at transit stations. These lockers provide secure storage and allow reservation online via credit card.

## Chapter 4-Bikes and Transit

Combining bicycling and public transportation offers a convenient, flexible, and cost-effective alternative to driving alone. While public transportation reaches many parts of the city, trip destinations are often about a mile from the nearest transit stop. Bicycles offer a convenient solution to this "first and last mile" dilemma: walking a mile would take 20 minutes or more, while bicycling the last mile requires only 5 minutes.

To effectively solve the first/last mile dilemma, the bicycle network must provide bikeways to transit stations, bike parking and public bike share systems at transit stations, and bike access on public transportation.

Addressing these needs will provide several additional benefits. Because ten secure bike parking spaces fit in the same area as one car parking space, improved bike accommodation will help address the car parking shortage at many transit stations: ten former drivers who bicycle to a transit station will free nine car parking spaces for others. Increased bike trips to transit stations will also reduce roadway traffic and congestion, thus improving travel times for those who do drive. Reduced car trips and car emissions will improve the quality of life for residents in adjacent neighborhoods and the community at large.

The recommendations in this chapter should be incorporated into BART station area planning for future San José stations.

## Strategy 4.1 <br> Provide bikeways to transit

Action 4.1.1 - Implement bikeways that connect transit stations with jobs, housing, shopping, schools, and recreation centers. Map 3 identifies transit stations and bikeways.

## Strategy 4.2 <br> Install bike parking facilities at transit stations

Caltrain, VTA and other south bay transit operators have been leaders in providing on-board bike capacity. While these efforts should be applauded, long-term increases in bike trips cannot be entirely accommodated on-board and will require station parking solutions.

Action 4.2.1 - Work with transit operators such as VTA and Caltrain to expand station area bike parking capacity including electronic bike lockers and attended bike parking areas.

## Strategy 4.3

Provide bike access on transit
All Caltrain lines, VTA bus lines, and VTA light rail lines allow bikes on board.
Action 4.3.1 - Work with transit operators such as VTA and Caltrain to expand on-board bike parking capacity.

## Strategy 4.4 Offer public bike share systems at major transit stations

Public bike share systems allow transit users to conveniently rent a bike at either end of their transit trip. This reduces the demand for on-board bike parking which is reaching capacity on many busier trains and buses. See Strategy 3.3


Attended bike parking facilities at transit stations is one solution to limited on-board bike capacity of transit operators.


Bike Share Systems Paris pioneered one of the largest automated bike share systems in the world.

## Goals of Bike Plan 2020

1. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by 50\%
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status

## Street <br> Smarts

San José's awardwinning Street Smarts program uses a public education media campaign to change driver, pedestrian and bicyclist behavior and reduce roadway collisions.

Each May, San José offers aseveral events and programs during statewide Bike to Work Week. These activities include free Get Back on Your Bike classes, a community bike ride, and a Bike to Work Day rest stop supplied with free safety information, bicycle helmets, and breakfast snacks.


## Chapter 5-Education, Encouragement \& Enforcement

To become a great bike-friendly city, San Jose's network of bikeways, bike parking, and bike-friendly transit must be supported by Education, Encouragement and Enforcement programs. These programs focus on bicyclists and motorists behavior.

## Strategy 5.1 <br> Expand bicyclist education programs

Education is a key element in increasing bicycling and safety. Many people think that bicycling in San Jose is dangerous and it keeps people from bicycling more, or at all. A key way to address this concern is through education for children, adults, cyclists, and motorists.

Action 5.1.1 - Expand existing Adult Bicycling Education programs. San José's existing adult Bike Skills Classes have been offered quarterly for the last five years. Expand the program to offer weekly classes that reach 1000 bicyclists per year. Provide classes for both new bicyclists and existing bicyclists.

Action 5.1.2 - Expand San J osé's existing School Safety Program.<br>San José's successful School Safety Program visits 120 public schools and provides bicycling education programs to 21,000 children. Expand the program so that children receive training and education more frequently.

## Action 5.1.3 - Expand San J osé’s Bicycle Light \& Helmet Program.

 San José's successful bike light and bike helmet giveaway programs should be expanded to reach more bicyclists. The San José Bike Program and School Safety Program fit and distribute helmets several times each year. Bike Lights are distributed each fall as daylight hours decrease. Expand these programs to reach more bicyclists.
## Strategy 5.2 <br> Offer bicyclist encouragement programs

Many people would like to try bicycling but don't know where to start. What type of bike should they ride? How do they choose a route? Where should they park? With a little help, these potential bicyclists would become actual bicyclists. Several bike-friendly cities have developed programs to reach potential bicyclists and provide them the resources they need to get started.

## Action 5.2.1 - Develop a Bicycle Encouragement program

Develop and implement a program that reaches people interested in bicycling and provides them the resources to start. Provide customized route information, specific transit routes to link with bicycling, and other practical tips to get on the bike. For an example of a successful program, see Portland, Oregon's Smart Trips described in Chapter 6.

## Action 5.2.2-I mplement a public Bike Share system.

Public bike share systems allow transit users to conveniently rent a bike at either end of their transit trip. This reduces the demand for on-board bike parking which is reaching capacity on many busier trains and buses. See Strategy 3.3

Action 5.2.3 - Create a Bicycle Outreach Coordinator position. The Bicycling Outreach Coordinator will promote bicycling at corporate employee benefit fairs, public fairs, and other events; by working with retail and commercial business operators to encourage bicycling; and by assisting individuals getting started bicycling.

## Action 5.2.4-Develop an Adopt-A-Bikeway Program.

A bikeway adoption program would identify local individuals or organizations interested in taking on maintenance responsibility for a specific facility. For example, a neighborhood group may be interested in maintaining a nearby trail; an employer may want to sponsor maintenance of a bikeway that provides a commute alternative for its employees; or a bike shop may want to maintain a recreational facility where its customers ride.

## Action 5.2.5 - Expand Bike to Work Week Events

During statewide Bike to Work Week, San José offers free bike skills classes, free bike helmets, free bike rides, and Bike to Work Day rest stops. Expand these events and activities to attract more bicyclists during statewide Bike to Work Week.

## Strategy 5.3 <br> I ncrease bicyclist and motorist enforcement programs

Enforcement programs are an effective tool to improve bicyclist safety, comfort and convenience. Enforcement increases both motorist and bicyclist compliance with traffic laws, making roads a more inviting place to ride a bike.

## Action 5.3.1 - Implement a Bicyclist Diversion Program.

Develop a traffic school for bicyclists. Such programs target most dangerous behaviors and allow bicyclists to attend a free bike skills class rather than pay for a traffic ticket. The County of Santa Clara's Traffic Safety Communities Network has successfully implemented such programs in other cities and offers a model to emulate.

## Action 5.3.2 - Expand Targeted Motorist Enforcement.

Expand the San José Police Department's existing Operation Safe Passage targeted police enforcement program. The current program, which covers routes to school, should be expanded to other areas of the city.

## Strategy 5.4 <br> Work with bicycle retailers to offer education and encouragement programs

Some communities have created bike incentive programs in conjunction with bike retailers. For example, fees on retail bike sales have been used to help fund bike education and encouragement programs. Bicycle retailers are supportive in these communities because they understand it would lead to more and safer bicyclists, as well as increased sales.

## Action 5.4.1 - Conduct a bike retailer roundtable to identify opportunities to increase bicycling.

The San José area includes more than a dozen bike shops that sell several thousand bicycles each year. These retailers have an interest in improving the bicycling environment. Meet with bike retailers and seek opportunities to collaborate on common goals that encourage bicycling.

## Chapter 6 - Best Practices

## Goals of Bike Plan 2020

1. Complete 500 miles of bikeways
2. Achieve 5\% bike mode share
3. Reduce bike collisions by 50\%
4. Add 5000 bike parking spaces
5. Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status

Several cities in the United States have created worldclass bicycle-friendly environments. These cities combine extensive, connected facilities with effective programs to create great places to live and bicycle. While the national average for trips by bike is one percent, these leading communities have bicycling rates as high as ten percent. This chapter summarizes best practices from top bicycling cities in the United States. Each city noted is one of only ten United States cities that have received gold- or platinum-level Bicycle Friendly Community awards from the League of American Bicyclists.

## Portland

Platinum-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 540,000)

## Smart Trips Transportation Options

Portland offers "tools to help...residents, employees and students make good choices about how to get around."
 The program provides resources, encouragement, information, and activities to promote walking, biking, transit use, carpooling, and carsharing. Resources include personalized bicycling routes, discounted transit passes, and free bike skills classes. Program participants have increased bicycling by $142 \%$.

## Bike Boxes \& Colored Bike Lanes

At intersections on bikeway corridors where permissive right turns are allowed (i.e. no dedicated right-turn-only lane), colored bike boxes allow bicyclists to cue at red lights in front of motorists. This reduces risk of right-hook collisions, common in San Jose. Bike Lanes are also colored through intersections and other conflict zones to increase visibility.


## Public Bike Parking Areas

In locations with higher bike parking demand, Portland provides on-street bike parking areas. This allows eight bikes to park in the space of one parallel onstreet car parking space, increasing parking supply and reducing motor vehicle traffic.


## Bus-Bike Only Lane

When Portland didn't have room for both a bus-only and a separate bike lane, they combined the two. Following the lead of other cities such as Paris, this solution can work well depending on frequency of bus stops and average bus speeds.


## Boulder

Platinum-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 102,000)

## Bus Stops outside Bike Lanes

Like San Jose, Boulder has an extensive bus network with frequent stops. To prevent bus stops from blocking bike lanes, Boulder installed bus stop duck outs to the right of bike lanes. This allows bicyclists to proceed past stopped buses without merging into the traffic.


## Multi-use Trails and Wide Sidewalks

Boulder has an extensive network of multi-use trails and wide sidewalks designed to be shared by bicyclists and pedestrians. Because many potential bicyclists feel uncomfortable bicycling on-street, this system creates a comfort level that successfully attracts new bicyclists. Common safety concerns are addressed by appropriate design and signage, creating clear lines of sight and reminding bicyclists and motorists to look out for each other.


## San Francisco

Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 740,000)

## Bike Signal Heads

It's often difficult for bicyclists to cross busy streets when getting on or off a trail. Normal traffic signals don't provide a separate phase for bicyclists. And because motorists are not allowed on trails, standard signals don't provide bicyclists a safe passage between street and trailhead. Bicycle signal heads address this problem and are appropriate where bicycle volumes are high and trailheads intersect busy streets.


Sharrows - highlighting conflict zones; route finding
San Francisco pioneered the use of sharrows on streets with parking where there's insufficient space for a full bike lane. While sharrows successfully reduced the risk of bicyclists being doored from opening car doors, San Francisco is also finding sharrows useful in addressing two additional needs: (1) highlighting conflict zones such as busy intersections; and (2) providing directional guidance along bikeway corridors. European cities have used similar pavement markings for these purposes for several years.


Sharrows highlighting conflict zones (renderings).

## Route Finding



## > Helpful for

 guiding cyclists "follow the bread crumbs"- Place first marking on each block fairly close to intersection (10' to 20' away)
- easier to see from cross
streets (credit to Dave Snyder)

Sharrows provide directional guidance along bikeways.

## Ciclovia

Modeled after Bogotá, Columbia's wildly successful Ciclovia events, San Francisco's Sunday Streets opens a city street for walking, bicycling, skating, and playing. Thousands of people come out for each event, with the location moving to a different neighborhood each month.


## Seattle

Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 563,000)

## Route Finding Signage

 Seattle has implemented a ubiquitous signage system designed to guide bicyclists along designated bikeways to commondestination. This signage system helps bicyclist find dedicated bikeways, rather than riding on streets without bicycle facilities.


Thru Sharrows in Turn-Only Lanes Seattle uses creative solutions to accommodate bicyclists on narrow streets that are not wide enough to fit standard bicycle facilities. In this example, there was insufficient space for a standard thru bike lane next to a turn only lane. A sharrow in the turn lane is used to guide bikes going straight. Because turning motorists travel at slower speeds than motorists going straight, placing the sharrow in the turn

lane has less impact on motorists and improves safety for bicyclists.

## Sharrow + Bike Lane

This creative solution to a constrained street allows bikes traveling downhill (at higher speeds similar to motorists) to share the lane with other traffic while providing slower uphill bicyclists a full bike lane.


Transition from off-street Trail to on-street Bike Lane
Seattle uses delineators to guide and separate bicyclists transitioning from an off-street multi-use sidewalk to an on-street bike lane.


## Bike-Only Contra-flow Lane

One way streets create barriers for bicyclists traveling the opposite direction. When converting the street to two-way traffic was not feasible, Seattle installed a contra-flow bike-only lane. This is a particularly effective when no reasonable alternative bicycling route exists and opposing traffic travels at medium to low speeds. For a similar treatment on a busier street, see Madison's Physically Separated Contra-flow Bike Lane below.


Colored Bikeways in Conflict Areas Seattle colors bike lanes where turning motorists must transition across a bike lane going straight. Though bicycles going straight have the right of way, it can be intimidating and uncomfortable for bicyclists in this transition area. Colored pavement reminds motorists to yield and bicyclists to be cautious. Common in many European cities, this practice is being adopted by U.S. bike-friendly cites such as Seattle.


Madison
Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 222,000)


Transit Malls: Bus and Bike Only Near the state capitol building, a transit mall allows only buses, bikes and delivery vehicles. This creates a pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly shopping and restaurant district that draws large crowds.

## Bike Lane left of Bus Only Lane

Madison's bus-only lanes create an efficient transit network. Bike Lanes are placed to left of the bus-only lane to eliminate conflicts when buses pull into and out of bus stops.


Physically Separated Contra-flow Bike Lane
Similar to Seattle's Contra-flow bike-only lane (above), Madison implemented a creative solution on a busy multi-lane one-way corridor: a physically separated contra-flow Bike Lane (left side of picture). This is a particularly effective when no reasonable alternative bicycling route is available and opposing traffic volumes and speeds are higher.


## Palo Alto

Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community (pop. 59,000)

## Bicycle Boulevard

Palo Alto's Bryant Avenue Bike Boulevard uses bike-only traffic signals, signs, striping and motor vehicle traffic diversion to create a bike-friendly cross-town bikeway.


## Chapter 7 - Conclusion

San Jose has improved as a bicycling city since the Transportation Bicycle Network map was added to the city's general plan in 2000. A 250 mile network of trails, bike lanes, and bike routes has been constructed. More than 1,000 bike racks have been installed. A Bicyclist \& Pedestrian Program with professional staff has been established in the city's Department of Transportation.

Bike Plan 2020 defines a new vision to reach beyond these achievements and become a truly bike-friendly city - a city where bicycling is safe, convenient, and commonplace. To achieve this vision, Bike Plan 2020 defines five goals:

- Bikeway Network - Complete 500 miles of the Bikeway Network by 2020
- Mode Share - Achieve 5\% of all trips taken by Bike by 2020
- Safety - Reduce bike collision rate by $50 \%$ by 2020
- Parking - Add 5000 bike parking spaces by 2020
- Validation - Achieve Gold-level Bicycle Friendly Community status by 2020

Bike Plan 2020 provides the strategies required to achieve this vision and become not only a great bicycling city, but a wonderful place to live and work.


## Table 1 - BTA Compliance Checklist

To qualify for Caltrans Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA) grant funding, city bike plans must comply with California Streets \& Highways Code (SHC) section 891.2. The following checklist confirms San José Bike Plan 2020's compliance with this requirement.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHC § } \\ & 891.2 \end{aligned}$ | Requirement | See |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | Estimated number of existing bicycle commuters in the plan area and the estimated increase in the number of bicycle commuters resulting from plan implementation | Page 1-3 (sidebar) |
| (b) | A map and description of existing and proposed land use and settlement patterns including locations of residential neighborhoods, schools, shopping centers, public buildings, and major employment centers | Map 4 |
| (c) | A map and description of existing and proposed bikeways | Maps 1 \& 2 |
| (d) | A map and description of existing and proposed end-of-trip bicycle parking facilities including parking at schools, shopping centers, public buildings, and major employment centers | Map 3 |
| (e) | A map and description of existing and proposed bicycle transport and parking facilities for connections with and use of other transportation modes including parking facilities at transit stops, rail and transit terminals, park and ride lots, and provisions for transporting bicyclists and bicycles on transit or rail vehicles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Map 3; } \\ & \text { Page 3-1 and 4-1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| (f) | A map and description of existing and proposed facilities for changing and storing clothes and equipment including locker, restroom, and shower facilities near bicycle parking facilities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Map 3; } \\ & \text { Page 3-1, 3-2, 4-1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| (g) | A description of bicycle safety and education programs conducted in the area included within the plan, efforts by the law enforcement agency having primary traffic law enforcement responsibility in the area to enforce provisions of the California Vehicle Code pertaining to bicycle operation, and the resulting effect on accidents involving bicyclists | Pages 5-1 and 5-2 |
| (h) | A description of the extent of citizen and community involvement in development of the plan, including, but not limited to, letters of support | Page 1-2; <br> Table 2 |
| (i) | A description of how the bicycle plan has been coordinated and is consistent with other local or regional transportation, air quality, or energy conservation plans, including programs that provide incentives for bicycle commuting | Pages 1-1 and 1-2 Table 3 |
| (j) | A description of the projects proposed in the plan and a listing of their priorities for implementation | Table 6 |
| (k) | A description of past expenditures for bicycle facilities and future financial needs for projects that improve safety and convenience for bicycle commuters in the plan area | Table 4 |

## Table 2 - Community Outreach

The City of San José's Bicyclist \& Pedestrian Program conducted the following community outreach during the development of Bike Plan 2020. Four public workshops were held, all of which were advertized in newspapers, as well as by electronic distribution to local bicycle clubs, bicycle advocacy organizations, and neighborhood groups. A draft Bike Plan 2020 was posted to the web in advance of the final meeting. Comments were accepted for four weeks after the draft plan was posted. A total of 238 comments were received from the public workshops and by email. Six reports were provided to city committees.

## Public Workshops

- Meeting 1
- $\quad$ Meeting 2
- Meeting 3
- Draft Plan Review


## Reports to Committees \& Council

- Transportation \& Environment Committee
- Bicycle \& Pedestrian Advisory Committee
- Transportation \& Environment Committee
- Bicycle \& Pedestrian Advisory Committee
- Bicycle \& Pedestrian Advisory Committee
- Transportation \& Environment Committee
- City Council

December 15, 2009
January 14. 2009
January 20, 2009
August 6, 2009

August 28, 2008
January 12, 2009
April 6, 2009
August 10, 2009
September 14, 2009
November 2, 2009
December 15, 2009

## Table 3 - VTA Bicycle Expenditure Plan, San José Projects

The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Valley Transportation Plan 2030 (VTP2030) includes projects identified in VTA's Countywide Bike Plan. These projects were projects prioritized by cities for future funding through the VTA Bicycle Expenditure Plan (BEP) funding. The BEP identifies $\$ 160$ million in countywide funding through 2035 to assist with funding these priority projects. The following San José projects with numbers in the "BEP" column are included in the VTA BEP for future funding. The projects listed as "Unfunded" were also submitted to VTA by San José and identified as future priorities for San José.

| VTP2035 <br> I D\# | Project Title | BEP (\$x1000) | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30-B32 | Los Gatos Creek Trail <br> (Reach 4: Lincoln to Auzerais) | 3,600 | Complete |
| 30-B28 | Almaden Expressway Bike-Ped Over <br> Crossing (BPOC) Near Coleman Rd | 4,560 | Planning |
| 30-B29 | Branham Lane/Hwy 101 Bike-Ped Over <br> Crossing (BPOC) | 4,000 | Not Started |
| 30-B30 | Coyote Creek Trail (Hwy 237/Bay Trail <br> to Story/Keyes) | 4,880 | Planning |
| 30-B31 | Guadalupe River Trail (Alviso to <br> Montague) | 4,080 | Planning |
| 30-B33A | Los Gatos Creek Trail (Reach 5: Park <br> Av to Auzerais) | 4,000 | Planning |
| 30-B33B | Los Gatos Creek Trail (Reach 5: Santa <br> Clara St to Park Av) | 1,120 | Planning |
| B61 | Blossom Hill - Calero Enhanced Bikeway <br> B62Brokaw-Coleman-Airport Enhanced <br> Bikeway | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B63 | Capitol Ave/ Capitol Expwy Enhanced <br> Bikeway | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B64 | Charcot Enhanced Bikeway | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B65 | Five Wounds Trail | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B66 | Hedding St Enhanced Bikeway | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B67 | Hwy 237 Bikeway On-street connections | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B68 | Monroe Enhanced Bikeway | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B69 | Newhall St Bike/Ped Over Crossing <br> (BPOC) at Caltrain | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B70 | Park Avenue/San Fernando/San Antonio <br> Enhanced Bikeway | Unfunded | Planning |
| B71 | Penitencia Creek Trail (Coyote Creek to <br> King Rd) | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B72 | Thompson Creek Trail (Tully to Yerba <br> Buena) | Unfunded | Not Started |
| B73 | Willow Glen Spur Trail (Los Gatos Creek <br> to Hwy 87) | Unfunded | Not Started |

## Table 4 - Bicycle Facilities: Past Expenditures \& Future Financial Needs

Past Expenditures (FY 2008-09)

| Description | Annual Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bike/Ped Program Management | $\$ 300,000$ |
| Bike/Ped Facilities Projects, Programs | $\$ 750,000$ |
| Bike Parking Facilities | $\$ 950,000$ |
| Trails | $\$ 16,000,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 17,100,000$ |

Future Financial Needs (Annual amount, for FY 2010/11-FY2019/20)

| Description | Annual Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bike/Ped Program Management | $\$ 600,000$ |
| Bike/Ped Facilities, Projects, Programs | $\$ 4,500,000$ |
| Bike Parking Facilities | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Trails | $\$ 17,000,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 22,250,000$ |

## Table 5 - Funding Sources

## Federal

- Congestion Mitigation \& Air Quality
- Recreational Trails Program
- Transportation, Community and System Preservation Program
- Federal Lands Highway Funds
- Land \& Water Conservation Fund
- Rivers, Trails \& Conservation Program
- Safe Routes to School (SRTS)
- Community Development Block Grants
- Highway Safety Improvement Program

State

- Bicycle Transportation Account
- Wildlife Conservation Board Public Access Program
- California Conservation Corps
- Safe Routes to School (SR2S)
- Environmental Justice: Context Sensitive Planning Grants
- Office of Traffic Safety
- Community Based Transportation Planning Demonstration
- Transportation Development Act, Article III


## Regional

- Transportation Fund for Clean Air
- Transportation for Livable Communities
- Transportation Enhancement Program
- Regional Bicycle \& Pedestrian Program
- Safe Routes to Transit
- Housing Incentive Program
- Lifeline Transportation Program


## County

- Valley Transportation Authority Bicycle Expenditure Plan
- Valley Transportation Authority Community Design \& Transportation


## Table 6 - Proposed Bikeway Projects

| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17th St | E San Fernando St | E San Antonio St | 11 | 1 |
| Alma Ave | Hervey Ln | Senter Rd | 11 | 1 |
| Branham Ln | Ross Ave | Cherry Ave | 11 | 1 |
| Branham Ln | Discovery Av | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 1 |
| Brokaw Rd | Coleman Ave | West end | 11 | 1 |
| Browning Ave | White Oaks Ave | Holmes Ave | III | 1 |
| Calero Ave | Snell Ave | Holgate Ave | III | 1 |
| Capitol Ex | Jackson Av | Quimby Rd | 11 | 1 |
| Charcot Ave | Orchard Pkwy | Otoole Av | 11 | 1 |
| Charmeran Ave | Wyrick Av | Jacksol Dr | III | 1 |
| Coleman Ave | Brokaw Rd | Airport Blvd | 11 | 1 |
| Daniel Wy | Ori Ave | Westfield Ave | III | 1 |
| Downing Ave | Tolworth Dr | Longshore Dr | III | 1 |
| Gates Dr | Lencar Way | Nelson Way | III | 1 |
| Glen Eyrie Ave | Willow St | Lincoln Ave | III | 1 |
| Hedding St | Winchester BI | 17th St | 11 | 1 |
| Holmes Ave | Browning Ave | Kilmer Ave | III | 1 |
| Jacksol Dr | Charmeran Ave | Sunrise Dr | III | 1 |
| Kilmer Ave | Holmes Ave | Longfellow Ave | III | 1 |
| La Strada Dr | Pala Mesa | Primrose Dr | 11 | 1 |
| Leigh Ave | Curtner Ave | Stokes St | 11 | 1 |
| Lencar Way | Camden Ave | Gates Dr | III | 1 |
| Lincoln Ave | Savaker St | Glen Eyrie Ave | 11 | 1 |
| Los Esteros | Disk | Zanker | 1 | 1 |
| Monroe St | Newhall | Tisch | 11 | 1 |
| Monroe St | Moorpark Ave | Ori Ave | III | 1 |
| Nelson Way | Gates Dr | Wyrick Av | III | 1 |
| Orchard Pkwy | Charcot Av | Component Dr | 11 | 1 |
| Ori Ave | S Daniel Wy | S Monroe Ave | III | 1 |
| Park Ave | Sunol St | Market St | 11 | 1 |
| Primrose Dr/ Palmia Dr | La Strada Dr | Cottle Rd | III | 1 |
| San Antonio St | S 17th St | S King Rd | 11 | 1 |
| San Fernando St | S 10th St | S 17th St | 11 | 1 |
| Spreckles Ave | Grand Blvd | State St | 11 | 1 |
| Spruance St | Tolworth Dr | Stokes St | III | 1 |
| Stokes St | Southwest Exp | Leigh Ave | 11 | 1 |
| Sunrise Dr | Jacksol Dr | Twilight Dr | III | 1 |
| Tolworth Dr | Downing Ave | Spruance St | III | 1 |
| Twilight Dr | Sunrise Dr | White Oaks Ave | III | 1 |
| White Oaks Ave | Browning Ave | White Oaks Ave | III | 1 |
| Williams Rd | S Winchester BI | S Daniel Wy | III | 1 |
| Wyrick Av | Charmeran Ave | Nelson Way | III | 1 |
| 10th St | Taylor St | Tully Rd | 11 | 2 |
| 12th St | Keyes St | Hwy 280 | III | 2 |
| 12th St | Margaret St | E Virginia St | 111 | 2 |
| 13th St | E Hedding st | E Santa Clara St | 11 | 2 |


| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13th St | E Reed St | E Santa Clara St | III | 2 |
| 14th St | E Reed St | E William St | III | 2 |
| 1st St | Grand | EB 237 off ramp | 11 | 2 |
| 1st St | Brokaw | ??? | 11 | 2 |
| 1st St | Reed St | Keyes St | III | 2 |
| 24th St | E Julian St | E William St | III | 2 |
| 3 rd St | E Mission St | Jackson St | 11 | 2 |
| 3rd St | E Julian St | Keyes St | III | 2 |
| 4th St | Old Bayshore | Jackson St | II | 2 |
| 4th St | E Julian St | E Reed St | 11 | 2 |
| Aborn Rd | Altamara Ave | Murillo Ave (. 1 mi past) | 11 | 2 |
| Aborn Rd | E Capitol Exp | Stallion Wy | II | 2 |
| Allen Ave | Calero Ave | Blossom Hill Rd | III | 2 |
| Almaden Ave | Willow St | Balbach St | 111 | 2 |
| Almaden Blvd | W Santa Clara St | Willow St | 11 | 2 |
| Almaden Exp | Via Valiente | Almaden Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Almaden Rd | Almaden Exp | La Rossa Circle | 11 | 2 |
| Alum Rock | North side | South side | III | 2 |
| Alum Rock | crosswalk | parking lot 300' east | III | 2 |
| Alum Rock frontage rd | Cedar | E thru ped access to Alum Rock xwalk | 111 | 2 |
| Aram Ave | Spruance St | Stokes St | III | 2 |
| Arnold Way | Downing Ave | Aram Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Autumn St | W Santa Clara St | Cinnabar St | III | 2 |
| Autumn St | W Santa Clara St | Park Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Auzerais Av | Race St | Woz Way | 11 | 2 |
| Ayshire Dr | Blossom Hill Rd | Dentwood Dr | III | 2 |
| Bacchus Dr | McLaughlin Ave | Summer Blossom Av | III | 2 |
| Bailey Ave | IBM Silicon Valley Lab | Metcalf Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Balbach St/Woz Wy | Locus St | S Market St | 11 | 2 |
| Balsa Av | Custer | Dumbarton | III | 2 |
| Bascom Ave | Camden Ave | Hamilton Ave | II | 2 |
| Bellerose Dr | McDaniel Ave | Stevens Creek | 111 | 2 |
| Bernal Rd | Heaton Moor Dr | Hellyer Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Berryessa Rd | Capitol Ave | Morrill Ave | II | 2 |
| Bird Ave | Minnesota Ave | Willow St | III | 2 |
| Blossom Hill Rd | Almaden Exp | Stephanie Ln | II | 2 |
| Blossom Hill Rd | Snell Ave | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 2 |
| Borchers Dr | Vivian | New J ersey | III | 2 |
| Boynton Ave | Moorpark Ave | Payne Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Branham Ln | Union Ave | Ross Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Brenda Lee Dr | Coleen Dr | Snell Ave | III | 2 |
| Briarwood Dr | Husted | Husted | III | 2 |
| Briarwood Dr | Husted | Husted | III | 2 |


| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bryan Av | J arvis | Almaden Exp | III | 2 |
| Cahalan Ave | Santa Teresa Blvd | Coleen Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Camden Ave | Curtner Ave | McAbee Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Camden Ave | Almaden Exp | Harry Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Camino Ramon | Willow St | Minnesota Ave | III | 2 |
| Campbell Ave | Newhall St | El Camino 82 | III | 2 |
| Cedar Ln | Gay Ave | Alum Rock frontage road | 111 | 2 |
| Challenger Av | McKee | Warm Springs | 111 | 2 |
| Cherry Ave | Curtner Ave | Glen Eyrie Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Chynoweth Ave | Pearl Ave | Barron Park Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Chynoweth Ave | Colony Field Dr | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 2 |
| Cinderella Ln | McCreery Ave | Peter Pan Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Clark Wy | Cottle | Newport | III | 2 |
| Clayton Rd | Mt Pleasant Rd | Story Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Coe Av | Lincoln | Bird | 111 | 2 |
| Coleen Dr | Brenda Lee Dr | Cahalan Ave | 111 | 2 |
| College Dr | Moorpark Ave | Leigh Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Commerce Dr | Qume Dr | Lundy | 11 | 2 |
| Commercial St | Oakland Rd | Berryessa Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Concourse Dr | Ringwood Ave | Qume Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Continental Dr | Serenade Way | Coyote Rd | 111 | 2 |
| Cottle Rd | Poughkeepsie Rd | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 2 |
| Coyote Rd | Continental Dr | 500' East of 101 Overpass | 111 | 2 |
| Coyote Rd | 500 E of 101 overpass | ```Silver Creek Valley Rd``` | 11 | 2 |
| Cragmont Ave | E Hills Dr | McKee Rd | 111 | 2 |
| Creek Dr | Pine Ave | Willow Glen Wy | 111 | 2 |
| Cropley Ave | Capitol Ave | Camargo Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Cropley Ave | Camargo Dr | Morrill Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Curie Dr | Snell Ave | San Ignacio Av | 111 | 2 |
| Curtner Ave | Leigh Ave | Camden Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Custer Dr | Potrero | Balsa | 111 | 2 |
| Cypress Ave | Stevens Creek Blvd | Adra Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Cypress Ave | Adra Ave | Constance | 111 | 2 |
| Cypress Ave | Moorpark Ave | Williams Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Danby Ave | Tulare Dr | Mattos Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Daniel Maloney Dr | Silver Creek Rd | Nieman BI | 111 | 2 |
| Darryl Ave | Payne Ave | W Hamilton Ave | II | 2 |
| DeAnza Blvd | Rainbow Dr | Prospect Rd | 11 | 2 |
| DeLaCruz Blvd | Hwy 101 | Reed St | 11 | 2 |
| Dentwood Dr | Ayshire Dr | Dentwood | 111 | 2 |
| Discovery Ave | Gray Ghost Ave | Branham Ln | 111 | 2 |
| Dobern Av | Jackson | Penrod | III | 2 |
| Doris Av | Westboro | Highwood | III | 2 |
| Douglas St | S Willard Ave | Meridian Ave | III | 2 |
| Dove Hill Rd | Hellyer Av | Hessler Pkwy | III | 2 |
| Dry Creek Rd | Cottle | Union Ave | III | 2 |
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| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Hills Dr | Fleming Ave | Lyndale Ave | III | 2 |
| Edenvale Ave | Chynoweth Ave | Saddle Brook Dr | 11 | 2 |
| El Cajon Dr | Sebastian Wy | Seven Trees BI | 111 | 2 |
| Empire St | N First St | N 22nd St | 11 | 2 |
| Enborg Ln | Thornton Way | S Bascom Ave | III | 2 |
| Endicott Blvd | Cottle Rd | Perimeter Rd | II | 2 |
| Evergreen Village Squ | Ruby Ave | Ruby Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Ewer Dr | Camden Ave | Ross Ave | III | 2 |
| Excalibur Dr | Pendragon | Capitol | 111 | 2 |
| Farnsworth Dr | Silver Creek ValleyRd | San Felipe Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Fenian/Harriet | Bucknall Rd | Westmont Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Fenton St | Golf Dr | McKee Rd | 111 | 2 |
| First St | Brokaw Rd | Gish Rd | II | 2 |
| Fisk Ave | Bird Ave | Willis Av | III | 2 |
| Fleming Ave | Story Rd | Alum Rock Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Flickinger Ave | Poetry Dr | Hostetter Rd | III | 2 |
| Florence | Lyndale Av | S Capitol Ave | III | 2 |
| Florence Av | Lyndale | Lyndale | III | 2 |
| Forrestal Ave | W Gish Rd | Sonora Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Fortune Dr | Ringwood Ave | Qume E end | 11 | 2 |
| Fox Ln | Fox Dr | Oakland Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Foxworthy Av | Union | Vivian | 11 | 2 |
| Foxworthy Av | Lantz | Union | 111 | 2 |
| Foxworthy Av | Bascom | Lantz | 11 | 2 |
| Foxworthy Ave | Hillsdale Ave | Almaden Exp | 11 | 2 |
| Francis Dr | Patt | McKee | III | 2 |
| Fruitdale Ave | Southwest Exp | Race St | II | 2 |
| Fruitdale Ave | Race St | Northrup St | III | 2 |
| Garden Dr | Hedding St | McDaniel Av | 111 | 2 |
| Gay Av | Minidoka | Cedar | III | 2 |
| Gay Ave | Capitol Ave | White Rd | III | 2 |
| Gish Rd | Old Bayshore | Oakland Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Gish Rd | Forrestal Ave | N 4th St | 111 | 2 |
| Golf Dr | N White Rd | Fenton St | III | 2 |
| Goodyear St | Graham Ave | S First St | 11 | 2 |
| Graham Ave | Willow St | Goodyear St | II | 2 |
| Grand Blvd | First | Disk | 11 | 2 |
| Grant St | Palm St | S Almaden Ave | III | 2 |
| Gray Ghost Ave | Roeder Rd | Discovery Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Greenbriar Ave | Westfield Ave | Payne Ave | III | 2 |
| Gridley st | Golf Dr | Penitencia Creek | 111 | 2 |
| Hanchett Ave | Park Ave | The Alameda | III | 2 |
| Harry Rd | Almaden Rd | Camden Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Harwood Rd | Michon Dr | Merrill Dr | II | 2 |
| Hassler Pkwy | Dove Hill Rd | Silver Creek Valley Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Havana Dr | Midfield Av | S King Rd | III | 2 |
| Hawthorne Way | N San Pedro St | N First St | III | 2 |


| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heaton Moor Dr | Curie Dr | Bernal Rd | III | 2 |
| Hellyer Ave | Dove Hill Rd | Senter Rd | III | 2 |
| Highwood Dr | Doris | Lyndale | III | 2 |
| Highwood Dr | S Capitol Ave | Lyndale Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Hillsdale Ave | Leigh Ave | Almaden Exp | II | 2 |
| Hillsdale Ave | Pearl Ave | Snell Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Holger Wy | First | Headquarters | 11 | 2 |
| Holger Wy | Headquarters | N First St | 11 | 2 |
| Holly Hill Dr | Courtney Ave | Kilchoan Wy | 11 | 2 |
| Hopkins Dr | Story Rd | Ocala Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Hostetter Rd | Capitol Ave | Morrill Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Husted Av | Dumbarton | Briarwood | III | 2 |
| Husted Ave | Lincoln Ave | Briarwood Dr | III | 2 |
| Isabel Dr | Meridian Ave | Willow St | III | 2 |
| J ackson Av | Capitol | Dobern | 1 | 2 |
| Jackson Ave | E Capitol Exp | Story Rd | II | 2 |
| Jacob Av | Kirk | Jarvis | 111 | 2 |
| Jarvis Av | Jacob | Bryan | III | 2 |
| Julian St | The Alameda | Cinnabar St | 111 | 2 |
| Julian St | Cinnabar St | N River St | 11 | 2 |
| Junction Ave | Zanker Rd | Rogers Ave | II | 2 |
| Kammerer Ave | S Jackson Ave | S King Rd | III | 2 |
| Karina Ct | O'Nel Dr | N First St | II | 2 |
| Keyes St | S First St | S Third St | 11 | 2 |
| King Rd | Aborn Rd | Barberry Ln | II | 2 |
| Kingman Ave | Leigh Ave | College Dr | III | 2 |
| Kirk Ave | Alum Rock Ave | McKee Rd | III | 2 |
| Kirk Rd | Milroy | J acob | III | 2 |
| Landess Ave | Hwy 680 | Paris Way | 11 | 2 |
| Lean Ave | Blossom Hill Rd | Curie Dr | III | 2 |
| Leigh Ave | Stokes St | San Carlos St | II | 2 |
| Lick Ave | W Alma Ave | Willow St | III | 2 |
| Lido Way | S King Rd | McCreery Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Lincoln Ave | Park Ave | Savaker St | 11 | 2 |
| Lincoln Ave | Glen Eyrie Ave | Malone Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Lincoln Ave | Curtner Ave | Almaden Rd | II | 2 |
| Little Branham Ln | Leigh Ave | Camden Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Lucretia Ave | Story Rd | Tully Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Lyndale Av | Rose | Florence | III | 2 |
| Lyndale Av | Florence | Doris | III | 2 |
| Lyndale Av | Highwood | Story | III | 2 |
| MacArthur Dr | Stevens Creek Blvd | Moorpark Ave | III | 2 |
| Madden Ave | N Jackson Ave | N Capitol Ave | III | 2 |
| Madeline Dr | White Rd | Kirk Ave | III | 2 |
| Malone | Newport | Lincoln | III | 2 |
| Market St | Balbach St | W William St | II | 2 |
| Martha St | S First St | S 12th St | III | 2 |
| Mattos Ave | Danby Ave | Mauna Kea Ln | III | 2 |
| Mauna Kea Ln | Mattos Ave | Ruskin Dr | III | 2 |

[^0]| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McCreery Ave | Lido Way | Cinderella Ln | 11 | 2 |
| McDaniel Av | Garden Dr | Bellerose Dr | III | 2 |
| McKee Rd | Francis | Challenger | 1 | 2 |
| McLaughlin Ave | E Capitol Exp | Yerba Buena Rd | 11 | 2 |
| McLaughlin Ave | Tully Rd | Tekman Dr | III | 2 |
| Meadow Ln | Story Rd | E Hills Dr | III | 2 |
| Merrill Dr | Harwood Rd | Camden Ave | III | 2 |
| Messina Dr | Cropley Ave | Hostetter Rd | 111 | 2 |
| Michon Dr | Harwood Rd | Camden Ave | III | 2 |
| Milroy PI | Rondeau | Kirk | III | 2 |
| Minidoka Av | Warm Springs | Gay | III | 2 |
| Minnesota Ave | Meridian Ave | Hervey Ln | 11 | 2 |
| Minuet Dr | Minuet Dr | Capitol Ave | III | 2 |
| Mitty Way | Lawrence Expwy | Moorpark Ave | III | 2 |
| Monroe Ave | Ori Ave | Moorpark Ave | III | 2 |
| Monterey Hwy/1st St | Tully Rd | W Humboldt St | II | 2 |
| Montery Hwy | Metcalf Rd | Bailey Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Moorpark Ave | Williams Rd | College Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Morrill Ave | Landess Ave | Cropley Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Morrill Ave | Hostetter Rd | Berryessa Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Mt Camel Dr | Trinidad Dr | Camden Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Mt Pleasant Rd | Kohler Rd | Clayton Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Murillo Ave | Aborn Rd | Tully Ct | 11 | 2 |
| Murillo Ave | Ruby Ave | Groesback Hill Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Narvaez Ave | New World Dr | Branham Ln | III | 2 |
| New Jersey Av | Borchers | Potrero | III | 2 |
| New World Dr | Chynoweth Ave | Narvaez Ave | III | 2 |
| Newhall Dr | Coleman Ave | Newhall S | III | 2 |
| Newhall St | Park | Elm St (RR track) | III | 2 |
| Newport Av | Clark | Malone | III | 2 |
| Northrup St | Fruitdale Ave | Paula St | III | 2 |
| Old Bayshore | Zanker | 10th | 11 | 2 |
| Old Bayshore | N First St | N 4th St | III | 2 |
| Old Tully Rd | Tully Rd | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 2 |
| O'Nel Dr | Charcot Av | Karina Ct | 11 | 2 |
| Orchard Pkwy | Component Dr | First St | II | 2 |
| Otoole Av | Montague Exp | Brokaw Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Parking | Alum Rock | Rose | III | 2 |
| Parkmoor Ave | Bascom Ave | Meridian Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Patt Av | Francis | White | III | 2 |
| Paula St | Northrup St | Lincoln Ave | III | 2 |
| Payne Ave | Greenbriar Ave | S Winchester BI | III | 2 |
| Pearl Ave | Chynoweth Ave | Branham Ln | 11 | 2 |
| Pendragon Ln | Dobern | Excalibur | III | 2 |
| Penrod PI | Dobern | Pendragon | III | 2 |
| Phelan Ave | Monterey Hwy | Bevin Brook Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Phelan Ave | Roberts | Mclaughlin Ave | II | 2 |
| Pine Ave | Hicks Ave | Creek Dr | III | 2 |
| Plumeria Dr | Orchard Pkwy | Montague Exp | 11 | 2 |

[^1]| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poetry Dr | Flickinger Ave | Minuet Dr | III | 2 |
| Potrero Dr | New Jersey | Custer | 111 | 2 |
| Poughkeepsie Rd | Blossom Hill Rd | Cottle Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Poughkeepsie Rd | Raleigh Rd | Perimeter Rd | 111 | 2 |
| Queens Ln | Rogers Ave | Old Bayshore | II | 2 |
| Quimby Rd | Ruby Ave | Tully Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Qume Dr | Fortune Dr | Commerce Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Race St | W San Carlos St | Fruitdale Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Race St | The Alameda | San Carlos St | 111 | 2 |
| Rancho Dr | Seven Trees BI | Monterey Hwy | 111 | 2 |
| Reed St | S 1st St | S 11th St | 11 | 2 |
| Reed St | S 11th St | S 14th St | 111 | 2 |
| Reed St | S Almaden Ave | S 1st St | III | 2 |
| Ringwood Ave | Trade Zone BI | Murphy Av | 11 | 2 |
| River Oaks PI | Guadalupe River Trail | First | 11 | 2 |
| Roeder Rd | Monterey Hwy | Gray Ghost Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Rogers Ave | Junction Ave | Queens Ln | 11 | 2 |
| Rondeau Dr | Ewer | Milroy | 111 | 2 |
| Rose Av | Parking lot | Lyndale | 111 | 2 |
| Ross Ave | Branham Ln | Ewer Dr | III | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Murillo Ave | Kohler Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Aborn Rd | Evergreen Village Sq | II | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Evergreen Village Sq | Cortoda Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Cortoda Dr | Fowler | 111 | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Delta Rd | Fowler | 11 | 2 |
| Ruby Ave | Delta Rd | Falls Creek Dr | 111 | 2 |
| Ruskin Dr | Mauna Kea Ln | Piedmont Rd | III | 2 |
| Russo Dr | Cherry Ave | Lansing dead-end | 11 | 2 |
| Ryland St | N Santa Teresa St | N San Pedro St | 111 | 2 |
| Samaritan Dr | Union Ave | Los Gatos BI | 11 | 2 |
| Samaritan PI | Samaritan Dr | Samaratan PI | 111 | 2 |
| San Felipe Rd | Farnsworth Dr | The Villages Pkwy | 11 | 2 |
| San Felipe Rd | Yerba Buena Rd | Park Estates Way | 11 | 2 |
| San Ignacio Ave | Santa Teresa Blvd | Via del Oro | 11 | 2 |
| San Pedro St | Hawthorne Way | Ryland St | 111 | 2 |
| San Salvador St | S 7th St | S 16th St | 111 | 2 |
| San Tomas Aquino | Payne Ave | La Pradera | 11 | 2 |
| Santa Clara St | S 17th St | N 21st St | III | 2 |
| Santa Clara St | S Montgomery St | Almaden Blvd | 11 | 2 |
| Scott St | MacArthur Av | Willard Ave | 111 | 2 |
| Senter Rd | Serenade Wy | Sylvandale Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Senter Rd | Sebastian Wy | El Cajon Dr | III | 2 |
| Serenade Way | Senter Rd | Continental Dr | III | 2 |
| Seven Trees BI | El Cajon Dr | Rancho Dr | III | 2 |
| Shasta Ave | W San Carlos St | Park Ave | III | 2 |
| Sierra Rd | Capitol Ave | Piedmont Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Sierra Rd | Flickinger Ave | Chessington Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Sierraville Ave | N Capitol Ave | Sierraville Ave | 111 | 2 |


| Street/ Corridor | From | To | Class | Priority for I mplementation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver Creek Rd | Yerba Buena Rd | Aborn Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Silver Creek Valley Rd | Yerba Buena Rd | Hillstone Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Snell Ave | Hillsdale Ave | Capitol Exp | 11 | 2 |
| Snell Ave/Snell Wy | Santa Teresa Blvd | Blossom Hill Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Snyder Ave | Willis Av | Warren Ave | III | 2 |
| St Elizabeth Dr | Leigh Ave | Fruitdale Ave | III | 2 |
| St John St | Autumn St | Guadalupe River Trail | 11 | 2 |
| St John St | Guadalupe River Trail | E side of Hwy 87 O.C. | 1 | 2 |
| St John St | E side of Hwy 87 Overcrossing | N 17th St | III | 2 |
| Stallion Wy | Aborn Rd | Barberry Ln | III | 2 |
| Steinbeck Dr | Allen Ave | Santa Teresa Blvd | 11 | 2 |
| Stockton Ave | W Taylor St | The Alameda | 11 | 2 |
| Stokes St | Spruance St | S Bascom Ave | III | 2 |
| Story Rd | Fleming Ave | Clayton Rd | III | 2 |
| Sunset Ave | Alum Rock Ave | Story Rd | III | 2 |
| Taylor St | Coleman Ave | The Alameda | 11 | 2 |
| Taylor St | N First St | N 21st St | 11 | 2 |
| Technology Dr | Airport Pkwy | Technology Dr | 11 | 2 |
| Thornton Way | Downing Ave | Enborg Ln | III | 2 |
| Tisch Way | Winchester BI | Monroe Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Trimble Rd/Capewood Ln | E Capitol Ave | Morrill Ave | III | 2 |
| Trinidad Dr | Almaden Exp | Mt Camel Dr | III | 2 |
| Tuers Rd | Gassmann Dr | Umbarger Rd | III | 2 |
| Tulare Dr | Queen Crossing Dr | Danby Ave | III | 2 |
| Umbarger Rd | Senter Rd | Monterey Hwy | 11 | 2 |
| Via del Oro | San Ignacio Ave | Perimeter Rd | 11 | 2 |
| Virginia Av | Bird | Guadalupe River Trail W of Harlis Av | III | 2 |
| Vista Montana | W Tasman Dr | N First St | III | 2 |
| Vistapark Dr | Hillsdale Ave | W Capitol Exp | 11 | 2 |
| Vivian Ln | Foxworthy | Borchers | III | 2 |
| Warm Springs Dr | Challenger | Minidoka | III | 2 |
| Warren Ave | Snyder Ave | Willow St | III | 2 |
| Westboro Dr | Lyndale | Doris | III | 2 |
| Westfield Ave | S Daniel Wy | Greenbriar Ave | III | 2 |
| White Rd | Gay Ave | Madeline Dr | 1 | 2 |
| Willard Ave | Scott St | Douglas St | III | 2 |
| William St | S 24th St | S Market St | 11 | 2 |
| Willis Av | Fisk Av | Snyder Ave | III | 2 |
| Willow Glen Wy | Bird Ave | Almaden Rd | III | 2 |
| Willow St | Minnesota Ave | Lick Av | 11 | 2 |
| Willow St | Graham Ave | Lick Av | III | 2 |
| Winchester BI | Moorpark Ave | Payne Ave | 11 | 2 |
| Yerba Buena Ave | San Felipe Rd | Greenleaf Ln | III | 2 |
| Yerba Buena Ave | Bergman Ct | Yerba Buena Rd | III | 2 |


| Yerba Buena Rd | Aborn Rd | Villa Vista Rd | II | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yerba Buena Rd | McLaughlin Ave | Regaby PI Ct | II | 2 |
| Zanker Rd | Los Esteros | Holger | II | 2 |

## Map 1 - City of San Jose Primary Bikeway Network
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Map 3 - City of San Jose Bike Parking \& Transit Stops




[^0]:    San José Bike Plan 2020

[^1]:    San José Bike Plan 2020

