

ENERGY WORKING SESSION

November 5, 2019



AGENDA

1. Goal and context (10 min)
2. Google presentation - project status/framework/schedule (20 min)
3. SJCE process with respect to municipalization (15 min)
4. SJCE Schedule Project/Business Plan Implementation (15 min)
5. Stakeholder engagement (10 min)
6. SJCE/Flynn initial study results/questions (10 min)
7. Next steps and timeline review (10 min)

TARGET MUNICIPALIZATION – PHASE 1

Task	Lead	Est. Date
Execute service order for get-started work (1.c.i below) of the business case assessment under existing Flynn contract	CED	9/23/19 - COMPLETE
Council Meeting: Increase/extend Flynn contract	CED	10/8/19 - COMPLETE
Release Legal Assessment RFP	CAO /CED	10/16/19 - COMPLETE
Project scope and costs approved by leadership	CMO	10/16/19 - COMPLETE
Execute Flynn service order for remainder of Phase 1 (1.c.ii below) of the business case assessment	CED	11/8/19
Execute Legal Assessment Contract/ Scope of Work	CAO / CED	11/8/19
Complete Business Case Assessment	ESD/ CED + consultant	1/31/20
Complete Legal Assessment	CAO /CED + consultant	1/31/20

TARGET MUNICIPALIZATION – PHASE 2

Task	Lead	Est. Date
Release Technical Assistance RFP	ESD/ CED	11/8/19
Provide any updated details to PBCE (David Keyon) for DSAP CEQA Project Description	ESD/ CED	11/27/19
Execute Technical Assistance Contract/ Scope of Work	ESD/CED	1/6/20
Complete Technical Feasibility Scope of Work	ESD/ CED + consultant	9/30/20

CITY DATA REQUEST

1. Do you have a critical path document you could share with the City/FlynnRCI? Is the 115kV connection for and the construction of the 115/12kV customer substation on the critical path? Has any investigation been made of the potential to connect to Substation A at the 12kV level as an interim option?
2. What was the criteria for sizing the onsite resources (generation and storage) as part of the currently envisioned advanced Microgrids? What is the capacity of the biogas generation? Was the capability to serve critical loads when the microgrid/s is/are separated from the main PG&E/CAISO grid considered? Please elaborate.
3. Please delineate the considerations that have occurred and any communications with PG&E (or CAISO) that has occurred and led to the current plan to underground the 115kV overhead lines within the development. Also please elaborate on the timing of that part of the DSA service plan. Please share a proposed single line diagram of Substation A, the envisioned customer substation and 12kV circuits.
4. Please describe any design considerations that have gone into serving the load within the DSA that is outside the Google Project? If some considerations have been made, was the service to those loads from the planned new electrical infrastructure? Was the acquisition of any existing PG&E owned infrastructure part of the plan of service to the loads outside the Google Project boundaries?